So far we have introduced just one mechanism to express phonological generalizations: the rewrite rule in which a sound or sound sequence is altered on the basis of the phonological, morphological, or syntactic context. But there are many generalizations that are part of a speaker's knowledge of language which are more properly expressed by constraints that state static generalizations over the lexicon, the surface output, or both. These constraints may trigger or block the application of phonological rules.

### [1]. Japanese native Yamato and Sino-Japanese vocabulary

kak-u	kusa	sato
'write'	'grass'	'village'
kago	kaze	kado
'basket'	'wind'	'corner'
gake	das-u	buta
'cliff'	'take out'	ʻpig'
*gVg	*dVz	*bVd

- Lyman's Law: two (or more) voiced obstruents are not permitted in a single stem
- A rewrite rule is not feasible since there is no unique output for a given input: a /gVg/ input could be transformed into a variety of outputs: kVg, gVk, kVk, gVŋ,
- Thus, we need a constraint on morpheme shape

\*[-sonorant, +voice] .... [-sonorant, +voice]

#### [2] \*NT

- The contrast between voiced and voiceless obstruents is suspended after a nasal in Yamato
- Only voiced obstruents are found
  tombo

tombo kangae 'dragonfly' 'thought'

• Alternations where an underlying voiceless stop is voiced after a nasal

tabe-ru	sin-u	nom-u
tabe-ta	sin-da	non-da
'eat'	'die'	'drink'

- It appears that the rule of post-nasal voicing applies to satisfy the \*NT constraint
- [3] redaku (sequential voicing) and Lyman's Law
- The initial obstruent of the second (head) element in a compound is voiced if Yamato (cf. English *mark-s-man*, German *Liebe-s-brief*, etc)

se	'back'	neko- <b>z</b> e	'hunchback
kaki	'writing'	yoko- <b>g</b> ake	'horizontal writing'
tosi	'year'	hebi- <b>d</b> osi	'snake year'
sono	'garden'	hana-zono	'flower garden'

• Lyman's Law blocks rendaku voicing

kado	'corner'	hito- <b>k</b> ado	'first point'
sabi	'rust'	aka- <b>s</b> abi	'red rust'
tubo	ʻjar'	tya- <b>t</b> ubo	'tea jar'
kurage	ʻjellyfish'	denki- <b>k</b> urage	'electric jelleyfish'
tokage	'lizard'	ao- <b>t</b> okage	'green lizard'

- Redundant voicing after a nasal also blocks Lyman's Law
- If voicing after a nasal assigned by a rule then Lyman's Law holds over the output of that rule kangae 'thought' sirooto-kangae 'layman's idea'
- Conclusion: rules controlling alternations can be blocked (e.g. rendaku) or activated (post-nasal voicing) in order to conform to a constraint (Lyman's Law, \*NT) that governs "static" generalizations of the lexicon
- Outstanding research problem: how do we formalize the relation between rules and constraints?

## [4] Lardil

• Minimal word requirement: all words at least two syllables in length

* PW	(Prosodic Word)
σ	(syllable)

• Blocks apocope rule

•

	mayar	mayara-n	mayara-r	rainbow
	mela	mela-n	mela-r	sea
	wiţe	wiţe-n	wite-t	interior
Trigger	rs augmentation			
	yaka	yak-in	yak-ur	fish

• Grammar with simplest rules should allow the following derivations

/ wiţe /	/yak/	
wiţ		V -> Ø / #
wiţa	yaka	Ø->a/ σ_#

- Some notion of minimal departure from input to satisfy the constraint seems necessary
- Let asterisk denote a change, check denote no change

/ wite /	*[σ]PW	Apocope	Epenthesis
wiţe	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
wiţ	*	*	$\checkmark$
wiţa	$\checkmark$	*	*
/ yak /			
yak	*	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
yaka	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	*

- In the first case *wite* has the fewest violations and so is best
- In the second case *yak* and *yaka* tie so we must prioritize the constraints so that \*[σ]PW dominates Epenthesis or assigns a higher penalty
- Rendaku

/siroto-kanKae/	Rendaku	Lyman's Law	*NT
sirooto-kangae	*	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
sirooto-gangae	$\checkmark$	*	$\checkmark$
sirooto-gankae	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	*

• In order for *sirooto-kangae* to be the output Lyman's Law and \*NT must have higher priority (greater weight) than Rendaku

[5] another motivation for constraints is that many rules can be interpreted as alternative repairs to the same illicit sound sequence or structural configuration

*NÇ	avoid a	nasal p	lus voic	eless con	sonant s	sequence	è		
	i. dena	i. denasalization							
		Toba B	atak (Ha	ayes 198	6)				
		/holon	1 saətik/	$\prime > holog$	p saətik	'somew	vhat darl	x'	
	ii. nasa	l deletio	n						
		Standa	rd Mala	у	Kelanta	an	Malay		
		pintu			pitu		'door'		
		hampa	S		hapas		'husk'		
	iii. void	cing con	sonant						
	Japanese								
	Nonpast past								
		tabe-ru	1	tabe-ta		'eat'			
		sin-u		sin-da		'die'			
		yom-u		yon-da		'read'			
typolog	зу								
			NÇ	[+nasal]	] -> [-nas	al]	N -> Ø	[+voice]	-> [-voice]
	Toba-B	atak	-		+		-		-
	K-Mala	y	-		-		+		-
	Jap		-		-		-		+
	English	1	+		-		-		-

• In same language (aka "conspiracies")

• Cross-linguistic typology (McCarthy 2002)

Ilokano hiatus resolution (Hayes & Abbad 1989)

\*V V

High vowel devocalizes to glide

Low vowel inserts a glottal stop since low vowel glide not possible

<u>Infin</u>	<u>focus</u>	
gataŋ	gataŋ-en	'buy'
saŋit	saŋit-en	'cry'
babawi	babawj-en	'regret'
masahe	masahj-en	'massage'
maneho	manehw-an	'drive'
basa	basa-?en	'read'
saka	pag-saka-?en	'walk barefoot'

[6] Phonotactic constraints: restrictions on the possible shapes of words

- Judgements of wellformedness (Halle 1962)
  - brick occurring
  - *blick* nonoccurring but possible
  - *bnick* nonoccurring but impossible
- gradient judgments among nonoccurring

#### bnick > bdick, bzick

- is this judgment derived from exisiting lexicon or does it involve some UG prior/bias?
- Albright (2008)
  - > 30 monosyllabic nonwords
  - subjects rate on 7 point scale ("impossible....fine English word")
  - > word pronouced to make sure proper stimulus elicited
  - > a bias for rising sonority clusters obtained: bw > bn > bz,bd
  - one model for judging similarity to existing words (Generalized Neighborhood model) fails to distinguish among the unattested clusters
  - AA proposes model that invokes natural feature classes: bw and bn judged better than bz, bd because they fall under a generalized bl, br = [-contin] + [+sonorant] schema
  - ▶ But they also fall under [-contin] + [+consonantal]
  - Why is [-contin] + [ + sonorant] better? Possible answer: greater perceptibility of stop cues
- [7] Constraints in Loanword Adaptation

Mandarin Chinese (Hsieh et al 2009)

- CVC syllable but coda restricted to [w,j,n,ŋ]
- [a] and [a] in complementary distribution
- [an] and [aŋ] but \*[an], \*[aŋ]
- English loans contain all four combinations of  $\{a,a\} + \{n,n\}$
- In case of conflict what decides?

English	Mandarin			
[æn]	[an]	anchovy	an.chou	31/36
[aŋ]	[aŋ]	Congo	gang.uo	5/7
[an]	[aŋ]	monsoon	maŋ.xun	24/24
[æŋ]	[an]	tank	tan.e	9/13

- Conflict resolved in favor of phonetically more salient vowel over phonologically contrastive nasal place feature
- [8] Cantonese loans from English (Silverman 1993)

9. final consonants					
Stops:	sharp		[sap]		
	cut		[k <sup>n</sup> At]		
	Jack		[tsik]		
Nasals:	jam		[tsɛm]		
	gin		[tsin]		
	bowling		[pouliŋ]		
s:	lace		[leisi]		
	office		[ɔfisi]		
clusters:					
band	[pɛn]	shaft	[sep]	notes	[nuksi]
friend	[fɛn]	post	[p'ousi]	tips	[tipsi]
pump	[pem]	cast	[k'asi]	licence	[laisen]
stamp	[sitam]	toast	[təsi]	inch	[intsi]
sink	[siŋ]	waist	[weisi]		
		yeast	[isi]		
foul	[fAu]	fight	[fAi]		

10. initial cluster reduction

- disyllabic minimal word preference
- no complex onsets or codas
- sC clusters repaired by epenthesis while CR repaired by deletion of liquid--a contrast in contextual saliency
- but, liquid is retained if output would fall below two syllables (Silverman)
- data from Chan & Kwok '82 and other sources

CR deleted		CR preserved		
printer	p'ɛn.t'a S 92	brake	pik.lik	
broker	puk.k'a	cream	kei.lim	
floorshow	fosow	fluke	fu.luk	
freezer	fi.sa	clean	ki.lin S 92	
place	p'ei.si	flea	fu.li S 92	
professor	pou.fa.sa	blonde	pi.lan S 92	
high-class	hai.k'a.si	pleat	p'i.lit S 92	
blender	p'ɛn.ta S '92	plum	pow.lamY '93	
strawberry	sitawpeley Y '93	print	p'i.lin S '92	

proton	pow.ton S 92
price	p'ay.si S 92

# exceptions

friend	fɛn	dacron	dik.k'ɛk.loeŋ
gross	15	brandy	pɐt.lan.tei
		clutch	kik.lik.tsi

## striking contrasts

fluke > fuluk vs. place > pheisi and blonde > pilan vs. blender > phenta

24.901 Language and Its Structure I: Phonology Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.