Form a question on the basis of the underlined constituent:

20. Bill believes that John thinks that Mary heard that Susan read that Peter claims that Fred wants to meet <u>Kathy</u> in Copley square because he likes open spaces.

21. Bill believes that John thinks that Mary heard that Susan read that Peter claims that Fred wants to meet Kathy <u>in Copley</u> <u>square</u> because he likes open spaces.

22. Bill believes that John thinks that Mary heard that Susan read that Peter claims that Fred wants to meet Kathy in Copley square because he likes open spaces.

23 a. Bill met the man who likes Mary

b. \*Who<sub>k</sub> did Bill meet the man who likes t<sub>k</sub>

24 a. John left the party because he didn't like the host
b. \*Who<sub>k</sub> did John leave the party because he didn't like t<sub>k</sub>

- 25 a. That Linda failed the exam proves that she didn't study hard enough
  - b. \*What<sub>k</sub> that Linda failed t<sub>k</sub> proves that she didn't study hard enough

26 a. She will pass the exams if she studies in the morning

b. \*When<sub>k</sub> will she pass the exams if [she studies  $t_k$ ]

## Islands

- There are environments out of which (Wh-)movement is not possible.
- We call these environments "islands for extraction" or just "islands".
- In (23-26) we see certain conditions under which CPs become islands.
- In (20-22) we see conditions under which CPs are not islands.
- Can you tell the difference?
   Under what conditions is a CP not an island?
   Or, under what conditions is a CP an island?
   If a CP is not the complement of a work, it is an island?

If a CP is not the complement of a verb, it is an island for movement. That is, extraction out of it is not possible.

And the same holds in Russian, as the next few slides show.

R1. Petjasčitaet, čto Maša xočet vstretiť sja Petja believes that Masha wants to.meet

<u>s Vanej</u> na Dvortsovoj with Vanja on Palace.square

'Petja believes that Masha wants to meet Vanja in the Palace.square'

R2. S kem Petja sčitaet, čto Maša xočet with whom Petja believes that Masha wants

vstretit'sja \_\_\_ na Dvortsovoj, to.meet \_\_\_\_ on Palace.square

'Who does Petja believe that Masha wants to meet in the Palace square?'

R3. Petja sčitaet, čto Maša xočet vstretiť sja Petja believes that Masha wants to.meet

```
s Vanej <u>na Dvortsovoj</u>
with Vanja on Palace.square
```

R4. Gde Petja sčitaet, čto Maša xočet where Petja believes that Masha wants

vstretit'sja s Vanej, to.meet with Vanja

'Where does Petja believe that Masha wants to meet Vanja because she likes open spaces?' R5. Petja sčitaet, čtoMaša xočet vstretiť sja Petja believes that Masha wants to.meet

s Vanej na Dvortsovoj, with Vanja on Palace.square

potomy čto ona ljubit otkrytye prostranstva. because she likes open spaces

**R6.** Počemu Petja sčitaet, čtoMaša xočet why Petja believes that Mash wants

vstretit'sja s Vanej na Dvortsovoj? to.meet with Vanja on Palace.square

'Why does Petja believe that Masha wants to meet with Vanja at Palace square?' R7. Petja vstretilsja s čelovekom, Petja met with person

kotoryj ljubit <u>Mašu</u> which loves Masha 'Petja met with a person who loves Masha.'

R8. \*Kogo Petja vstretilsja s čelovekom, who.ACC Petja met with person

kotoryj ljubit \_? which loves

\*Who did Petja meet with a person who loves \_?

R9. Petja ušel s večerinki, potomu čto Petja left from party because

```
obidel xozjajku doma.
offended host of.house
'Petja left the party because he offended <u>the host</u>.'
```

R10.\*Kogo Petja ušel c večerinki, who Petja left from party

```
potomu čto obidel _ ?
```

because offended

Who did Petja leave the party because he offended \_?

```
R11. Ona sdast examen, eslibudet
she will.pass exam if will
zanimatjsa po utram
study on mornings
'She will pass the exams if she studies in the morning.'
R12. *Kogda ona sdast examen,
when she will.pass exam
[esli budet zanimatjsa ]?
if will study
*When will she pass the exams if [she studies ]?
```

```
R13. (To,) čto Valja provalila <u>ekzamen</u>, dokazyvaet,
that that Valja failed exam
                                        proves
čto ona malo zanimalas'
that she a.little studied
'That Valja failed the exam proves that she didn't study hard
  enough'
R14. *[Cto<sub>k</sub>, (to,) čto Valja provalila ] dokazyvaet,
  what that that Valja failed proves
čto ona malo zanimalas'?
that shea.little studied
*What that Linda failed _ proves that she didn't study hard
  enough?
```

R17. Petja sčitaet, čto Maša xočet vstretiť sja Petja believes that Masha wants to.meet s Vanej i <u>Kolej</u> na Dvortsovoj. with Vanja and Kolja on Palace.square 'Petja believes that Masha wants to meet Vanja and Kolja in the Palace square' R18. \*Kem Petja sčitaet, čto Maša xočet who Petja believes that Masha wants vstretit'sja s Vanej i na Dvortsovoj? to.meet with Vanja and on Palace.square \*Who Petja believes that Masha wants to meet Vanja and in the Palace square?

- What am I testing with the sentences below?
- 27. \* Bill met the woman who John left the party because he didn't like
- 28. \* Fred took the exam which that Linda failed proves that she didn't study
- 27'. \* Bill met the woman who<sub>k</sub> John left the party [because he didn't like  $t_k$ ]
- 28'. \* Fred took the exam which<sub>k</sub> [that Linda failed t<sub>k</sub>] proves that she didn't study

The above sentences show that the wh-movement involved in relative clause formation is also subject to islands.

When there is no island, wh-movement can be "long distance":

29. I met the man who<sub>k</sub> [Bill believes [that Mary thinks [that Susan likes  $t_k$ ]]]

A variety of different factors can turn an environment into an island, not just what we saw earlier.

Compare the following:

30. How/when did he say that she fixed the car?

31. How/when did he discover that she fixed the car?

32. How do you find out that she fixed the car?

33. How/when did you realize that she fixed the car?

34. How/when did you hear that she fixed the car?

- Factive verbs: verbs that presuppose the truth of their complement (=their object) discover, find out, be aware that, regret, realize, know and others
- Non-factive verbs: hear, say, believe, think

Compare the following two sentences. If you hear me utter (35b) you will think that I believe that the earth is flat as well. Not so if you hear me utter (35a).

- 35a.You know what! My friend John thinks that the earth is flat.
  - b. You know what! My friend John realized that the earth is flat.

Factive islands are called "weak" islands because adjuncts cannot get out, but arguments can: 36a. What did he discover that Mary had fixed?

b. What did he realize that Mary had fixed?

The islands that we saw earlier are "strong" islands because neither adjuncts nor arguments can be extracted from them.

# One final island (but there are quite a few more) and its significance

37. What<sub>k</sub> does she think that Peter ate  $t_k$ ?

In (37) *what* moves from the position it was generated in at D-structure to the Specifier of the matrix CP.

Does it get there directly ("at one fell swoop") or does it make intermediate stops?

This is an important question with major theoretical repercussions, so let us take a look at it, even if in a simplified form.

• The first question to pose is this:

If wh-movement happens in steps, what would be plausible candidates for intermediate positions?

• Reasonable first hypothesis:

If a wh-constituent moves up in search of a specifier of a CP as landing site, maybe it passes through all intermediate specifiers of CP.

So we are exploring the possibility of the derivation in (38) for sentence (37):

What does she think  $[t_k' \text{ that } [Peter \text{ ate } t_k]]$ 



## • What could count as a type of argument in favor of (38)?

We fill the specifier of the intermediate CP and see whether movement is still possible. But we have to make sure we fill it with something that has the right to be there to begin with, otherwise the sentence will be ungrammatical, but for an irrelevant reason.

So let's fill the specifier of the intermediate CP with another Wh-word, as in (38-41). The resulting sentences are grammatical but they lack a certain reading! Specifically, the reading that would have resulted if movement from the embedded clause over the filled specifier was possible. This means that movement MUST proceed through that intermediate specifier.

39. How did he ask when she fixed the car?

40. When did he ask how she fixed the car?

41. How did he ask what she fixed? Notice that in (39-41), the embedded CP *is* the complement of a verb.

Why am I bothering to say this? So movement is "cyclic". It has (at least some) intermediate steps.

- Wh-movement out of a CP is possible if -the CP is the complement of a verb and
- -the verb is not factive (or the mover is an argument) and
  - -all the intervening specifiers of CPs are available for movement through.
- And there are some further conditions as well but that's OK!

It's not like you need to learn them by heart! Tacit knowledge!

### What do I mean when I say...

- Movement is cyclic.
- Movement is always to a c-commanding position.
- Islands constrain movement.

(more or less the same for all investigated languages)

 The possibility of interpreting a wh-constituent inside a certain environment is equivalent to the possibility of wh-movement out of that environment. Which is the correct answer? a, b, or c? Movement

- a. is always to a c-commanding position, and cyclic
- b. is impossible out of the complement of a factive verb
- c. is impossible out of a complement CP

Answer: a

Enter Chinese (data from Huang 1982 MIT PhD)

Look at the following:

42. Zhangsan yiwei Lisi mai-le shenme Zhangsan thnks Lisi bought what "What does Zhangsan think Lisi bought?"

What could you say about Wh-questions in Chinese? Chinese is what is called a "Wh in situ" language. The whconstituent can stay in its base-generated position (it can also move).

Given what we have said so far, what is a question that would be natural to ask about Chinese?

If there is no movement, what about islands? Does Chinese have islands?

43. \*ni zui xihuan [weishenme mai shu de ren]
you most like why buy book C<sup>0</sup> person
\*"Why<sub>k</sub> do you like the man who bought the books t<sub>k</sub>"

44. \*ta [zai Lisi weishenme mai shu yihou]shengqi le?
he at Lisi why buy book after] angry Prt
\*"Why<sub>k</sub> did he get angry [after Lisi bought the books t<sub>k</sub>]

45. \*[wo weishenme mai shu] zui hao?
I why buy book most good?
\*"Why<sub>k</sub> is [that I buy the books t<sub>k</sub>] best?"

What we see is that Wh-words in Chinese cannot appear in environments out of which English cannot form questions. Why would this be?

- The T model
- There is one hypothesis that has many arguments for it (in addition to these facts, that is):

#### **D-structure** (syntactic representation)

- ← English Wh-movement
- ← movement ends up being pronounced (overt movement)

#### **S-structure** (syntactic representation)

 $\leftarrow$  Chinese Wh-movement

← movement does not end up being pronounced (covert movement)

Sent to be pronounced

LF (syntactic representation)

(Logical Form feeds interpretive component)

In these terms, the difference between Chinese and English lies in the order of operations:

- In English, Wh-movement happens before that part of the derivation/representation is sent to the pronunciation component.
- In Chinese, Wh-movement happens after the derivation is sent to the pronunciation component.
- The position that covert movement exists has gathered a great many arguments overs the years.

### References

• Huang, Cheng-Teh James. "Logical Relations in Chinese and the Theory of Grammar (PDF)." MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

24.902 / 24.932 Language and its Structure II: Syntax Fall 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.