## The separation axioms

We! give two examples of spaces that satisfy a given separation axiom but not the next stronger one. The first is a familiar space, and the second is not.

Theorem F.1. If $J$ is uncountable, $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{J}}$ is completely regular, but not normal.

Proof. The proof follows the outline given in Exercise 9 of $\$ 32$. The space $\mathbb{R}^{\top}$ is of course completely regular, being a product of completed Y regular spaces. Let $\mathrm{X}=\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\top}$; since X is a closed subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{J}}$, it suffices to show that X is not normal. We shall use functional notation for the elements of $X$ rather than tuple notation.

Given a finite subset $B$ of $J_{\text {; }}$ and given a point $x$ of $X$, let $U(x, B)$ be the set of all those elements $Y$ of $X$ such that $Y(\alpha)=x(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha$ in $B$. Then $U(x, B)$ is open in $X$; indeed, it is the cartesian product $\Pi U_{\alpha}$, where $U_{\alpha}$ is a one-point set $f 6 r \alpha$ in $B$ ard $U_{\alpha}=\mathbb{Z}_{+}$ otherwise. It is imediate that the sets $U(x, B)$ form a basis for $X$, since the one-point sets form a basis for $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$.

Given a positive integer $n$, let $P_{n}$ be the subset of $X$ consisting of those maps $x: J \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}$slich that for each $i$ different from $n$, the set $x^{-1}(i)$ consists. of at most one element of $J$. (This of course implies that $x^{-1}(n)$ consists of uncountably many elements of J. ) The set $P_{n}$ is closed, for if $y$ is not in $P_{n}$, then there is an integer $i \neq n$ and distinct indices $\alpha, \beta$ of $J$ stich that $y(\alpha)=y(\beta)=i$. The basis element $U(y, B)$, where $B=\{\alpha, \beta\}$, contains $Y$ and is disjoint from $P_{n}$.

Furthermore, if $n \neq m$, then $P_{n}$ ard $P_{m}$ are disjoint. For if $x$ is in $P_{r_{i}}$ then $x$ meps uncountably many elements of $J$ tc $n$; while if $x$ is in $P_{n^{\prime}}$ it maps at most one element of $J$ to $n$.

Let $U$ and $V$ be open sets of $X$ containing $P_{1}$ ard $P_{2}$, respectively. We show that $U$ and $v$ are not disjoint. It follows that $X$ is not normal.

Step 1. We define a sequence $\alpha_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \ldots$ of elements of $J$, a sequence $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ of points of $x$, arid a sequence $n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots$ of positive integers, inductively as follows:

Let $x_{1}(\alpha)=1$ for all $\alpha$. Then $x_{1}$ is in $P_{I}$; choose a finite nonempty subset $B_{1}$ of $J$ such that $U\left(x_{1}, B_{1}\right)$ is contained in $U$. Index the elements of $B_{1}$ so that

$$
B_{1}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n_{1}}\right\}
$$

Now suppose that $x_{k}$ and $n_{k}$ are given, and that $\alpha_{j}$ is defined for $j=1, \ldots, n_{k}$. Let $B_{k}$ denote the set

$$
B_{k}=\left\{\alpha_{j} \mid 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n_{k}\right\}
$$

Define a point $X_{k+1}$ of $X$ by setting

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{k+1}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=j & \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq n_{k}, \quad \text { ard } \\
x_{k+1}(\alpha)=1 & \text { for all other } \alpha .
\end{array}
$$

Then $x$ belongs to $P_{1}$. Choose $B_{k+1}$ so that $U\left(x_{k+1}, B_{k+1}\right)$ is contained in $U$. Without loss of generality, we can choose $B_{k+1}$ so that it properly contains $B_{k}$. Index the elements of $B_{k+1}-B_{k}$ so that

$$
B_{k+1}-B_{k}=\left\{\alpha_{j} \mid n_{k}<j \leq n_{k+1}\right\} .
$$

By induction (actually, recursive definition), we have defined $x_{i}$ ard $\alpha_{i}$ and $n_{i}$ for all $i$.

Step 2. Now, define a point $y$ of $X$ by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=j \text { for ail } j, \\
& y^{\prime}(\alpha)=2 \text { for all other } \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $Y$ belongs to $P_{2}$. Choose $C$ se that $U(y, C)$ is contained in $V$. Since $C$ is finite, it contains $\alpha_{j}$ for only finitely many $j$; choose $n_{k}$ so that $C$ contains no $\alpha_{j}$ for which $j>n_{k}$. We shall show that $U(y, C)$ intersects $U\left(x_{k+1}, B_{k+1}\right)$, so that the sets $U$ and $V$ are not disjoint. Let us define a point $z$ of $X$ (cleverly!) by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=j \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq n_{k}, \\
& z\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=1 \text { for } n_{k}<j \leq n_{k+1}, \quad \text { and } \\
& z(\alpha)=2 \text { for all other } \alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $z\left(\alpha_{j}\right)$ equals $x_{k+1}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n_{k+1}$, so that $z$ belongs to $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}, \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k}+1}\right)$. On the other hand, we show that $z(\alpha)=y(\alpha)$ for $\alpha$ in $C$, so that $z$ belongs to $U(y, C)$; our result is then proved. It is certainly true that $z(\alpha)=y(\alpha)$ if $\alpha$ is one of the indices $\alpha_{j}$, for in that case $j \leq n_{k^{\prime}}$ so that $z\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=j=y\left(\alpha_{j}\right)$. And it is true that $z(\alpha)=y(\alpha)$ if $\alpha$ is not one of the indices $\alpha_{j}$; for in that case $z(\alpha)=2=y(\alpha) \cdot \square$

Theorem F.2. There is a space that is regular but not completely regular.

Proof. The proof follows the outline given in Exercise 11 of 833 .
Step 1. Given an even integer $m$, Let $I_{m}$ denote the line segment $m \times[-1,0]$ in the plane. And given an odd integer $n$, ard an integer $k \geqslant 2$, let $C_{n, k}$ denote the union of the line segments

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n+(k-1) / k) \times[-1,0] \\
& (n-(k-1) / k) \times[-1,0]
\end{aligned}
$$

ard the semicircle

$$
\left\{x \times y \mid(x-n)^{2}+y^{2}=(k-1)^{2} / k^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad y \geqslant 0\right\}
$$

in the plane. We call $C_{n, k}$ an "arch" and we call. $L_{m}$ a "pillar." Finally, we let $X$. be the union of the pillars $L_{n \prime}$, for all even integers $m$, ard the arches $C_{n, k^{\prime}}$ for all odd integers $n$ arid all integers $k \geq 2$, along with two additional points $a$ ard $b$, which we call the "points at infinity." For each odd $n$ and each $k \geq 2$, we let $p_{n, k}$ be the point

$$
p_{n, k}=n \times(k-1) / k ;
$$

it is the "peak" of the arch $C_{n, k}$. See the accompanying figure.


We' now topologize $X$ in a most unusual fashion. We take as basis elements all sets of the following five types:
(i) Each one-point set $\{p\}$, where $p$ is a point lying on any one of the arches $C_{r, k}$ thiat is different from the peak $p_{n, k}$ of this arch.
(ii) The set formed from one of the sets $C_{n, k}$ by deleting finitely many points.
(iii) Fcr each even integer $m$, each $\varepsilon$ with $0<\varepsilon<1$, and each $\mathrm{y} \in[-1,0]$, the intersection of $X$ with the horizontal open line segment $(\mathrm{m}-\varepsilon, \mathrm{m}+\varepsilon) \times \mathrm{y}$.
(iv) Fcr each even integer $m$, the union of $\{a\}$ ard the set of points $x \times y$ of $x$ for which $x<m$.
(v) For each even integer $m$, the union of $\{b\}$ ard the set of points $x \times y$ of $X$ for which $x>m$.

The basis elements of type (ii) are the neighborhoods of the peaks; those of type (iii) are the neighborhoods of points lying on the pillars; and those of types (iv) and (v) are the neighborhoods of the points at infinity. It is easy (but boring) to check the conditions for a basis; we leave it to you. Each of the arches $C_{n, k}$ is an open set of $X$.

We shall call the space X "Thomas' arches," because it was invented by the topologist John Thomas.

Step 2. It is trivial to check that $X$ is $T_{1}$-space; given two points, each has a neighborhood that excludes the other. To check regularity, let $p$ be a point of $X$, and let $U$ be a basis element containing $p$. We consider several cases, showing there is a neighborhood $V$ of $p$ such that $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \in \mathrm{U}$.

If $U$ is a basis element of types (i), (ii), or (iii), then $\bar{U}=U$, and we are finished. So suppose that $U$ is of type (iv), consisting of the point a along with those points $x x y$ of $x$ for which $x<m$. If $p$ is the point $a$, then we let $V$ consist of the point $a$ along with those points $x \times y$ of $X$ for which $x<m-2$. Then $\bar{V}=V U L_{m-2^{\prime}}$ which lies in $U$. If $p$ is some other point of $U$, there is a basis element $V$ of type (i), (ii), or (iii) containing $p$ and lying in $U$; then $\bar{V}=V$ ard we are finished. The argument when $U$ is of type (v) is similar.

Step 3. $X$ is not completely regular. Indeed, we show that if $f$ is any continuous function $f: X \rightarrow[0,1]$, then $f(a)=f(b)$.

Given $n_{i} k$, let $S_{i, k}$ be the set of points $p$ of the arch $C_{n, k}$ for which the value of $f$ at $p$ is different from the value of $f$ at the peak $p_{n, k}$ of the arch. Then the set $S_{n, k}$ is countable: Let $f\left(p_{n, k}\right)=c$. The set $f^{-1}(c)$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set in $X$, since it is the intersection of the open sets $\mathrm{F}^{-1}\left(\left(\mathrm{c}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{C}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)$. Each of these open sets contains all but finitely many points of $C_{n, k}$. Hence their intersection contains all but countably many points of $C_{n, k}$. Thus $S_{n, k}$ is countable.

It follows that the union of all the sets $S_{n, k}$ is countable. Therefore we may choose a real number $d$ with $-1 \leq d \leq 0$ stich that the horizontal line $t R \times\{d\}$ intersects none of the sets $S_{n, k}$. This means that for each arch $C_{n, k^{\prime}}$ the value of $f$ at the points where the arch intersects this horizontal line equals the value of $f$ at the peak of the arch.

Now for each even integer $m$, lett $c_{r}$ be the point where the line $\mathbb{R} \times\{d\}$ intersects the pillar $L_{m}$. We assert that the values of $f$ at the points $C_{m}$ and $c_{m+2}$ are equal.

To prove this fact, set $n=m+1$, consider the arch $C_{r 1, k^{\prime}}^{-}$and let $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ denote the points of intersection of this arch with the line $\mathbb{R} \times\{d\}$. (For convenience, let $a_{k}$ be the one with smaller $x$-coordinate.) Then as $k$ increases, the sequence $a_{k}$ converges to $c_{m}$, while the sequence $b_{k}$ converges to $c_{\pi+2}$. Continuity of $f$ then implies that $f\left(a_{k}\right)$ converges to $f\left(c_{m}\right)$ and $f\left(b_{k}\right)$ converges to $f\left(c_{m+2}\right)$. But by construction,

$$
f\left(a_{k}\right)=f\left(p_{n, k}\right)=f\left(b_{k}\right)!
$$

We conclude that $f\left(c_{m}\right)=f\left(c_{m+2}\right)$ :
It follows that the values of $f$ at the points $c_{m}$ are all equal. . But $c_{\mathrm{m}}$ converges to the point a $a s_{i} m$ goes to $-\infty$, ard $c_{m}$ converges to $b$ as $m$ goes to $+\infty$. It follows from continuity of $f$ that $f(a)=f(b)$.


