

LECTURE 6

## Exact Sequences and Tate Cohomology

Last time we began discussing some simple homological algebra; our motivation was to compute the order of certain finite abelian groups (in particular,  $K^\times/N(L^\times)$ , where  $L/K$  is a cyclic extension of local fields). Recall the following definition:

DEFINITION 6.1. A sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^n} X^n \xrightarrow{d^{n+1}} X^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

is *exact* if for each  $n$ , we have  $\text{Ker}(d^{n+1}) = \text{Im}(d^n)$ , where we refer to the ' $d^i$ ' as *differentials*.

To solve this equation, one typically shows that if  $d^{n+1}$  kills an element, then it is in the image of  $d^n$ . We saw that for a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \hookrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

we have  $M = E/N$  and  $\#E = \#M \cdot \#N$ , so short exact sequences are an effective way of measuring the size of abelian groups. We also saw that for any such short exact sequence and  $n \geq 1$ , there is a long exact sequence

$$(6.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow M[n] \rightarrow E[n] \rightarrow N[n] \xrightarrow{\delta} M/n \rightarrow E/n \rightarrow N/n \rightarrow 0,$$

where we recall that

$$M[n] := \{x \in M : nx = 0\} = \text{Tor}_1(M, \mathbb{Z}/n) = H_1(M \otimes_L \mathbb{Z}/n),$$

which denote the torsion subgroup and first homology group, respectively, and similarly for  $E$  and  $N$ . The boundary map  $\delta$  lifts an element  $x \in N[n]$  to  $\tilde{x} \in E$ , so that  $n\tilde{x} \in M$  since  $nx = 0$  in  $N$ , and then maps  $n\tilde{x}$  to its equivalence class in  $M/n$ . It remains to check the following claims:

CLAIM 6.2. *The boundary map  $\delta$  is well-defined.*

PROOF. Suppose  $\tilde{\tilde{x}}$  is another lift of  $x$ . Then  $\tilde{x} - \tilde{\tilde{x}} \in M$  as its image in  $N$  is zero, hence  $n(\tilde{x} - \tilde{\tilde{x}}) \in nM$ , so  $n\tilde{x} = n\tilde{\tilde{x}}$  in  $M/nM$ .  $\square$

CLAIM 6.3. *The sequence in (6.1) is exact.*

PROOF. This is clear at all maps aside from the boundary map. If  $\delta(x) = n\tilde{x} = 0$  in  $M/n$  for some  $x \in N[n]$  with lift  $\tilde{x} \in E$ , then  $\tilde{x} \in M$ , and therefore  $x = 0$  in  $N$ . Hence  $x \in N[n]$  and so  $\tilde{x} \in E[n]$  by exactness. Similarly, if  $x \in M/n$  has image zero  $E/n$ , then  $\tilde{x} = ny$  for some  $y \in E$ , where  $\tilde{x}$  is a lift of  $x$  to  $M$ . Projecting down to  $N$ , we see that  $0 = n\bar{y}$  by exactness, and therefore  $\bar{y} \in N[n]$ . So  $ny \in M$ , again by exactness, and  $\delta(\bar{y}) = ny = x$  as classes in  $M/n$ , as desired.  $\square$

We have the following useful lemma:

LEMMA 6.4. *Suppose*

$$0 \rightarrow X^0 \xrightarrow{d^1} X^1 \xrightarrow{d^2} \dots \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^n} X^n \rightarrow 0$$

*is exact, and all  $X^i$  are finite. Then*

$$\#X^0 \cdot \#X^2 \dots = \#X^1 \cdot \#X^3 \dots$$

PROOF. We proceed by induction on  $n$ . The result is clear for  $n = 1$ , so suppose it holds for  $n - 1$ . Form the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow X^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} \text{Im}(d^{n-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(d^{n-1}) \rightarrow X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^n} X^n \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose  $n$  is even. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \#X^0 \cdot \#X^2 \dots \#X^n &= \#X^0 \cdot \#X^2 \dots \#X^{n-1} \cdot \frac{\#X^{n-1}}{\#\text{Im}(d^{n-1})} \\ &= \#X^1 \cdot \#X^3 \dots \# \text{Im}(d^{n-1}) \cdot \frac{X^{n-1}}{\#\text{Im}(d^{n-1})} \\ &= \#X^1 \cdot \#X^3 \dots \#X^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

by the inductive hypothesis. The proof for odd  $n$  is similar.  $\square$

DEFINITION 6.5. Let  $M$  be an abelian group with  $M/n$  and  $M[n]$  finite. Then

$$\chi(M) := \chi_n(M) := \frac{\#(M/n)}{\#(M[n])}$$

is the *Euler characteristic* of  $M$ .

EXAMPLE 6.6. (1) If  $M$  is finite, then  $\chi(M) = 1$ . To see this, observe that

$$0 \rightarrow M[n] \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{n} M \rightarrow M/n \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, and so by Lemma 6.4,  $\#(M[n]) \cdot \#M = \#M \cdot \#(M/n)$ .

(2) If  $M = \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\chi(M) = n$ , since  $M[n] = 0$  and  $M/n = \mathbb{Z}/n$  has order  $n$ .

The following lemma is an important fact about Euler characteristics:

LEMMA 6.7. *For a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

*if  $\chi$  exists for two of the three abelian groups, then it exists for the third, and  $\chi(M) \cdot \chi(N) = \chi(E)$ , where “exists” means that (say for  $M$ )  $M/n$  and  $M[n]$  are both finite.*

PROOF. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M[n] \rightarrow E[n] \rightarrow N[n] \rightarrow M/n \rightarrow E/n \rightarrow N/n \rightarrow 0.$$

More generally, note that if  $X^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} X^n \xrightarrow{d^n} X^{n+1}$  is exact, then  $X^n$  is finite if  $X^{n-1}$  and  $X^{n+1}$  are, since there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(d^{n-1}) = \text{Ker}(d^n) \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow \text{Im}(d^n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the outer two groups are finite and therefore  $\#X^n = \#\text{Ker}(d^n) \cdot \#\text{Im}(d^n)$  is too. Thus, all groups in the sequence are finite, and

$$\#(M[n]) \cdot \#(N[n]) \cdot \#(E/n) = \#(E[n]) \cdot \#(M/n) \cdot \#(N/n)$$

by Lemma 6.4, which yields the desired expression.  $\square$

As an application, let us compute  $\#(K^\times/(K^\times)^n)$ . Observe that

$$\chi(K^\times) = \frac{\#(K^\times/(K^\times)^n)}{\#(K^\times[n])},$$

where the denominator is the number of  $n$ th roots of unity in  $K$ . Moreover, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\times \rightarrow K^\times \xrightarrow{v} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

and so by Lemma 6.7,  $\chi(K^\times) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)\chi(\mathbb{Z}) = n\chi(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)$ . Thus, we'd really like to compute  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)$ .

A good heuristic to use is that if  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$  contains some open, that is, finite index, subgroup  $\Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma \simeq \mathcal{O}_K^+$ , which is true if  $\text{char}(K) = 0$  by  $p$ -adic exponentials. It then follows that

$$(6.2) \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_K^\times) = \chi(\Gamma)\chi(\mathcal{O}_K^\times/\Gamma) = \chi(\Gamma) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

under addition, since  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times/\Gamma$  is finite by assumption. Then  $\mathcal{O}_K[n] = 0$  additively (since  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is an integral domain), and  $\chi(\mathcal{O}_K) = \#(\mathcal{O}_K/n) = |n|_K^{-1}$ , where  $|x|_K := q^{-v(x)}$  denotes the normalized (i.e.,  $v(\pi) = 1$  for a uniformizer  $\pi$ ) absolute value inside  $K$ , and  $q$  denotes the order of the residue field. The resulting formula

$$(6.3) \quad \#(K^\times/(K^\times)^n) = \frac{n \cdot \#(K^\times[n])}{|n|_K}$$

recovers that already proven in Problem 1(b) of Problem Set 1 for  $n = 2$  (though the same methods would also work for general  $n$ ). The proof without exponentials uses the fact that, for large enough  $N$ ,

$$1 + \mathfrak{p}^N \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^n} 1 + \mathfrak{p}^{N+v(n)}$$

is an isomorphism (which can be shown using filtrations; this is the multiplicative version of the additive statement we had earlier).

We now introduce the notion of Tate cohomology for cyclic groups.

**DEFINITION 6.8.** If  $G$  is a (not necessarily finite) group, then a  $G$ -module  $A$  is an abelian group, with  $G$  acting on  $A$  by group automorphism. Equivalently, there is a homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ , where the action of  $G$  satisfies

- (1)  $g \cdot (a + b) = g \cdot a + g \cdot b$ ,
- (2)  $(gh) \cdot a = g \cdot (h \cdot a)$ ,

for all  $g, h \in G$  and  $a, b \in A$ .

**EXAMPLE 6.9.** If  $L/K$  is an extension of fields with  $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , then  $L$  and  $L^\times$  are  $G$ -modules, since field automorphisms preserve both operations. This will be the main example concerning us.

Now, assume  $G$  is finite, and let  $A$  be a  $G$ -module.

DEFINITION 6.10. The *first Tate cohomology group* is

$$\hat{H}^0(G, A) := A^G / \mathbf{N}(A),$$

where

$$A^G := \{a \in A : g \cdot a = a \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

denotes the set of fixed points.

Note that the norm map is defined as

$$\mathbf{N}: A \rightarrow A, \quad a \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} g \cdot a,$$

so we really do need the assumption that  $G$  be finite. Moreover, this expression shows that the norm map factors through  $A^G \subseteq A$ .

EXAMPLE 6.11. (1) Returning to Example 6.9 with  $A = L$ , we have  $A^G = K$ , and  $\mathbf{N}: L \rightarrow K$  is the field trace, hence  $\hat{H}^0(L/K) = K/\mathbf{T}(L) = 0$ , since  $L/K$  must be separable.

(2) If  $A = L^\times$ , then  $(L^\times)^G = K^\times$ , and  $\hat{H}^0(L^\times) = K^\times / \mathbf{N}(L^\times)$ . Thus, our earlier problem is now rephrased as computing  $\hat{H}^0(G, L^\times)$  for  $L/K$  a cyclic extension of local fields.

(3) If  $A$  is any abelian group, then we say that  $G$  acts on  $A$  trivially if  $g \cdot a = a$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $a \in A$ . Then  $\hat{H}^0(G, A) = A/\#G$ . Thus, the notion of Tate cohomology entirely generalizes our previous discussion.

DEFINITION 6.12. A *map* (or  *$G$ -morphism*, or any other reasonable nomenclature) of  $G$ -modules  $A \xrightarrow{f} B$  is a group homomorphism preserving the action of  $G$ , that is,  $f(g \cdot a) = g \cdot f(a)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $a \in A$ .

A *(short) exact sequence of  $G$ -modules* is a (short) exact sequence of abelian groups, but where all maps are  $G$ -morphisms.

EXAMPLE 6.13.  $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L^\times \rightarrow L^\times \xrightarrow{v} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$  is a short exact sequence of  $G$ -modules, where  $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $G$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{Z}$  and on  $\mathcal{O}_L^\times$  via the Galois action.

Now, let

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

by a short exact sequence of  $G$ -modules. Then we obtain an exact sequence

$$(6.4) \quad \hat{H}^0(G, A) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \hat{H}^0(G, B) \xrightarrow{\beta} \hat{H}^0(G, C),$$

where  $\alpha$  is not necessarily injective (as we saw when the group action was trivial in the previous lecture), and  $\beta$  is not necessarily surjective. This is because Tate cohomology involves two operations: one, taking fixed points, is left-exact, but not right-exact, and the other, taking a quotient, is right-exact but not left-exact.

Now, assume  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\sigma \in G$  be a generator (i.e. 1).

DEFINITION 6.14. The *second Tate cohomology group* is

$$\hat{H}^1(G, A) := \text{Ker}(\mathbf{N}: A \rightarrow A) / (1 - \sigma)A.$$

Note that the reason we take the quotient is because, for any  $x := y - \sigma y$  for  $y \in A$ , we get

$$N(x) = x + \sigma x + \cdots + \sigma^{n-1}x = y - \sigma y + \sigma y - \sigma^2 y + \cdots + \sigma^{n-1}y - \underbrace{\sigma^n y}_y = 0,$$

and we'd like to omit these trivial cases for the kernel.

Now, we claim that for an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0,$$

there is an exact sequence

$$(6.5) \quad \hat{H}^0(A) \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(B) \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(C) \xrightarrow{\delta} \hat{H}^1(A) \rightarrow \hat{H}^1(B) \rightarrow \hat{H}^1(C)$$

via the boundary map  $\delta$ , which lifts any  $x \in C^G/N(C)$  to  $\tilde{x} \in B$ , and then takes  $(1 - \sigma)\tilde{x}$ . Since  $x \in C^G$ , we have  $(1 - \sigma)x = 0$  in  $C$ , and therefore  $(1 - \sigma)\tilde{x} \in A$ . Moreover,  $(1 - \sigma)\tilde{x}$  is clearly killed by the norm in  $A$ , hence it gives a class in  $\hat{H}^1(G, A)$ . Again, we check the following:

CLAIM 6.15. *The boundary map  $\delta$  is well-defined, i.e., it doesn't depend on the choice of  $\tilde{x}$ .*

PROOF. If  $\tilde{\tilde{x}}$  is another lift, then  $\tilde{x} - \tilde{\tilde{x}} \in A$  since  $C \simeq B/A$ , so  $(1 - \sigma)(\tilde{x} - \tilde{\tilde{x}})$  is zero in  $\hat{H}^1(G, A)$ .  $\square$

CLAIM 6.16. *The sequence (6.5) extends to be exact.*

PROOF. As before, we verify this only at the boundary map. Letting  $x \in B^G/N(B)$ , its image in  $\hat{H}^1(A)$  is  $(1 - \sigma)x = 0$ . If  $x \in \text{Ker}(\delta)$ , then  $\tilde{x} \in B^G$  and hence in  $\hat{H}^0(B)$  for some lift  $\tilde{x}$  of  $x$ .

Letting  $x \in C^G/N(C)$ , its image in  $\hat{H}^1(A)$  is  $(1 - \sigma)\tilde{x}$ , where  $\tilde{x}$  is a lift of  $x$  to  $B$ , hence it is killed in  $\hat{H}^1(B)$  by definition. If  $x \in \hat{H}^1(A)$  is 0 in  $\hat{H}^1(B)$ , then  $x \in (1 - \sigma)B$ , hence  $x \in \text{Im}(\delta)$ .  $\square$

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

18.786 Number Theory II: Class Field Theory  
Spring 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.