# Reduction of Complexity through the Use of Geometric Functional Periodicity

Reference: Chapter 7 of Nam P. Suh, *Complexity: Theory and Applications*, Oxford University Press, 2005

### **Definition of Complexity**

Complexity is defined as a measure of uncertainty in satisfying the FRs.

According to this definition, complexity is a *relative* quantity.

## **Four Different Kinds of Complexity**

- Time-Independent *Real* Complexity
- Time-Independent *Imaginary* Complexity
- Time-Dependent *Combinatorial* Complexity
- Time-Dependent *Periodic* Complexity

# "Complexity" can be reduced by taking the following actions:

- Reduce Time-Independent Real Complexity
- Eliminate Time-Independent Imaginary Complexity

• Transform Time-Dependent Combinatorial Complexity into Time-Dependent Periodic Complexity

**Important concept: Functional Periodicity** 

## **Functional Periodicity**

- Temporal periodicity
- Geometric periodicity
- Biological periodicity
- Manufacturing process periodicity
- Chemical periodicity
- Thermal periodicity
- Information process periodicity
- Electrical periodicity
- Circadian periodicity
- Material periodicity

# Time-Dependent Combinatorial Complexity

Time-dependent combinatorial complexity arises because the future events occur in unpredictable ways and thus cannot be predicted.

For example, it occurs when the system range moves away from the design range as a function of time.

## **Time-Dependent Combinatorial Complexity**



# "Complexity" can be reduced by taking the following actions:

- Reduce Time-Independent Real Complexity
- Eliminate Time-Independent Imaginary Complexity

• Transform Time-Dependent Combinatorial Complexity into Time-Dependent Periodic Complexity

#### **Reduction of Combinatorial Complexity**

#### How?

## Through *"Re-initialization"* of the system by defining a *"Functional Period"*.

Note: Functional period is defined by a repeating set of functions, not by time period, unless "time" is a set of functions.

#### **Transformation of Time-Dependent Combinatorial Complexity**

- Basic Idea
  - 1. Make sure that the design satisfies the Independence Axiom.
  - 2. Identify a set of FRs that undergoes a cyclic change and has a functional period.
  - **3** Identify the functional requirement that may undergo a combinatorial process

4. 
$$T\langle C_{com}(FR_i)\rangle \Rightarrow \langle C_{per}(FR_i)\rangle$$

- 6. "Reinitialization"
- 5. Set the beginning of the cycle as t=0

#### **Functional Periodicity**

• The functional periodicity are the following types

(1) Temporal periodicity

### (2) Geometric periodicity

- (3) Biological periodicity
- (4) Manufacturing process periodicity
- (5) Chemical periodicity
- (6) Thermal periodicity
- (7) Information process periodicity
- (8) Electrical periodicity
- (9) Circadian periodicity
- (10) Material periodicity

# Example: Design of Low Friction Sliding Surfaces

#### **Consider the following task:**

# Reduce friction between two sliding surfaces under load

#### **Coefficient of friction versus sliding distance**



#### Effect of removing wear particles for an Armco iron slider sliding against an Armco iron specimen



## **Friction Space**

## Friction at Dry Sliding Interface Plowing Mechanism

## Friction at Dry Sliding Interface Particle Agglomeration

#### Why do particles agglomerate?

#### Friction at Dry Sliding Interface Height of Agglomerated Particles

Friction at Dry Sliding Interface Friction Coefficient and the Number of Agglomerated Particles

## **Friction at Dry Sliding Interface Reduction of Friction by Elimination of Particles**

• What are the FRs?

• What are the constraints?

- **FR**<sub>1</sub> = **Support the normal load**
- **FR**<sub>2</sub> = **Prevent particle generation**
- **FR**<sub>3</sub> = **Prevent particle agglomeration**
- FR<sub>4</sub> = Remove wear particles from the interface

• Constraint: No lubricant

Figures removed for copyright reasons. See Figure 7.11 and 7.13 in [Complexity]: Suh, N. P. *Complexity: Theory and Applications*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2005. ISBN: 0195178769.

 $DP_1 = Total contact area of the pad, A$ 

 $DP_2$  = Roughness of the planar surface of pads, R

 $DP_3$  = Length of the pad in the sliding direction,  $\lambda$ 

 $DP_4 = Volume and depth of the pocket for wear particles, V$ 

The design equation:

$$\begin{cases} FR_{1} \\ FR_{2} \\ FR_{3} \\ FR_{4} \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} X \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ X \ x \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ X \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} DP_{1} \\ DP_{2} \\ DP_{2} \\ DP_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ X \ x \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ X \ x \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ X \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ X \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ R \\ B \\ A \\ C \end{bmatrix}$$

**Test Results:** 

## **Friction at Dry Sliding Interface Undulated Surface for Elimination of Particles**

#### **Friction at Lubricated Interface**

## Effect of Boundary Lubrication

 $\thicksim \mu \sim 0.1$ 

- Cause?
  - Plowing
- What is the role of a lubricant?
  - Lower shear stress
  - Transport particles
  - Prevent particle agglomeration
  - Prevent adhesion

## Geometric Functional Periodicity to Decrease Face Seal Wear

Figures removed for copyright reasons. See Figure 7.16 through 7.21 in [Complexity].

#### **FRs of Face Seal**

- *FRs* 
  - **FR1 = Support the normal load**
  - **FR2** = **Prevent particle migration across the seal**
  - **FR3 = Prevent agglomeration of particles in the seal/metal interface**
  - **FR4 = Provide lubricant to the interface**
  - **FR5** = **Prevent the flow of the lubricant out of the sealed area**
  - **FR6 = Delay the initiation of the wear process**

#### **DPs of Face Seal**

- **DPs** 
  - **DP1 = Total Area of the pad, A**
  - **DP2** = Seal lip
  - **DP3** = **Diameter of the pad**,  $\lambda$
  - **DP4 = Lubricant**
  - **DP5** = Length of the sealed tip, L
  - **DP6 = Seal material**

## **Design Matrix for Face Seal Wear**

	$[FR_1]$		X	0	0	0	0	X	$\left[ DP_{1} \right]$
	FR <sub>2</sub>		0	X	0	0	0	x	DP <sub>2</sub>
	FR <sub>3</sub>		0	0	X	0	0	x	DP <sub>3</sub>
1	FR <sub>4</sub>	] =	0	0	0	X	0	0	DP <sub>4</sub>
	FR <sub>5</sub>		0	x	0	0	Х	x	DP <sub>5</sub>
	FR <sub>6</sub>		0	0	x	0	0	X	DP <sub>6</sub>

## Reduction of Wear of Pin-Joints By the Introduction of Geometric Functional Periodicity

#### **Drive sprockets, idlers, rollers, Grouser shoes**

#### **FRs and DPs of Conventional Pin-Joints**

• *FRs* 

- **FR1 = Carry the load**
- FR2 = Allow rotational motion about the axis of the bushing
- **FR3** = **Minimize** friction force
- FR4 = Minimize wear

• **DPs** 

- **DP1 = Shaft/bushing dimensions**
- **DP2** = Clearance between the shaft and the bushing
- **DP3** = Clearance between the shaft and the bushing
- **DP4** = **Material properties**

#### **Friction in Geometrically Confined Space**

Several slides removed for copyright reasons. See Mosleh, M., and N. P. Suh. "High Vacuum Undulated Sliding Bearings." SLTE Transactions 38 (1995): 277-284.

## FRs and DPs of Pin-Joints with Geometric Functional Periodicity

- *FRs*
- **FR1 = Carry the load**
- FR2 = Allow rotational motion about the axis of the bushing
- **FR3** = **Minimize friction force**
- **FR4 = Minimize wear**
- **DPs**
- **DP1** = **Dimensions** of the pin and the bushing structure
- **DP2** = Cylindrical pin/bushing joint
- **DP3** = Low-friction system
- **DP4 = Wear-minimization system**

## FRs and DPs of Pin-Joints with Geometric Functional Periodicity

$\int FR1$	$\begin{bmatrix} X 000 \end{bmatrix}$	$\left[ DP 1 \right]$
$\int FR 2 \int$	0 Xxx	DP 2
FR3  =	00 Xx	DP3
[FR4]	$\lfloor 000 X \rfloor$	$\left\lfloor DP 4 \right\rfloor$

## **Decomposition of FR3 and DP3 of Pin-Joints** with Geometric Functional Periodicity

- **FR3**s
- FR31 = Remove Wear Particles from the interface FR32 = Apply lubricant

*DP3s* DP31 = Particle removal mechanism
 DP32 = Externally supplied grease

## FRs and DPs of Pin-Joints with Geometric Functional Periodicity

$$\begin{cases} FR \ 31 \\ FR \ 32 \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} X \ 0 \\ xX \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} DP \ 31 \\ DP \ 32 \end{bmatrix}$$

### **Decomposition of FR3 and DP3 of Pin-Joints** with Geometric Functional Periodicity

FR31s (Remove wear particles from the interface)
 FR311 = Prevent particle agglomeration
 FR312 = Do not allow the increase in the normal force due to the presence of particles at the interface
 FR313 = Trap wear particles

DP31s (Particle removal mechanism)
 DP311 = The length of the pad on the liner that actually comes in contact with the pin
 DP312 = Flexible ring

**DP313** = The indented part of the undulated surface

## **Decomposition of FR3 and DP3 of Pin-Joints** with Geometric Functional Periodicity

Figure removed for copyright reasons. See Figure 7.22 in [Complexity].

## FRs and DPs of Pin-Joints with Geometric Functional Periodicity

$$\begin{cases} FR \ 311 \\ FR \ 312 \\ FR \ 313 \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} X \ 00 \\ xX \ 0 \\ 00 \ X \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} DP \ 311 \\ DP \ 312 \\ DP \ 313 \end{bmatrix}$$

### **Decomposition of FR3 and DP3 of Pin-Joints** with Geometric Functional Periodicity

- FR4s (Minimize wear)
- **FR41 = Prevent particle penetration into both surfaces**

**FR42** = Minimize the shear deformation of the pin and the flexible liner

DP4s (Wear-minimization system)
 DP41 = Hardness ration of the two materials
 DP42 = Coating of the pin with a thin layer of low shear strength material

## FRs and DPs of Pin-Joints with Geometric Functional Periodicity

$\int FR 1$		X 0000000	$\begin{bmatrix} DP 1 \end{bmatrix}$
<i>FR</i> 2		0 <i>XxxxX</i> 00	<i>DP</i> 2
FR 311		00 X 00000	DP 311
$\int FR 312$	<u></u>	00 xX 0000	DP 312
FR 313	( =	0000 X 000	DP 313
FR 32		0000 xX 00	DP 32
FR 41		000000 X 0	DP 41
FR 42		$\left\lfloor 00000 \ X \ 0 \ X \right\rfloor$	$\left\lfloor DP 42 \right\rfloor$

## **Pin-Joint Design**

Figures removed for copyright reasons. See Figure 7.25 and 7.26 in [Complexity].

# Geometric Functional Periodicity for Electrical Connectors

#### **Electrical Connectors**

Figure removed for copyright reasons. See Figure 7.29 in [Complexity].

#### **Conventional Electrical Connectors**



Figure by MIT OCW.

#### **FRs of a Data Electrical Connector**

# **FR1** = Mechanically connect and disconnect electrical terminals

- **FR2** = Control contact resistance (should be less than  $20m\Omega$ )
- FR3 = Prevent the cross-talk (i.e., interference) between the connections

#### Subject to the following constraints (Cs):

- C1 = Low cost
- C2 = Ease of use
- C3 = Long life (> million cycles)
- C4 = Maximum temperature rise of 30 °C
- C5 = Low insertion force

#### **FRs of a Power Electrical Connector**

## FR1 = Mechanically connect and disconnect electrical terminals

- $FR2 = Control contact resistance (should be less than 20m\Omega)$
- **FR3** = Maximize power density

#### **Subject to the following constraints (Cs):**

- C1 = Low cost
- C2 = Ease of use
- C3 = Long life (> million cycles)
- C4 = Maximum temperature rise of 30 °C
- **C5** = Low insertion force

#### **DPs of a Data Electrical Connector**

 DP1 = Cylindrical assembly of the woven tube and the pin
 DP2 = Locally compliant electric contact
 DP3 = Number of conducting wires Decomposition of FR1 (Mechanically connect and disconnect electrical terminals) and DP1 (Cylindrical assembly of the woven tube and the pin)

FR11 = Align the rod axially inside the tube
FR12 = Locate the axial position of the rod in the tube
FR13 = Guide the pin

DP11 = Long aspect ratio of the rod and the tubeDP12 = Snap fitDP13 = Tapered tip of the pin

Decomposition of FR2 (Control contact resistance to be less than 20mΩ) and DP2 (Locally compliant electric contact)

FR21 = Prevent oxidation of the conductor
FR22 = Remove wear particles
FR23 = Control line tension/deflection of the non-conducting fiber

DP21 = Gold plated metal surface DP22 = Space created in the crevices between fibers DP23 = Spring

#### **Design Matrix**



#### **Tribotek Electrical Connectors** (Courtesy of Tribotek, Inc. Used with permission.)



## **Tribotek Electrical Connectors** (Courtesy of Tribotek, Inc. Used with permission.)



# Performance of "Woven" Power Connectors

- Power density => 200% of conventional connectors
- Insertion force => less than 5% of conventional connectors
- Electric contact resistance = 5 m ohms
- Manufacturing cost -- Flexible manufacturing
- Capital Investment

## Tribotek, Inc. Busbar Application Embedded Configuration

- Features...
  - LowR 125 socket
  - Press-Fit into busbar
  - 130 A
- Benefits...
  - Highest current density
  - Low insertion force
  - Low contact resistance, min voltage drop
  - Available in various contact sizes

Figures removed for copyright reasons.

### Tribotek, Inc. Busbar Application - Mounted Configuration

Figures removed for copyright reasons.

- Features...
  - LowR 125 socket
  - Press-Fit onto busbar
  - 130 A

- Benefits...
  - Highest current density
  - Low insertion force
  - Low contact resistance, min voltage drop
  - Available in various contact sizes