# 18.783 Elliptic Curves Lecture 10

Andrew Sutherland

March 24, 2021

## Generic bounds for the discrete logarithm problem (DLP)

**Baby-steps** giant-steps:  $(2 + o(1))\sqrt{N}$  time (gops),  $(2 + o(1))\sqrt{N}$  space (gids).

**Pollard-**ho (Las Vegas):  $(\sqrt{\pi/2} + o(1))\sqrt{N}$  expected time,  $O(\log N)$  space.

Pohlig-Hellman: Up to a log-factor, we can assume we have a group of prime order.

## Theorem (Shoup)

Let G be cyclic group of prime order N.

- Every deterministic generic algorithm for the discrete logarithm problem in G uses at least  $(\sqrt{2} + o(1))\sqrt{N}$  group operations.
- Every Las Vegas generic algorithm for the discrete logarithm problem in G expects to use at least  $(\sqrt{2}/2 + o(1))\sqrt{N}$  group operations.

Shoup's lower bounds match the upper bounds to within a factor of 2.

## Index calculus: a non-generic algorithm for the DLP

Let  $G = \langle \alpha \rangle = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  and identify G with  $[1, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}$ , where N = #G = p - 1. For  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$  we can use the prime factorization  $\alpha^e \beta^{-1} = \prod_i p_i^{e_i}$  to obtain a relation

$$e_1 \log_{\alpha} p_1 + \dots + e_b \log_{\alpha} p_b + \log_{\alpha} \beta = e. \tag{1}$$

which would allow us to compute  $\log_{\alpha} \beta$  if we knew the values of  $\log_{\alpha} p_i$ .

Our plan: Pick a smallish set of primes  $S = \{p : p \leq B\} = p_1, \dots p_b$  (the factor base), and generate relations as in (1) by picking random  $e \in [1, N]$  and attempting to factor  $\alpha^e \beta^{-1}$  over our factor base (e.g. by trial division, or something more clever).

**How we win**: Collect relations that uniquely determine  $\log_{\alpha} p_1, \ldots, \log_{\alpha} p_b, \log_{\alpha} \beta$  and use linear algebra over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  to solve the system for  $\log_{\alpha} \beta$ .

When we expect to win: After about  $\pi(B) \cdot N/\psi(N,B)$  attempts, where  $\psi(N,B)$  is the number of B-smooth integers in [1,N], those use prime factors are all less than B.

# Optimizing the smoothness bound ${\it B}$

### Theorem (Canfield–Erdős-Pomerance)

As  $u, x \to \infty$  with  $u < (1 - \epsilon) \log x / \log \log x$  we have  $\psi(x, x^{1/u}) = xu^{-u + o(u)}$ .

With trial division factoring takes  $O(\pi(B)\mathsf{M}(\log N))$  time and we expect to need

$$O(\pi(B)u^u\pi(B)\mathsf{M}(\log N)) \approx B^2u^u = N^{2/u}u^u$$

time to get enough relations, where  $u := \log N / \log B$  so that  $N^{1/u} = B$ .

To minimize  $f(u) := \log(N^{2/u}u^u) = \frac{2}{u}\log N + u\log u$  we want to choose u so that

$$f'(u) = -2u^{-2}\log N + 2(uN)^{-1} + \log u + 1 = 0.$$

Ignoring O(1) terms, we want  $u^2 \log u \approx 2 \log N$ , meaning  $u \approx 2 \sqrt{\log N / \log \log N}$ .

# **Expected running time of our index calculus algorithm**

Our choice of  $u \approx 2\sqrt{\log N/\log\log N}$  yields the smoothness bound

$$B = N^{1/u} = \exp(u^{-1}\log N) = \exp(1/2\sqrt{\log N\log\log N}) = L_N[1/2, 1/2],$$

where we have used the standard subexponential asymptotic notation

$$L_N[a, c] := \exp((c + o(1))(\log N)^a(\log \log N)^{1-a}),$$

interpolating  $L_N[0,c]=(\log N)^{c+o(1)}$  (polynomial),  $L_N[1,c]=N^{c+o(1)}$  (exponential).

Assuming the linear algebra is negligible (it is), the total expected time is

$$B^2u^u = L_N[1/2, 1/2]^2 \cdot L_N[1/2, 1] = L_N[1/2, 2].$$

With ECM, smoothness testing becomes negligible and we can achieve  $L_N[1/2, \sqrt{2}]$ . More sophisticated techniques (NFS) heuristically yield  $L_N[1/3, (64/9)^{1/3}]$ .

## The Pollard p-1 factorization method

#### **Algorithm**

Given an integer N and a smoothness bound B, attempt to factor N as follows:

- 1. Pick a random integer  $a \in [1, N-1]$ ; if  $gcd(a, N) = d \neq 1$  return (d, N/d).
- **2.** Set b=a and for increasing primes  $\ell \leq B$ :
  - **2.1** Replace b with  $b^{\ell^e}$  where  $\ell^{e-1} < N \le \ell^e$ . If b=1 then give up.
  - **2.2** if  $gcd(b-1,N)=d\neq 1$  then return (d,N/d).

#### **Theorem**

Let p,q|N be primes. If p-1 is  $\ell$ -smooth but q-1 is not for some prime  $\ell \leq B$  then the algorithm succeeds with probability at least  $1-1/(\ell+1)$ .

*Proof.* When we reach  $\ell$  in 2.2 we will have  $b=a^m\equiv 1 \bmod p$ , since (p-1)|m. But  $(q-1)\perp m$ , so  $\Pr[b\not\equiv 1 \bmod q]\geq 1-1/(\ell+1)$ .

## Robbing a random bank

If  $\#(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  has a B-smooth prime factor then Pollard's algorithm is very likely to succeed, but this is unlikely for any particular N=pq a product of two large primes.

For random pq in [N,2N] we expect the probability is  $u^{-u}$ , where  $u=\log N/\log B$ . That is small, but only subexponentially so; if we try  $u^u$  random pq we should succeed.

If we let  $u=\sqrt{2\log N/\log\log N}$ , then  $B=N^{1/u}=L_N[1/2,1/\sqrt{2}]$  and we should expect to factor a random pq in [N,2N] in time  $N^{1/u}u^u=L_N[1/2,\sqrt{2}]$ .

**Key point**: By varying pq we vary the group  $(\mathbb{Z}/pq\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ . But what if pq is fixed?

**Lenstra**: We can vary the group by picking a random elliptic curve "modulo pq".

## The elliptic curve factorization method (ECM)

### **Algorithm**

Given  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , a smoothness bound B, and a prime bound M, attempt to factor N:

- 1. Pick random  $a, x_0, y_0 \in [0, N-1]$  and set  $b = y_0^2 x_0^3 ax_0$ .
- 2. if  $d = \gcd(4a^3 + 27b^2, N) \neq 1$  return (d, N/d) if d < N but give up if d = N.
- **3.** Let  $Q = (x_0 : y_0 : 1)$  and for increasing primes  $\ell \leq B$ :
  - **3.1** Replace Q with  $\ell^e Q \bmod N$  where  $\ell^{e-1} \leq (\sqrt{M}+1)^2 < \ell^e$ . Give up if  $Q_z = 0$ .
  - **3.2** If  $d = \gcd(Q_z, N) \neq 1$  then return (d, N/d).

#### **Theorem**

Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be the reductions of  $(x_0:y_0:1)$  modulo distinct  $p_0,p_1|N$  with  $p_1 \leq M$ . If  $|P_1|$  is  $\ell$ -smooth and  $|P_2|$  is not for some  $\ell \leq B$  then the algorithm succeeds in 3.2.

## Heuristic complexity of ECM

The Hasse interval  $[p+1-2\sqrt{p},\ p+1+2\sqrt{p}]$  is too narrow to apply CEP bounds. We can prove  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)\in[p+1-\sqrt{p},\ p+1+\sqrt{p}]$  with probability at least 1/2, and roughly uniformly distributed over this interval (Sato-Tate on average).

If we heuristically assume integers in  $[p+1-\sqrt{p},\ p+1+\sqrt{p}]$  are as likely to be smooth as integers in [p,2p] we can compute the optimal choice of  $B=L_M[1/2,1/\sqrt{2}]$ . We generally don't know what M should be, so start small and double it. This yields

$$L_p[1/2,\sqrt{2}]\mathsf{M}(\log N),$$

where p is the smallest prime factor of N. We can then use ECM to test whether a given integer N is  $L_N[1/2,c]$ -smooth in expected time

$$\begin{split} L_{L_N[^{1/2},c]} \left[ ^{1\!/2},\sqrt{2} \right] &\approx \exp\left( \sqrt{2\log(\exp(c\sqrt{\log N\log\log N}))\log\log(\exp(c\sqrt{\log N\log\log N}))} \right) \\ &= L_N[^{1\!/4},\sqrt{c}]. \end{split}$$

MIT OpenCourseWare <a href="https://ocw.mit.edu">https://ocw.mit.edu</a>

18.783 / 18.7831 Elliptic Curves Spring 2021

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <a href="https://ocw.mit.edu/terms">https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</a>.