## 5.08 Biological Chemistry II (Spring 2016) Problem Set #3

This problem set contains one question and three pages.


## **Question 1:**

EF-G is involved in the translocation of mRNA during the elongation process. EF-G is a structural mimic of the ternary complex EF-Tu•GTP•aa-tRNA<sup>aa</sup> (recall from our class discussions that domain IV of EF-G resembles the tRNA). Stopped-flow fluorescence experiments conducted by using fluorescently-labeled aminoacyl-tRNAs and two antibiotics (viomycin and thiostrepton) have been especially effective in providing mechanistic deconvolution of EF-G. These studies are described below. Note: "Stopped-flow fluorescence" means that fluorescence is used for detection (in contrast to absorption or radioactivity in a quenched flow experiment).

Viomycin functions by inhibiting translocation of the mRNA, but has no effect on GTP hydrolysis. Thiostrepton inhibits both GTP hydrolysis and translocation. Prior to the studies described below, the proposed model for the role of EF-G was similar to that proposed for EF-Tu: EF-G was thought to be a GTP dependent switch. Specifically, EF-G•GTP was proposed to bind to the pre-translocational ribosome and induce a conformational change that allowed mRNA translocation and tRNA movement. Following translocation, EF-G•GTP was proposed to hydrolyze GTP and generate EF-G•GDP, which dissociates from the ribosome. The following studies were undertaken to test this model. The results of stopped-flow fluorescence studies are shown in Figure 1. Recall that stopped-flow methods are employed for fast mixing and for studying reactions on a short time scale (pre-steady state).

## Figure 1. Note the different scales in Figures 1a, b and c.

FIG. 1 Time course of EF-G-dependent translocation measured by stopped-flow. a, Influence of antibiotics. Pre-translocation complex containing deacylated tRNA<sup>AHe</sup> in the P site and fluorescent fMet-Phe-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup>(Prf16/17) in the A site (0.2  $\mu$ M) was mixed with EF-G GTP (1.2  $\mu$ M) in the absence of antibiotic (trace 1), in the presence of viomycin (trace 2), or of thiostrepton (trace 3). b, Translocation with GTP analogues. GTP-free pre-translocation complex (0.2  $\mu$ M) was mixed with EF-G (4  $\mu$ M) containing either caged GTP (trace 4) or GDP (trace 5). c, EF-G binding in the presence of various guanine nucleotides and antibiotics. Concentrations as for a, designation of traces as for a and b.





Courtesy of Nature Publishing Group. Used with permission.

In **experiment 1**, ribosomes that were loaded with fluorescently labeled fMet-F-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> in the A site (note: fMet is formylmethionine; fMet-F is the dipeptide fMet-Phe, which is attached to the 3'-end in fMet-F-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup>) and tRNA<sup>fMet</sup> (uncharged or deacylated) in the P site were placed in one syringe. [Note that the fluorescently labeled fMet-F-tRNA<sup>Phe</sup> has a proflavin attached to a single position within the tRNA.] EF-G•GTP was placed in the second syringe. The contents of the two syringes were rapidly mixed (saturating conditions). In **experiment 2**, viomycin was placed in the syringe containing the EF-G•GTP. In **experiment 3**, thiostrepton was placed in the syringe containing EF-G•GTP. The conditions in all three experiments were identical with the exception of the presence or absence of antibiotics. As revealed in **Figure 1a** and the corresponding expanded time scale **1c**, there is a rapid fluorescence decrease followed by an increase in **experiment 1** (no antibiotic). The rapid rate of fluorescence decrease was essentially the same in all three experiments (1, 2, and 3). In the cases of experiments 2 and 3, the fluorescence decrease was not followed by an increase (see Figure 1a and the expanded scale in Figure 1c).

The results from several additional experiments are described in **Figure 1b**. In these experiments, GTP was replaced with either caged GTP or GDP. The results with caged GTP are presented in **experiment 4** and those with GDP in **experiment 5**. These studies revealed that the rapid drop in fluorescence was not affected by substitution of GTP with caged GTP or GDP (Figure 1c traces 4 and 5 which are an expansion of Figure 1b).

In another set of experiments (**Figure 2a**), GTPase rates were measured using  $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ -GTP and a rapid chemical quench apparatus. P<sub>i</sub> (inorganic phosphate) release was monitored. The experiment was carried out with no antibiotics (closed circles), with viomycin (open circles) and with no EF-G (open triangles) under conditions identical to those described in Figure 1. The reactions were rapidly quenched by acid. The fluorescence data obtained in Figure 1a and the GTPase data from Figure 2a in the absence of any antibiotics are shown in Figure 2b.





Courtesy of Nature Publishing Group. Used with permission.

Answer the following questions:

A) Draw a cartoon of a stopped-flow set-up showing the two syringes for experiments 1-3. Label the syringes as "1" and "2" and list the reaction components housed in each syringe for these 3 experiments.

B) Draw a cartoon of the pre-loaded ribosomes placed into syringe 1 in experiments 1-3.

C) Which step in the elongation process does this pre-loaded ribosome mimic?

D) The dipeptide employed in this work is fMet-F where fMet stands for formylmethionine and F for phenylalanine. Provide an explanation for why this peptide contains fMet.

E) Design an experiment to convince yourself that the ribosome is in fact appropriately loaded to allow investigation of the translocation process. [Hint: think about the use of another antibiotic.]

F) Given the role of the antibiotics described above (viomycin, thiostrepton), provide a hypothesis for the increase in fluorescence observed in experiment 1 and not in experiments 2 and 3 of Figure 1, following the initial rapid decrease in fluorescence observed in all three experiments.

G) What do the data in Figure 2a tell you?

H) What do the data and the fit to the data in Figure 2b tell you?

I) Propose a model for the role of EF-G in translocation based on these data. Explain how the data support your model.

If you have trouble thinking about this problem you can go to the literature and read the paper from which this data was taken: Nature 385 37-41 (1997).

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

5.08J Biological Chemistry II Spring 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>