## 33 A plethora of products

We are now heading towards a statement of Poincaré duality.
Recall that we have the Kronecker pairing

$$
\langle-,-\rangle: H^{p}(X ; R) \otimes H_{p}(X ; R) \rightarrow R .
$$

It's obviously not "natural," because $H^{p}$ is contravariant while homology is covariant. But given $f: X \rightarrow Y, b \in H^{p}(Y)$, and $x \in H_{p}(X)$, we can ask: How does $\left\langle f^{*} b, x\right\rangle$ relate to $\left\langle b, f_{*} x\right\rangle$ ?

Claim 33.1. $\left\langle f^{*} b, x\right\rangle=\left\langle b, f_{*} x\right\rangle$.
Proof. This is easy! I find it useful to write out diagrams to show where things are. We're going to work on the chain level.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(S_{p}(Y), R\right) \otimes S_{p}(X) \xrightarrow{\text { l } \otimes f_{*}} \operatorname{Hom}\left(S_{p}(Y), R\right) \otimes S_{p}(Y) \\
& \mid\langle-,-\rangle \\
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(S_{p}(X), R\right) \otimes S_{p}(X) \xrightarrow{f^{*} \otimes 1} \xrightarrow{\langle-,-\rangle} \downarrow^{\langle-,-}
\end{aligned}
$$

We want this diagram to commute. Suppose $[\beta]=b$ and $[\xi]=x$. Then going to the right and then down gives

$$
\beta \otimes \xi \mapsto \beta \otimes f_{*}(\xi) \mapsto \beta\left(f_{*} \xi\right)
$$

The other way gives

$$
\beta \otimes \xi \mapsto f^{*}(\beta) \otimes \xi=\left(\beta \circ f_{*}\right) \otimes \xi \mapsto\left(\beta \circ f_{*}\right)(\xi)
$$

This is exactly $\beta\left(f_{*} \xi\right)$.
There's actually another product in play here:

$$
\mu: H\left(C_{*}\right) \otimes H\left(D_{*}\right) \rightarrow H\left(C_{*} \otimes D_{*}\right)
$$

given by $[c] \otimes[d] \mapsto[c \otimes d]$. I used it to pass from the chain level computation we did to the homology statement.

We also have the two cross products:

$$
\times: H_{p}(X) \otimes H_{q}(Y) \rightarrow H_{p+q}(X \times Y)
$$

and

$$
\times: H^{p}(X) \otimes H^{q}(Y) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(X \times Y) .
$$

You might think this is fishy because both maps are in the same direction. But it's OK, because we used different things to make these constructions: the chain-level cross product (or Eilenberg-Zilber map) for homology and the Alexander-Whitney map for cohomology. Still, they're related:

Lemma 33.2. Let $a \in H^{p}(X), b \in H^{q}(Y), x \in H_{p}(X), y \in H_{q}(Y)$. Then:

$$
\langle a \times b, x \times y\rangle=(-1)^{|x| \cdot|b|}\langle a, x\rangle\langle b, y\rangle .
$$

Proof. Look at the chain-level cross product and the Alexander-Whitney maps:

$$
\times: S_{*}(X) \otimes S_{*}(Y) \leftrightarrows S_{*}(X \times Y): \alpha
$$

They are inverse isomorphisms in dimension 0 , and both sides are projective resolutions with respect to the models $\left(\Delta^{p}, \Delta^{q}\right)$; so by acyclic models they are natural chain homotopy inverses.

Say $[f]=a,[g]=b,[\xi]=x,[\eta]=y$. Write $f g$ for the composite

$$
S_{p}(X) \otimes S_{q}(Y) \xrightarrow{\times} S_{p+q}(X \times Y) \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} R \otimes R \rightarrow R .
$$

Then:

$$
(f \times g)(\xi \times \eta)=(f g) \alpha(\xi \times \eta) \simeq(f g)(\xi \otimes \eta)=(-1)^{p q} f(\xi) g(\eta)
$$

We can use this to prove a restricted form of the Künneth theorem in cohomology.
Theorem 33.3. Let $R$ be a PID. Assume that $H_{p}(X)$ is a finitely generated free $R$-module for all p. Then

$$
\times: H^{*}(X ; R) \otimes_{R} H^{*}(Y ; R) \rightarrow H^{*}(X \times Y ; R)
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof. Write $M^{\vee}$ for the linear dual of an $R$-module $M$. By our assumption about $H_{p}(X)$, the map

$$
H_{p}(X)^{\vee} \otimes H_{q}(Y)^{\vee} \rightarrow\left(H_{p}(X) \otimes H_{q}(Y)\right)^{\vee}
$$

sending $f \otimes g$ to $\left(x \otimes y \mapsto(-1)^{p q} f(x) g(y)\right)$, is an isomorphism. The homology Künneth theorem guarantees that the bottom map in the following diagram is an isomorphism.


Commutativity of this diagram is exactly the content of Lemma 33.2.
We saw before that $\times$ is an algebra map, so under the conditions of the theorem it is an isomorphism of algebras. You do need some finiteness assumption, even if you are working over a field. For example let $T$ be an infinite set, regarded as a space with the discrete topology. Then $H^{0}(T ; R)=\operatorname{Map}(T, R)$. But

$$
\operatorname{Map}(T, R) \otimes \operatorname{Map}(T, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}(T \times T, R)
$$

sending $f \otimes g$ to $(s, t) \rightarrow f(s) g(t)$ is not surjective; the characteristic function of the diagonal is not in the image, for example (unless $R=0$ ).

There are more products around. For example, there is a map

$$
H^{p}(Y) \otimes H^{q}(X, A) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(Y \times X, Y \times A)
$$

Constructing this is on your homework. Suppose $Y=X$. Then I get

$$
\cup: H^{*}(X) \otimes H^{*}(X, A) \rightarrow H^{*}(X \times X, X \times A) \xrightarrow{\Delta^{*}} H^{*}(X, A)
$$

where $\Delta:(X, A) \rightarrow(X \times X, X \times A)$ is the "relative diagonal." This relative cup product makes $H^{*}(X, A)$ into a module over the graded algebra $H^{*}(X)$. The relative cohomology is not a ring it doesn't have a unit, for example - but it is a module. And the long exact sequence of the pair is a sequence of $H^{*}(X)$-modules.

I want to introduce you to one more product, one that will enter into our expression of Poincaré duality. This is the cap product. What can I do with $S^{p}(X) \otimes S_{n}(X)$ ? Well, I can form the composite:

$$
\cap: S^{p}(X) \otimes S_{n}(X) \xrightarrow{1 \times\left(\alpha 0 \Delta_{*}\right)} S^{p}(X) \otimes S_{p}(X) \otimes S_{n-p}(X) \xrightarrow{\langle-,-\rangle \otimes 1} S_{n-p}(X)
$$

Using our explicit formula for $\alpha$, we can write:

$$
\cap: \beta \otimes \sigma \mapsto \beta \otimes\left(\sigma \circ \alpha_{p}\right) \otimes\left(\sigma \circ \omega_{q}\right) \mapsto\left(\beta\left(\sigma \circ \alpha_{p}\right)\right)\left(\sigma \circ \omega_{q}\right)
$$

We are evaluating the cochain on part of the chain, leaving a lower dimensional chain left over.
This composite is a chain map, and so induces a map in homology:

$$
\cap: H^{p}(X) \otimes H_{n}(X) \rightarrow H_{n-p}(X)
$$

Notice how the dimensions work. Long ago a bad choice was made: If cohomology were graded with negative integers, the way the gradations work here would look better.

There are also two slant products. Maybe I won't talk about them. In the next lecture, I'll check a few things about cap products, and then get into the machinery of Poincare duality.
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