

2/17

Defn: $\kappa^o(T)$ = least cardinal (if one exists) s.t.

\forall singleton a and tuple b there is a subtuple $b' \subseteq b$

with $|b'| < \kappa^o(T)$ and $a \downarrow_{b'} b$

$\kappa^o_r(T)$ = least such regular cardinal.

$$[\kappa^o_r(T)] = \begin{cases} \kappa^o(T) & \text{if } \kappa^o(T) \text{ is regular} \\ (\kappa^o(T))^+ & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Defn: T is simple if $\kappa^o(T)$ exists.

Assume that T is simple.

① Then \forall finite a and infinite $b \exists b' \in [b]^{< \kappa^o(T)}$
st. $a \downarrow_{b'} b$
subtuple of b of card $< \kappa^o(T)$

② Then $\forall a, b \exists b' \in [b]^{< \kappa^o_r(T) + |a|^+}$ st. $a \downarrow_{b'} b$

Proof ① write: $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$

$\forall i < n \exists b'_i \in [b]^{< \kappa^o(T)}$ st. $a_i \downarrow_{b'_i} b$
 $b'_i \subseteq b$

Let $b' = \cup b'_i$ so $b' \in [b]^{< \kappa^o}$

and ② $a_i \downarrow_{b'_i} b$ $\Rightarrow a_i \downarrow_{b'} b$ by trans.

Now by induction: $a_{\leq i} \downarrow_{b'} b = 0 \quad \checkmark$

$$i+1: a_{i+1} \downarrow_{b' a_{\leq i}} b \text{ and } a_{\leq i} \downarrow_{b'} b \stackrel{②}{\Rightarrow} a_{i+1} \downarrow_{b'} b$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{\leq n} \downarrow_{b'} b. \quad \square$$

② Exercise (as above & use finite character).

Assume T is Thick (without defining) (Blackbox for now...)

Thm: Let T be a thick simple theory.

Then \downarrow satisfies:

1. automorphism invariance: If $f \in \text{Aut}(U)$ then $a \downarrow_c b \Leftrightarrow f(a) \downarrow_{f(c)} f(b)$.
2. finite character: $a \downarrow_c b \Leftrightarrow a' \downarrow_c b' \quad \forall a' \subseteq a, b' \subseteq b$ finite.
3. symmetry: $a \downarrow_c b \Leftrightarrow b \downarrow_c a$.
4. transitivity: $a \downarrow_c b, d \Leftrightarrow a \downarrow_c b \text{ \& } a \downarrow_{cb} d$.
5. extension: $\forall a, b, c \quad \exists a' \equiv_c a$ st. $a' \downarrow_c b$.
6. local character: \forall finite a , any $b \quad \exists b' \in [b] \stackrel{\leq |T|}{(|T| = |\Delta| = |L|)}$ st. $a \downarrow_{b'} b$ (saying: $\kappa^{\text{oc}(T)} \leq |T|^+$).

7. The Independence Theorem. (I think I have to do this...)

2/

Moreover: If T is any theory and \perp is a notion of independence satisfying ①-⑦, then T is simple and $\perp = \perp'$

↓ Cameron proves this later.

We assume ⑤ (to be proved later)

①, ② ✓.

~~③~~

Defn: A sequence $(a_i : i < \alpha)$ is a Morley sequence over c

- if 1. it is indiscernible over c .
- 2. for all $i < \alpha$, $a_i \perp_c a_{>i}$

Lemma: Assume $(a_i : i < \omega)$ is a Morley sequence over c .

Then $\forall n < \omega$, $a_{>n} \perp_c a_{\leq n}$

Proof By induction on m :

$$\forall n \quad a_n, \dots, a_{n+m} \perp_c a_{\leq n}$$

$m=0$: defn of Morley seq. ✓

$m+1$: $a_n, \dots, a_{n+m} \perp_c a_{\leq n}$ by ind. hyp.

$$\text{Given: } a_{n+m+1} \perp_c a_{\leq n+m}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{n+m+1} \perp_{c, a_n, \dots, a_{n+m}} a_{\leq n}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n, \dots, a_{n+m+1} \perp_c a_{\leq n}$$

Then by finite character: $a \geq_n \downarrow_c a \leq_n$

Proposition ~~(University of Morley)~~

Assume $(b_i : i < \omega)$ is a Morley seq over c and that it is indiscernible ac. Then $a \downarrow_c b_{<\omega}$

Proof By finite character, we may assume $|a| < \omega$.

Set $K := K_r^0(T)$.

Let $(b'_i : i \in K^*)$ be a similar sequence over ac , where K^* is K with inverse order. (use compactness)

By simplicity, $a \downarrow_{c b'_{K^*}} b'_{K^*}$ where $I \in [K^*]^{<K}$

\Rightarrow ~~By reg of K~~ $\exists i < \omega$ st. $I \subseteq \{j \in K : j > i\} = \{j \in K^* : j >^* i\}$

$\Rightarrow a \downarrow_{c b'_{>^* i}} b'_{K^*} \Rightarrow a \downarrow_{c b'_{\leq^* i}} b'_{\leq^* i}$

We know $\forall n, m: b_n, \dots, b_{n+m-1} \downarrow_c b_{>n}$

By invariance: $\forall I, J \subseteq K^*$ finite, if $I >^* J \Rightarrow b'_{\in I} \downarrow_c b'_{\in J}$

By finite character $b'_{>^* i} \downarrow_c b'_{\leq^* i}$

$\Rightarrow a, b'_{>^* i} \downarrow_c b'_{\leq^* i} \Rightarrow a \downarrow_c b'_{\leq^* i}$

Again by invariance: $a \downarrow_c b_{<n} \forall n \xrightarrow{\text{fin char}} a \downarrow_c b_{<\omega} \quad \square$

~~Proposition~~

Lemma For all a, c \exists a Morley sequence for a over c
 i.e. a Morley sequence $(a_i: i < \omega)$ over c which is in
 the $tp(a/c)$.

Proof Define a sequence $(a_i: i < \lambda)$ (λ big enough)
 as follows:

Given $(a_j: j < i)$ ~~the~~ let a_i be st. $a_i \equiv_c a_i$
 and $a_i \downarrow_c a_{<i}$ (by extnality)

Extract an ω -indiscernible sequence $(a'_i: i < \omega)$

st. $\forall n \exists i_0 < \dots < i_{n-1}$ st. $a'_{i_n} \equiv_c a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_{n-1}}$

Know: $a_{i_n} \downarrow_c a_{<i_n} \Rightarrow a'_{i_n} \downarrow_c a_{i_0}, \dots, a_{i_{n-1}}$

By invariance, $a'_{i_n} \downarrow_c a'_{<i_n}$ \square .

Corollary from previous Proposition (Universality of Morley Sequences)

~~Let~~ $tp(a/b, c) = p(x, b, c)$.

~~Then~~ Let $p(x, b)$ be a partial type over b .

① Then $p(x, b)$ divides over c iff ② for all Morley
 sequences (b_i) for b/c , we have

$\bigwedge p(x, b_i)$ is inconsistent
 iff $\textcircled{3}$ for some MS (b_i) for b/c - $\bigwedge p(x, b_i)$ is
 inconsistent

Proof $\textcircled{2} \Rightarrow \textcircled{3}$ since Morley sequences exist.

$\textcircled{3} \Rightarrow \textcircled{1}$ by defn.

$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow \textcircled{2}$ by contrapositive.

Assume not $\textcircled{2}$, i.e. there is a Morley sequence (b_i) for b/c
 and a st. $\neg \bigwedge p(x, b_i)$. Let $q_n = \text{tp}(b_0 \dots b_{n-1}/c)$. $q_{n+1} = \left[\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \bigcup_{i_0 \dots i_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}} q_n(x_{i_0} \dots x_{i_{n-1}}) \right] \cup \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{A}} p(x, b_i)$
 so q_n is finitely consistent & so consistent. Let $(b_i: i \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfy it.

Extract an ac-indiscernible sequence. $\forall n \exists i_0 \dots i_n$ st. $b_{i_0} \equiv_{ac} b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_n}$. $b_{i_0} \downarrow_c b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_n} \Rightarrow b_{i_0} \downarrow_c b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_n}$ and $\neg p(x, b_i)$ vice versa.
 By extension/extraction, we may assume that (b_i) is
 ac-indiscernible. $\Rightarrow a \downarrow_c b_0$

$\Rightarrow \text{tp}(a/b_0 c)$ does not divide over $c \Rightarrow p(x, b_0)$ dnd over c .

But $b \equiv_c b_0 \Rightarrow p(x, b)$ dnd over c . \square

Improved Extension

Assume $a \downarrow_c b$ and d is given. Then $\exists a' \equiv_{bc} a$ st.
 $a' \downarrow_c bd$.

Proof let $(b_i d_i)$ be a Morley sequence for bd/c .
 Then (b_i) is a c -indiscernible sequence in $\text{tp}(b/c)$

2/5

and as $a \downarrow_c b \quad \exists f \in \text{Aut}_c(U)$ st. $f(bi)$ is ac -indiscernible.

write $bi' = f(bi)$ and $di' = f(di)$.

So $(bi'di')$ is c -indiscernible and (bi') is ac -indiscernible

By extn/extraction: $\exists (bi''di'')$ st.

① ac -indiscernible

② similar to $(bi'di')$ over $c \Rightarrow$ to $(bi'di)/c$.

③ (bi'') is similar ~~to~~ $/ac$ to (bi') .

\Downarrow
AMS/c.

prev prop. 2.0.2.0.

$\Rightarrow a \downarrow_c b_0''d_0''$

③ $\Rightarrow b_0'' \equiv_{ac} b$ & $a b_0'' \equiv_c a b$.

① $\Rightarrow b_0''d_0'' \equiv_c b d$. $b_0''d_0'' \equiv_c b_0'd_0' \equiv_c b_0'd_0 \equiv_c b d$.

send $b_0''d_0''$ to $b d$ by a c -automorphism.

let a' be the image of a under it.

invariant

$\Rightarrow a' \downarrow_c b d$. & $a' b \equiv_c a b_0'' \equiv_c a b \Rightarrow a' \equiv_{bi} a \quad \square$

Corollary Assume $a \downarrow_c b$. Then there exists a

Morley sequence for a/c which is bc -indiscernible.

Proof: For $i < \lambda$ (λ big enough).

Find ~~an increasing~~ Find a_i st. $a_i \equiv_{bc} a$ $a_i \downarrow_c b$ $a < i$

Extract a bc -indiscernible seq. $(a_{i'} : i' < \omega)$.

By same argument: ~~using improved extract~~ $a_{i'} \downarrow_c a_{i'} b \Rightarrow a_{i'} \downarrow_c a_{i'}$ \square

Cor \downarrow is symmetric.

Proof Assume $a \downarrow_c b$.

Let (a_i) be a Morley sequence for a/c which is indiscernible over bc

$$\Rightarrow b \downarrow_c a_{<\omega} \Rightarrow b \downarrow_c a_0 \stackrel{a_0 \equiv_{bc} a}{\Rightarrow} b \downarrow_c a$$

Cor \downarrow is transitive.

Proof right downward + left upward + symmetry \square