

More on Movement

	A-movement	A'-movement
Head of chain in position where Case is assigned	yes	no
Head of chain in position where theta-role is assigned	no	no
Foot of chain in position where Case is assigned	no	sometimes (if the moved element is an adjunct, there is no Case at the foot of the chain)
Foot of chain in position where theta-role is assigned	sometimes (if the moved element is an expletive, there is no theta-role at the foot of the chain)	sometimes (if the moved element is an adjunct, there is no theta-role at the foot of the chain)

The previous differences between A and A'-movement follow from their general properties, which we already know.

There are many other differences between them. Here is one of my favorites.

What does (1) mean?

1. Who thinks that Mary likes him?

and (2):

2. Who_i thinks that Mary likes him_i?

3. For which person x: x thinks that Mary likes x

Now look at (4):

4. Who does his mother like?

(4) is grammatical but it can only have the indexing in (5), not in (6). If the indexing in (6) were possible, (4) would have the meaning in (7,8), which it does not.

5. Who_i does his_k mother like?

6. *Who_i does his_i mother like?

7. For which x does x 's mother love x ?

8. Who is loved by his own mother?

So the configuration in (9) leads to ungrammaticality:

9.* WH_k [$\dots pron_k \dots$] t_k



[$\dots pron_k \dots$] indicates that the pronoun is contained inside a constituent and does not c-command out. That is, the pronoun does not c-command the trace t_k .

A WH-word cannot cross over a coindexed pronoun that does not c-command the trace of the WH-word.

How do we know that movement (i.e. the crossover) is necessary for the violation?

10. Who_i likes his_i mother?

11. Who_i thinks his_i mother is nice?

4. *Who_i does his_i mother like?

no crossover → no violation

Weak Cross Over violation (WCO):

12. * WH_k [.....pron_k.....].....t_k

If you are wondering why it is called Weak CO: it is in opposition to Strong Cross Over (SCO).

In SCO, unlike in WCO, the pronoun *does* c-command the trace of movement.

Can you think of SCO violating sentences?

13. Who does he like?

14. Who does he think Mary likes?

(13, 14) are fine sentences but only on the indexing in (13', 14'), not the indexing in (13'', 14''), which would have led to the unavailable readings in (15, 16).

13'. Who_i does he_k like?

14'. Who_i does he_k think Mary likes?

13''. * Who_i does he_i like?

14''. * Who_i does he_i think Mary likes?

15a. For which x , x likes x

b. Who likes himself?

16a. For which x , x thinks that Mary likes x ?

b. Who_i thinks that Mary likes him_i?

Strong Cross Over violation:

17. Wh_i pron_i..... t_i



And again we can check that the crossing over is crucial:

18. Who_i believes that Mary likes him_i?

Can you see what SCO might be reducible to?

Binding Condition C!

So, what we see is that WH-movement (A'-movement) is subject to WCO and SCO.

Is A-movement subject to WCO?

What sentences should we create to find out if it is?

This will take a bit to set up, so please bear with me.

Remember the general profile of WCO:

19. α_i [...pron_i....] t_i

Remember:

20. It seems that he is the best candidate

21. He seems to be the best candidate

What is the derivational relationship between these two sentences?

21'. He_k seems [t_k to be the best candidate]

Now look at these:

22. It seems **to me** that he is the best candidate
the “experiencer”

23. He seems to me to be the best candidate

24. He_k seems **to me** [t_k to be the best candidate]

25. It seems to [his mother] that John is the best candidate.

In (25) the pronoun and *John* can have different indices, as in (26), or the same index, as in (27):

26. It seems to [his_k mother] that John_m is the best candidate.

27. It seems to [his_k mother] that John_k is the best candidate.

The indexing in (27) provides us with the right environment to check whether A-movement is sensitive to WCO. We do this by moving *John* over the co-indexed pronoun, as in (28), which is a fully grammatical sentence:

28. John_k seems to [his_k mother] [t_k to be the best candidate].

So!

A'-movement is subject to WCO violations. A-movement is not!

29. α_i [...pron_i....] t_i

-bad if $\alpha_i \dots t_i$ is an A'-chain

-fine if $\alpha_i \dots t_i$ is an A-chain

Let's look at the type of sentences that we created along the way and see if there is something else we want to say about them.

30. It seems to him_k that he_k is the best candidate

31. * He_k seems to him_k to be the best candidate

What can we conclude?

A-movement "feeds" Binding Theory!

A-movement creates new antecedents for BT.

32. *It seems to herself_k that Mary_k is the best candidate
33. Mary_k seems to herself_k [t_k to be the best candidate]

What about the following pair:

34. It seems to John_k's mother that he_k is the best candidate
35. *He_k seems to John_k's mother to be the best candidate.

So A-movement creates new antecedents for Binding.

Which means that Binding Theory applies (at least also) after A-movement takes place.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

24.902 / 24.932 Language and its Structure II: Syntax
Fall 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.