25 Künneth and Eilenberg-Zilber

We want to compute the homology of a product. Long ago, in Lecture 7, we constructed a bilinear map $S_p(X) \times S_q(Y) \to S_{p+q}(X \times Y)$, called the cross product. So we get a linear map $S_p(X) \otimes S_q(Y) \to S_{p+q}(X \times Y)$, and it satisfies the Leibniz formula, i.e., $d(x \times y) = dx \times y + (-1)^p x \times dy$. The method we used works with any coefficient ring, not just the integers.

Definition 25.1. Let C_*, D_* be two chain complexes. Their *tensor product* is the chain complex with

$$(C_* \otimes D_*)_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C_p \otimes D_q$$

The differential $(C_* \otimes D_*)_n \to (C_* \otimes D_*)_{n-1}$ sends $C_p \otimes D_q$ into the submodule $C_{p-1} \otimes D_q \bigoplus C_p \otimes D_{q-1}$ by

$$x \otimes y \mapsto dx \otimes y + (-1)^p x \otimes dy$$
.

So the cross product is a map of chain complexes $S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y) \to S_*(X \times Y)$. There are two questions:

(1) Is this map an isomorphism in homology?

(2) How is the homology of a tensor product of chain complexes related to the tensor product of their homologies?

It's easy to see what happens in dimension zero, because $\pi_0(X) \times \pi_0(Y) = \pi_0(X \times Y)$ implies that $H_0(X) \otimes H_0(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_0(X \times Y)$.

Let's dispose of the purely algebraic question (2) first.

Theorem 25.2. Let R be a PID and C_* , D_* be chain complexes of R-modules. Assume that C_n is a free R-module for all n. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(C) \otimes H_q(D) \to H_n(C_* \otimes D_*) \to \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1} \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(H_p(C), H_q(D)) \to 0$$

natural in these data, that splits (but not naturally).

Proof. This is exactly the same as the proof for the UCT. It's a good idea to work through this on your own. \Box

Corollary 25.3. Let R be a PID and assume C'_n and C_n are R free for all n. If $C'_* \to C_*$ and $D'_* \to D_*$ are homology isomorphisms then so is $C'_* \otimes D'_* \to C_* \otimes D_*$.

Our attack on question (1) is via the method of "acyclic models." This is really a special case of the Fundamental Theorem of Homological Algebra, Theorem 22.1.

Definition 25.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a category, and fix a set \mathcal{M} of objects in \mathcal{C} , to be called the "models." A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{Ab}$ is \mathcal{M} -free if it is the free abelian group generated by a coproduct of corepresentable functors. That is, F is a direct sum of functors of the form $\mathbf{ZC}(M, -)$ where $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

Example 25.5. Since we are interested in the singular homology of a product of two spaces, it may be sensible to take as C the category of ordered pairs of spaces, $C = \text{Top}^2$, and for \mathcal{M} the set of pairs of simplicies, $\mathcal{M} = \{(\Delta^p, \Delta^q) : p, q \ge 0\}$. Then

$$S_n(X \times Y) = \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Top}(\Delta^n \times X) \times \mathbf{Top}(\Delta^n, Y)] = \mathbf{ZTop}^2((\Delta^n, \Delta^n), (X, Y))$$

is \mathcal{M} -free.

Example 25.6. With the same category and models,

$$(S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y))_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} S_p(X) \otimes S_q(Y)$$

is \mathcal{M} -free, since the tensor product has as free basis the set

$$\coprod_{p+q=n} \operatorname{Sin}_p(X) \times \operatorname{Sin}_q(Y) = \coprod_{p+q=n} \operatorname{Top}^2((\Delta^p, \Delta^q), (X, Y)).$$

Definition 25.7. A natural transformation of functors $\theta : F \to G$ is an \mathcal{M} -epimorphism if $\theta_M : F(M) \to G(M)$ is a surjection of abelian groups for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$. A sequence of natural transformations is a composable pair $G' \to G \to G''$ with trivial composition. Let K be the objectwise kernel of $G \to G''$. There is a factorization $G' \to K$. The sequence is \mathcal{M} -exact if $G' \to K$ is a \mathcal{M} -epimorphism. Equivalently, $G'(M) \to G(M) \to G''(M)$ is exact for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

Example 25.8. We claim that

$$\dots \to S_n(X \times Y) \to S_{n-1}(X \times Y) \to \dots \to S_0(X \times Y) \to H_0(X \times Y) \to 0$$

is \mathcal{M} -exact. Just plug in (Δ^p, Δ^q) : you get an exact sequence, since $\Delta^p \times \Delta^q$ is contractible.

Example 25.9. The sequence

$$\cdots \to (S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y))_n \to (S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y))_{n-1} \to \cdots \to S_0(X) \otimes S_0(Y) \to H_0(X) \otimes H_0(Y) \to 0$$

is also \mathcal{M} -exact, by Corollary 25.3.

The terms " \mathcal{M} -free" and " \mathcal{M} -exact" relate to each other in the expected way:

Lemma 25.10. Let C be a category with a set of models \mathcal{M} and let $F, G, G' : C \to \mathbf{Ab}$ be functors. Suppose that F is \mathcal{M} -free, let $G' \to G$ be a \mathcal{M} -epimorphism, and let $f : F \to G$ be any natural transformation. Then there is a lifting:



Proof. Clearly we may assume that $F(X) = \mathbf{Z}\mathcal{C}(M, X)$. Suppose that $X = M \in \mathcal{M}$. We get:

$$\mathbf{Z}\mathcal{C}(M, \widetilde{M}) \xrightarrow{\overline{f}_{M}} G(M) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}_{M}} G(M)$$

Consider $1_M \in \mathbb{ZC}(M, M)$. Its image $f_M(1_M) \in G(M)$ is hit by some element in $c_M \in G'(M)$, since $G' \to G$ is an \mathcal{M} -epimorphism. Define $\overline{f}_M(1_M) = c_M$.

Now we exploit naturality! Any $\varphi: M \to X$ produces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C}(M,M) \xrightarrow{\overline{f}_M} G'(M) \\ \downarrow^{\varphi_*} & \downarrow^{\varphi_*} \\ \mathcal{C}(M,X) \xrightarrow{\overline{f}_X} G'(X) \end{array}$$

Chase 1_M around the diagram, to see what the value of $\overline{f}_X(\varphi)$ must be:

$$\overline{f}_X(\varphi) = \overline{f}_X(\varphi_*(1_M)) = \varphi_*(\overline{f}_M(1_M)) = \varphi_*(c_M) \,.$$

Now extend linearly. You should check that this does define a natural transformation.

This is precisely the condition required to prove the Fundamental Theorem of Homological Algebra. So we have the

Theorem 25.11 (Acyclic Models). Let \mathcal{M} be a set of models in a category \mathcal{C} . Let $\theta : F \to G$ be a natural transformation of functors from \mathcal{C} to Ab. Let F_* and G_* be functors from \mathcal{C} to chain complexes, with augmentations $F_0 \to F$ and $G_0 \to G$. Assume that F_n is \mathcal{M} -free for all n, and that $G_* \to G \to 0$ is an \mathcal{M} -exact sequence. Then there is a unique chain homotopy class of chain maps $F_* \to G_*$ covering θ .

Corollary 25.12. Suppose furthermore that θ is a natural isomorphism. If each G_n is \mathcal{M} -free and $F_* \to F \to 0$ is an \mathcal{M} -exact sequence, then any natural chain map $F_* \to G_*$ covering θ is a natural chain homotopy equivalence.

Applying this to our category \mathbf{Top}^2 with models as before, we get the following theorem that completes work we did in Lecture 7.

Theorem 25.13 (Eilenberg-Zilber theorem). *There are unique chain homotopy classes of natural chain maps:*

$$S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y) \leftrightarrows S_*(X \times Y)$$

covering the usual isomorphism

$$H_0(X) \otimes H_0(Y) \cong H_0(X \times Y),$$

and they are natural chain homotopy inverses.

Corollary 25.14. There is a canonical natural isomorphism $H(S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y)) \cong H_*(X \times Y)$.

Combining this theorem with the algebraic Künneth theorem, we get:

Theorem 25.15 (Künneth theorem). Take coefficients in a PID R. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(X) \otimes_R H_q(Y) \to H_n(X \times Y) \to \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1} \operatorname{Tor}_1^R(H_p(X), H_q(Y)) \to 0$$

natural in X, Y. It splits as R-modules, but not naturally.

Example 25.16. If R = k is a field, every module is free, so the Tor term vanishes, and you get a Künneth *isomorphism*:

$$\times : H_*(X;k) \otimes_k H_*(Y;k) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(X \times Y;k)$$

This is rather spectacular. For example, what is $H_*(\mathbf{RP}^3 \times \mathbf{RP}^3; k)$, where k is a field? Well, if k has characteristic different from 2, \mathbf{RP}^3 has the same homology as S^3 , so the product has the same homology as $S^3 \times S^3$: the dimensions are 1,0,0,2,0,0,1. If char k = 2, on the other hand, the cohomology modules are either 0 or k, and we need to form the graded tensor product:

so the dimensions of the homology of the product are 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1.

The palindromic character of this sequence will be explained by Poincaré duality. Let's look also at what happens over the integers. Then we have the table of tensor products

$$\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \mathbf{Z} & $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ & 0 & \mathbf{Z} \\ \hline \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Z} & $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ & 0 & $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ \\ \hline $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ & $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ & 0 & $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mathbf{Z} & $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ & 0 & \mathbf{Z} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$$

There is only one nonzero Tor group, namely

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{\mathbf{Z}}(H_{1}(\mathbf{RP}^{3}), H_{1}(\mathbf{RP}^{3})) = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}.$$

Putting this together, we get the groups

The failure of perfect symmetry here is interesting, and will also be explained by Poincaré duality.

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

18.905 Algebraic Topology I Fall 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: https://ocw.mit.edu/terms.