## 3D-Central Force Problems I

Read: C-TDL, pages 643-660 for next lecture.
Every step toward greater complexity is classical mechanics plus a tiny bit of something new.

All 2-Body, 3-D problems can be reduced to

* a 2-D angular part that is exactly and universally soluble
* a 1-D radial part that is system-specific and soluble by 1-D techniques in which you are now expert

Next 3 lectures:


## Roadmap

1. define radial momentum $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\mathbf{r}^{-1}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}-i \hbar)$
2. define orbital angular momentum $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{L}}=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \times \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { general definition of angular } \\
& \text { momentum and of "vector } \\
& \text { operators" }
\end{aligned} \quad\left(\text { also } \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{L}=i \hbar \mathbf{L} \text { and }\left[\mathbf{L}_{i}, \mathbf{L}_{j}\right]=i \hbar \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{i j k} \mathbf{L}_{k}\right)
$$

3. separate $\mathbf{p}^{2}$ into radial and angular terms: $\mathbf{p}^{2}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}+\mathbf{r}^{-2} \mathbf{L}^{2}$
4. find Complete Set of Commuting Observables (CSCO) that is useful for "blockdiagonalizing" H

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{L}^{2}\right]=} & {\left[\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]=\left[\mathbf{L}^{2}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]=0 \quad \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{L}^{2}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{CSCO} } \\
& \left|\mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{L}}\right\rangle \text { universal basis set }
\end{aligned}
$$


Recover a 1-D Schrödinger Equation
6. ALL Matrix Elements of Angular Momentum Components May be Derived from Commutation Rules.
7. Spherical Tensor Classification of all operators.
$\Downarrow$
8. Wigner-Eckart Theorem $\rightarrow$ all angular matrix elements of all operators.

I hate differential operators. Replace them by exclusively using simple Commutation Rule based Operator Algebra.

Lots of derivations are based on classical VECTOR ANALYSIS - much of that will be set aside as NON-LECTURE

Central Force Problems: 2 bodies where interaction force is along the vector $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}_{1}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}_{2}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}_{2} & =\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}_{1}+\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}_{12} \\
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}_{12} & =\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}_{2}-\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}_{1} \\
& =\hat{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{y}_{2}-\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{z}_{1}\right) \\
\mathrm{r} \equiv\left|\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}_{12}\right| & =\left[\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{y}_{2}-\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

origin
also Center of Mass (CM) Coordinate system

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\vec{r}_{1}=\vec{q}_{1}-\vec{q}_{c m} & {\left[\left|r_{1}\right| / r=m_{2} / M\right]} \\
\vec{r}_{2}=\vec{q}_{2}-\vec{q}_{c m} & {\left[\left|r_{2}\right| / r=m_{1} / M\right]}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{H}_{\text {translation }}+\mathbf{H}_{\text {center of mass }}$
free translation motion of fictitious
of C of M of system of mass particle of mass

$$
\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{m}_{1}+\mathrm{m}_{2} \quad \mu=\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{m_{1}+m_{2}}
$$

in coordinate system
with origin at C of M (CTDL page 713)

This is $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}$ in CM frame, not $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}$ of CM
free translation of system with respect to lab (not interesting)
motion of particle of mass $\mu$ with respect to origin at center of mass

GOAL IS TO SIMPLIFY $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{CM}}^{2}$
because that is only place where the $\theta, \phi$ degrees of freedom appear.

1. Define Radial Component of $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{CM}}$

Correspondence Principle: recipe for going from classical to quantum mechanics
[* classical mechanics

* Cartesian Coordinates
* symmetrize to avoid failure to satisfy Commutation Rules
** verify that all three derived operators, $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$, and $\mathbf{L}$
- are Hermitian
- satisfy $[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}]=i \hbar$

Purpose of this lecture is to walk you through the standard vector analysis and Quantum Mechanical Correspondence Principle procedures

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{q} \equiv \hat{i} x+\hat{j} y+\hat{k} z \\
& \vec{p} \equiv \hat{i} p_{x}+\hat{j} p_{y}+\hat{k} p_{z} \\
& r \equiv\left[x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=[q \cdot q]^{1 / 2}=|q|
\end{aligned}
$$

find radial (i.e. along $\vec{q}$ ) part of $\vec{p}$

radial component of p is obtained by projecting $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}$ onto $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}$

$$
p_{r}=|p| \cos \theta=|p| \frac{q \cdot p}{|q||p|}=\frac{q \cdot p}{r}
$$

$$
\text { so from standard vector analysis we get } \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{r}^{-1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}
$$

This is a trial form for $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$, but it is necessary, according to the Correspondence Principle recipe, to symmetrize it.

$$
\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\mathbf{r}^{-1}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q})+(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}) \mathbf{r}^{-1}\right]
$$

This expression arranges the terms in all possible orders!

This will be simplified to almost what one expected from CM. The only surprise must be multiplied by $\hbar$. That's QM!

NONLECTURE (except for Eq. (4))
SIMPLIFY ABOVE Definition to $\quad \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\mathbf{r}^{-1}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-\mathrm{i} \hbar) \quad(\mathbf{r}$ is not a vector)

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}] \text { is a vector commutator }- \text { be careful }} \\
& {[\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}]=\left[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_{x}\right]+\left[\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}_{y}\right]+\left[\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{p}_{z}\right]=3 i \hbar} \\
& \therefore \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q}=\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-[\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}] \quad \text { because }[\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}, \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}]=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \\
& \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\mathbf{r}^{-1}(2 \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-[\stackrel{\text { वे }}{\mathbf{\mathbf { q }}} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{p}}])+(2 \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-[\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{q}}, \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{p}}]) \mathbf{r}^{-1}\right]  \tag{1}\\
& =\frac{1}{4}[\underbrace{\mathbf{r}^{-1} 4 \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{r}^{-1} 2 \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}}_{\text {add and subtract 2r-4 } \mathbf{q} \mathbf{p}}+2 \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p r}^{-1}-6 i \hbar \mathbf{r}^{-1}]  \tag{2}\\
& =\mathbf{r}^{-1} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-\frac{3}{2} i \hbar \mathbf{r}^{-1}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}^{-1}\right] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

LEMMA: need a more general Commutation Rule for which $\left[\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}^{-1}\right]$ is a special case

$$
\text { 1st simplify: }[\mathrm{f}(\mathbf{r}), \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}]=\mathbf{q} \cdot[\mathrm{f}(\mathbf{r}), \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}]+[\mathrm{f}(\mathbf{p}), \overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}] \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}
$$

but, from 1-D, we could have shown

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{p}] \phi } & =f(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \phi-\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}}(f(\mathbf{x}) \phi) \\
& =\frac{\hbar}{i}\left[f(\mathbf{x}) \phi^{\prime}-f^{\prime} \phi-f \phi^{\prime}\right]=i \hbar f^{\prime}(\mathbf{x}) \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
[f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{p}]=i \hbar \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \quad \text { for 1-D }
$$

Thus, in 3-D, the chain rule gives, for the vector commutator:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
{[f(\mathbf{r}), \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}]=i \hbar\left[\hat{i} \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}+\hat{j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}+\hat{k} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{z}}\right]} \\
\left.\frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\left[\mathbf{x}^{2}+\mathbf{y}^{2}+\mathbf{z}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=\mathbf{e x a l u a t e \text { these first }}=\mathbf{x}^{2}+\mathbf{y}^{2}+\mathbf{z}^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2}=\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{r}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Thus $[f(\mathbf{r}), \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}]=i \hbar \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\left[\hat{i} \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{r}}+\hat{j} \frac{\mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{r}}+\hat{k} \frac{\mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{r}}\right]=i \hbar \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}}{\mathbf{r}}$

$$
[f(\mathbf{r}), \overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}]=\mathbf{q} \cdot[f(\mathbf{r}), \mathbf{p}]=i \hbar \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}^{2}+\mathbf{y}^{2}+\mathbf{z}^{2}}{\mathbf{r}}\right)=i \hbar \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{r}
$$


this is a scalar, not a vector, equation

But we wanted to evaluate the commutation rule for $f(\mathbf{r})=\mathbf{r}^{-1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbf{r}^{-1}, \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}\right]=i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{r}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}}\right) \mathrm{r}=-i \hbar \mathbf{r}^{-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

plug this result into (3)

$$
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\mathbf{r}^{-1} \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-\frac{3}{2} i \hbar \mathbf{r}^{-1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(i \hbar \mathbf{r}^{-1}\right)=r^{-1}(q \cdot p-i \hbar)
$$

RESUME
HERE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\mathbf{r}^{-1}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-i \hbar) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the compact but non-symmetric result we got starting with a carefully symmetrized starting point - as required by Correspondence Principle.

* This result is identical to the result obtained from standard vector analysis IN THE LIMIT OF $\hbar \rightarrow 0$.
Still must do 2 things: $\quad$ show that $\left[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}\right]=i \hbar$
show that $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is Hermitian

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}\right] } & =\left[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}^{-1}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-i \hbar)\right] \\
& =\mathbf{r}^{-1}[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}]-\mathbf{r}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{r}, f^{0}\right]+\left[\check{\sim}, \mathbf{r}^{-1}\right](\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-i \hbar) \\
& =\mathbf{r}^{-1}[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}] \text { Use Eq. (4) to get } \\
{[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}] } & =i \hbar \mathbf{r} \text { using the non-lecture result: }[f(\mathbf{r}), \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}]=i \hbar \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

* 

$$
\therefore\left[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}\right]=i \hbar
$$

* we do not need to confirm that $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is Hermitian because it was constructed from a symmetrized form which is guaranteed to be Hermitian. Why is this guaranteed?

Correspondence Principle!
2. Verify that the Classical Definition of Angular Momentum is Appropriate for QM.

$$
\vec{L}=\vec{q} \times \vec{p}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k}  \tag{7}\\
x & y & z \\
p_{x} & p_{y} & p_{z}
\end{array}\right|
$$

We will see that this definition of an angular momentum is acceptable as far as the correspondence principle is concerned, but it is not sufficiently general.

NONLECTURE
What about symmetrizing $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{L}}$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=y p_{z}-z p_{y}=p_{z} y-p_{y} z \\
& \quad=-(\vec{p} \times \vec{q})_{x} \\
& \therefore p \times q=-L
\end{aligned}
$$

PRODUCTS OF
NON-CONJUGATE
QUANTITIES

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}=0 & \text { symmetrization is impossible! } \\
\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}=2 \overrightarrow{\mathbf{L}} & \text { antisymmetrization is unnecessary! }
\end{array}
$$

But is $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{L}}$ Hermitian as defined?
BE CAREFUL: $\quad(\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p})^{\dagger} \neq \mathbf{p}^{\dagger} \times \mathbf{q}^{\dagger}!$
go back to definition of vector cross product

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathbf{y} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{z}}-\mathbf{z} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{y}} \\
& \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\dagger}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y}^{\dagger}-\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{z}^{\dagger}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{y} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{z}}-\mathbf{z} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(derived using fact that $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ are Hermitian)
$\therefore \overrightarrow{\mathbf{L}}$ is Hermitian as defined.
RESUME

This is a transformation definition using different operators
(II and $\perp$ with respect to $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}$ )


Classically
part of $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}$ points along $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}: \mathbf{p}_{\|}$

* Right Hand rule for $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \times(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \times \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}})$ gives component mostly opposite to $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}$, hence the minus sign * $\mathbf{r}^{-2}$ is needed in both terms to remain dimensionally correct
talk through this vector identity
1st term ( $\mathbf{p}_{\| \mid}$): $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}=|\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}}||\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}| \cos \theta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}} /|\mathrm{q}|=\text { unit vector along } \overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}} \\
& \vec{p} /|p|=\text { unit vector along } \vec{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

2nd term $\left(\mathbf{p}_{\perp}\right): \quad \overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}} \times \overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}$ points $\perp$ up out of paper

finger

thumb
$\stackrel{\widetilde{q}}{x} \times \underbrace{q \times p}_{\text {finger }}$ is in plane of paper in opposite direction of $p_{\perp}$,

Is it necessary to symmetrize Eq. (9)? Find out below.

## NONLECTURE

Examine Eq. (9) for QM consistency
x component

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{r}^{-2}\left[\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{xp} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{yp} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{zp} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)-\left(\mathrm{yL}_{\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{zL} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)\right]
$$

but $\quad \mathrm{yL}_{\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{zL} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{xp}_{\mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{yp} p_{\mathrm{x}}\right)+\mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{xp}_{\mathrm{z}}-\mathrm{zp} p_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$
$p_{x}=r^{-2}\left[\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) p_{x}+\left(x y-\operatorname{yx}^{0}\right)^{0} p_{y}+\left(x z-z^{0}\right) p_{z}\right]=p_{x}$
similarly for $p_{y}, p_{z}$

Symmetrize? No, because the 2 parts of $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}$ are already shown to be Hermitian.

3B. Evaluate p•p. Use Eq. (9)
$\mathbf{p}^{2}=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{r}^{-2}[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p})-\mathbf{q} \times(\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p})]$
$\left[\right.$ goal is $\left.\mathbf{p}^{2}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}^{2}+\mathbf{r}^{-2} \mathbf{L}^{2}\right]$
commute $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}$ through $\mathbf{r}^{-2}$ to be able to take advantage of classical vector triple product
NONLECTURE

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{r}^{-2}\right]=} & -i \hbar\left[\hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{r}^{-2}+\hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{r}^{-2}+\hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \mathbf{r}^{-2}\right] \text { using } \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}=\frac{\hbar}{i}\left[\hat{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \hat{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{y}} \hat{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{z}}\right] \\
& =2 i \hbar \mathbf{r}^{-4} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \quad\left[\operatorname{Recall}\left[\mathrm{f}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}}\right]=i \hbar \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{r}^{-2}=-2 \mathbf{r}^{-3} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}=-2 \mathbf{r}^{-3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{2 \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{r}}=-2 \mathbf{x} / \mathbf{r}^{4}$

$$
\left[\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{r}^{-2}\right]=\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{r}^{-2}-\mathbf{r}^{-2} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}=2 i \hbar \mathbf{r}^{-4} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { thus } \quad \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{r}^{-2}=\mathbf{r}^{-2}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}+2 i \hbar \mathbf{r}^{-4} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

now insert Equation (11) into Equation (10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{p}^{2}=r^{-2}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}}+2 i \hbar \mathbf{r}^{-2} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}}\right)[\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \cdot(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}})-\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \times(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{q}} \times \overrightarrow{\mathbf{p}})] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

multiply this out and get 4 terms.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{p}^{2}=\mathbf{r}^{-2}(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q})(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p})-\mathbf{r}^{-2} \mathbf{p} \cdot[\mathbf{q} \times(\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p})]+\mathbf{r}^{-2}(2 \mathrm{i} \hbar) \mathbf{r}^{-2}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q})(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p})-\mathbf{r}^{-2}(2 \mathrm{i} \hbar) \mathbf{r}^{-2} \mathbf{q} \cdot[\mathbf{q} \times(\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p})] \\
\text { I } \\
\text { II }
\end{gathered}
$$

We have achieved our goal.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{r p}_{\mathbf{r}}+\mathrm{i} \hbar \\
& \left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathbf{I} & =\mathbf{r}^{-2}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-3 \mathrm{i} \hbar)(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \\
\mathbf{I I I} & =\mathbf{r}^{-2}(2 \mathrm{i} \hbar)(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p})
\end{array}\right\} \mathbf{r}^{-2}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-\mathrm{i} \hbar)(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p})=\mathbf{r}^{-1} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \\
& \mathbf{I I}=-\mathbf{r}^{-2}(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}) \cdot(\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p})=-\mathbf{r}^{-2}\left(-\mathbf{L}^{2}\right)=\mathbf{r}^{-2} \mathbf{L}^{2} \\
& \mathbf{I V}=-\mathbf{r}^{4}(2 i \hbar)(\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{q})^{0} \cdot(\mathbf{q} \times \mathbf{p}) \\
& \mathbf{p}^{2}=\mathbf{r}^{-1} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathbf{r} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{r}}+\mathrm{i} \hbar\right)+\mathbf{r}^{-2} \mathbf{L}^{2}=\mathbf{r}^{-1}\left[\mathbf{r} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}-\mathrm{i} \hbar\right] \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}+\mathbf{r}^{-1} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathrm{i} \hbar+\mathbf{r}^{-2} \mathbf{L}^{2}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}^{2}+\mathbf{r}^{-2} \mathbf{L}^{2}  \tag{13}\\
& \mathbf{r p}_{\mathbf{r}}-\left[\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

## RESUME

This $\mathbf{p}^{2}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}^{2}+\mathbf{r}^{-2} \mathbf{L}^{2}$ equation
is a very useful and simple form for $\mathbf{p}^{2}$ - separated into additive radial and angular terms! Whenever $\mathbf{H}$ can be separated into additive radial and angular terms, then the eigenvectors can be factored into radial and angular parts.

## SUMMARY

$\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}=\mathbf{r}^{-1}(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}-i \hbar) \quad$ radial momentum
$\mathbf{p}^{2}=\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}^{2}+\mathbf{r}^{-2} \mathbf{L}^{2}$ separation of radial and angular terms
$\mathbf{H}=\frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{r}}^{2}}{2 \mu}+\left[\frac{\mathbf{L}^{2}}{2 \mu \mathbf{r}^{2}}+V(\mathbf{r})\right]$ Separation of $\mathbf{H}$ into radial and angular terms eventually $\quad V_{\ell}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{\hbar^{2} \ell(\ell+1)}{2 \mu \mathbf{r}^{2}}+V(\mathbf{r}) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { a sum of a "centrifugal" repulsive term and a } \\ & \text { radial potential energy term }\end{aligned}$
Next Lecture: properties of $\mathbf{L}_{i}, \mathbf{L}^{2} \longrightarrow$ Complete Set of Commuting Observables (CSCO)
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