# Problem Set #6

## PROBLEM SET #6

Read the two page handout giving an overview of the system

Using the sample calculations as a model, calculate the truth-conditions (starting with an arbitrary filler a and an arbitrary world w) for the following sentence:

## Hannibal is a dog who t saw Shelby.

For each step of your calculation, give an annotation of what justifies the step (lexicon entry, the FA, PA, PM principles, the definition of the  $\lambda$ -notation).

## <u>Handout</u>

## 24.903 Our System So Far

[Based on material from Heim  $\acute{\sigma}$  Kratzer 1998]

#### I. THE LAMBDA NOTATION FOR FUNCTIONS

- (I) Read " $[\lambda \alpha . \beta]$ " as either (i) or (ii), whichever makes sense.
  - (i) "the function which maps every  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ "
  - (ii) "the function which maps every  $\alpha$  to I, if  $\beta$ , and to 0 otherwise"

## 2. Lexicon

Some words:

- (2) For any world w and (filler) individual a,
  - a.  $[Shelby]^{w,a} = Shelby.$
  - b.  $\llbracket Hannibal \rrbracket^{w,a} = Hannibal.$
  - c.  $\llbracket barks \rrbracket^{w,a} = \lambda x.x \text{ barks in } w.$
  - d.  $\llbracket dog \rrbracket^{w,a} = \lambda x.x$  is a dog in w.
  - e.  $\llbracket \text{smart} \rrbracket^{w,a} = \lambda x.x \text{ is smart in } w.$
  - f.  $[saw]^{w,a} = \lambda x. \lambda y. y saw x in w.$

The special rule for traces:

- (3) For any world w and (filler) individual a,  $[t]^{w,a} = a$ .
- 3. FUNCTIONAL APPLICATION
- (4) Functional Application (FA)
  For any world w and (filler) individual α, if α is a branching node, {β, γ} the set of α's daughters, and [[β]]<sup>w,α</sup> is a function whose domain contains [[γ]]<sup>w,α</sup>, then [[α]]<sup>w,α</sup> = [[β]]<sup>w,α</sup>([[γ]]<sup>w,α</sup>).
- 4. Predicate Abstraction
- (5) Predicate Abstraction (PA)
  For any world w and (filler) individual α, if α is a branching node whose daughters are a relative pronoun and β, then [[α]]<sup>w,α</sup> = λx. [[β]]<sup>w,x</sup>.

Kai von Fintel: 24.903 Our System

5. Predicate Modification

(6) Predicate Modification (PM)

For any world *w* and (filler) individual  $\alpha$ , if  $\alpha$  is a branching node,  $\{\beta, \gamma\}$  the set of  $\alpha$ 's daughters, and if  $[\![\beta]\!]^{w,\alpha}$  and  $[\![\gamma]\!]^{w,\alpha}$  are both functions from individuals to truth-values (one-place predicates), then  $[\![\alpha]\!]^{w,\alpha} = \lambda x$ .  $[\![\beta]\!]^{w,\alpha}(x) = [\![\gamma]\!]^{w,\alpha}(x) = I$ .

### 6. Two Sample Calculations

Pick an arbitrary filler, say a and an arbitrary world w.

(7)  $[[\text{Hannibal is a smart dog}]^{w,a}$   $= [[\text{Hannibal (smart dog}]]^{w,a}$   $= [[\text{smart dog}]^{w,a}([[\text{Hannibal}]]^{w,a})$   $= [[\text{smart dog}]^{w,a} (\text{Hannibal})$   $= [\lambda x. [[\text{smart}]]^{w,a}(x) = [[\text{dog}]]^{w,a}(x) = I] (\text{Hannibal})$   $= I \text{ iff } [[\text{smart}]]^{w,a} (\text{Hannibal}) = [[\text{dog}]]^{w,a} (\text{Hannibal}) = I$   $\text{ iff } [\lambda x.x \text{ is smart in } w] (\text{Hannibal}) = [\lambda x.x \text{ is a dog in } w] (\text{Hannibal}) = I$  iff [Hannibal is smart in w and Hannibal is a dog in w.

## (8) [[Hannibal is who Shelby saw t]]<sup>w,a</sup>

- =  $\llbracket$ who Shelby saw t $\rrbracket^{w,a}(\llbracket$ Hannibal $\rrbracket^{w,a})$
- =  $\llbracket$ who Shelby saw t $\rrbracket$ <sup>w,a</sup> (Hannibal)
- =  $\lambda x$ . [Shelby saw t]  $^{w,x}$  (Hannibal)
- = [[Shelby saw t]]<sup>w,</sup>Hannibal
- $= [[saw]^{w}, Hannibal([t]^{w}, Hannibal)]([Shelby]^{w}, Hannibal)$
- =  $[[saw]^{w,Hannibal}$  (Hannibal)] (Shelby)
- =  $[(\lambda x. \lambda y. y \text{ saw } x \text{ in } w) \text{ (Hannibal)}] \text{ (Shelby)}$
- =  $[\lambda y. y \text{ saw Hannibal in } w]$  (Shelby)
- = 1 iff Shelby saw Hannibal in *w*.

#### 7. Problem Set #6

Using the sample calculations as a model, calculate the truth-conditions (starting with an arbitrary filler a and an arbitrary world w) for the following sentence:

Hannibal is a dog who t saw Shelby.

For each step of your calculation, give an annotation of what justifies the step (lexicon entry, the FA, PA, PM principles, the definition of the  $\lambda$ -notation).