2nd V→	a	ε	e	i	i	0
1st V ↓						
a	awa? (1)	e? ε?(2)	ae, ane (3)		ai (4)	
ε	a (5)					0 (6)
e	iya (7)		(i)ye (8), (8')?			iyo (9)
i						ino (10)
i	iya (11)	iye (12)	iye (13)			iyo (14)
0						

These are just some notes on what seems to happen when vowels collide, starting from the simpleminded assumption that there's no fancy morphophonemics governing this that's specific to particular morphemes (I'll stick to that assumption until it gets me in trouble). I haven't put in u and ü, since we haven't seen these in hiatus anywhere yet. Numbers in parentheses after examples indicate the day and example number--unnumbered examples are from my own notes.

- (1) na + a -> nawa 'it's this (f.)' (4.79)
- (2) $da + \varepsilon -> de 'gave (fem.)'? \text{ or } d\varepsilon? (2.16)$
- qɛlɛmei layika e p^hila --> qɛlɛmei layikae/layikane p^hila 'the big boys' pencils' (1)
 qɛlɛme layika e suri --> qɛlɛme layikane suri 'the boys' red pencils' (2.5)
 qɛlɛme suri layika e --> qɛlɛme suri layikane 'the red pencils are the boys" (1)
- (4) $da + i \rightarrow dai 'gave (pl.), non-evidential?' (2.17)$
- (5) says a surs \rightarrow saya surs 'red apple'
- (6) čeneke o layiki --> čeneko layiki 'a girl and a boy'
- (7) ne + a-->niya 'is not (f.)' (4.2)
- (8) ne + e -> niye 'are not (pl.)' (4.8)
- (8') rindɛke + i--> rindek^ye 'they are beautiful' (4.7)
- (9) ne + o-->niyo 'is not (m.)' (4.4)
- (10) kitav mi o sur --> kitav mino sur 'my red book'
 mi o braye xo --> mino braye xo 'I and my brother' (2.35)
- (11) qeleme a layiki a sure --> qelema layikia sure 'the boy's red pencil' (2.3)
- (12) $di + \varepsilon \longrightarrow di\varepsilon$ 'saw (fem), non-evidential?' (2.21)
- (13) qeleme layiki e suri --> qeleme layiki e suri 'the boy's red pencils' (2.4)
- (14) ktab e layiki o sur --> ktabe layikio sur 'the boy's red book' (2.2)
- (??) šanika ε derg ε --> šanikad ε derg ε 'a long story, nonspecific, obl.'? looks like it ought to be 'long stories'...and this **<u>d</u>** is new... (3.37)

	Μ	F	Pl
EzAdj, Cop, Demonstrative,			
evid. AgrO (past)	0	a	e
EzPoss	e	a	e(i)
Nominative, AgrAdj,			
non-ev. AgrO (past)?	Ø	ε	i
Oblique	i	ε	á

AgrAdj: agreement suffix on adjective, agrees with modified noun (observed in oblique?) Cop: copula (present tense)--follows predicative adjectives, nouns evid: evidential. Observed only in the past tense so far. EzAdj: precedes adjectives which modify nouns, agrees with modified noun EzPoss: precedes possessors, agrees with possessed noun

Oblique: used so far for dative, accusative, ergative, genitive (though only on last possessor), also marks all coordinated nouns.

non-evidential:	М	F	Pl	
ame- 'left'?	am	ame	ami	
herna- 'bought'	herna	herne	hernai	Fsee hiatus resolution, above.
kyɛrd- 'did'	kyert	kyerde	e kyɛrde	F, Plrecheck?

(we don't know how ε behaves before - ε and -i, but so far it tends to disappear under hiatus-maybe that's what's happening with the F and Pl forms of 'left'? Or is the stem really *am*-, with some kind of minimal-word epenthesis in the M form?)

evidential: vowel-final stems lose their final vowels?

am- 'left'	amo	ama	ame
hern- 'bought'	herno	herna	herne
kyɛrd- 'did'	kyɛrdo	kyɛrda	kyɛrdi

here the plural forms are odd--but we're good at mishearing final -e...or else the stems haven't lost their final vowels, and we're seeing the effects there. More work to do on hiatus...