24.09 Minds and Machines Fall II HASS-D CI

the Chinese room argument (preliminaries): arguments and intentionality



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

chatbots on God

Image removed due to copyright restrictions.

http://youtu.be/WnzlbyTZsQY see also http://cleverbot.com/

can computers think?



arguments

an <u>argument</u> is a list of sentences (statements), PI,...Pn, C

the Pi are the <u>premises</u>, and C is the <u>conclusion</u>

see the guide to logic and argumentation

two concepts to master: <u>validity</u> and <u>soundness</u>

valid or invalid?

PI: Romney is a Martian

P2: If Romney is a Martian, Perry is from Venus

C: Perry is from Venus

PI: Bachmann is not a MartianC: Bachmann is not a Martian

PI: Perry is from Venus

P2: Perry is not from Venus

C: Bachmann is a Martian



24.09 FII

examples of intentionality



intentionality

...is that feature, possessed by (e.g.) words and mental states, of being 'about'—representing, referring to—something. The belief that Fido is furry is a mental state that is about Fido. And the word 'Fido' refers to the dog, Fido. So these are two examples of intentionality.

NB: don't confuse <u>intentionality</u> with <u>intending</u> <u>something</u>. The latter is just one example, along with believing and desiring, of an intentional mental state.

derived vs. underived intentionality



Something has <u>derived</u> intentionality just in case its intentionality can be explained in terms of the intentionality of something else (see Searle, Mind: A Brief Introduction, 5.) Plausibly, 'dog' refers to dogs in virtue of the beliefs, intentions, etc., of English speakers—hence 'dog' has derived intentionality; my belief that dogs have fur is an intentional state, and doesn't have its intentionality in virtue of the intentionality of anything else—hence my belief has <u>underived</u> (or original) intentionality. If thinking is conducted in a language written in the brain, then the words of this language have underived intentionality.

Searle's Chinese room argument is directed against the claim that instantiating a computer program is sufficient for <u>underived</u> intentionality

it is plainly not sufficient for <u>derived</u> intentionality (the designers of the program might not take it to be 'about' anything)

reading for next session

read Searle, 'Minds, brains,...', and Block



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

24.09 Minds and Machines Fall 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.