Questions on Kekes, Against Liberalism, chapter 2

Note: you may skip section 2.3 of chapter 2.

- 1. What, according to Kekes, is Liberalism's positive aim? What is its negative aim?
- 2. Why does Kekes think these aims are inconsistent? (Focus on what he says before he considers liberal responses.)
- 3. What is a specific example Kekes gives or might give where (he would say) enhancing one or more of "pluralism, freedom, rights, equality, and distributive justice" might make evil more prevalent?
- 4. Liberals' "second answer," that is, the second way they might show their aims to be consistent, is to assert that people are :"not moral monsters but moral idiots." What does this mean?



© Paramount. All rights reserved. This content is excluded from our Creative Commons license. For more information, see https://ocw.mit.edu/help/fag-fair-use/.

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu/

 $24.150 \mbox{J} \, / \, 17.043 \mbox{J} / \, \mbox{CMS.} 125 \mbox{J}$ Liberalism, Toleration, and Freedom of Speech Fall 2023

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: https://ocw.mit.edu/terms.