## J Matrices

## $\underline{\text { Last time: }}$

starting with $\left[\mathrm{J}_{i}, \mathrm{~J}_{j}\right]=i \hbar \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{i j k} \mathrm{~J}_{k}$

## DEFINITION OF AN ANGULAR MOMENTUM!

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{J}^{2}|j m\rangle=\hbar^{2} j(j+1)|j m\rangle \\
& \mathrm{J}_{z}|j m\rangle=\hbar m|j m\rangle \\
& \mathrm{J}_{ \pm}=\mathrm{J}_{x} \pm i \mathrm{~J}_{y} \\
& \mathrm{~J}_{ \pm}|j m\rangle=\hbar[j(j+1)-m(m \pm 1)]^{1 / 2}|j m \pm 1\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

nonzero matrix elements and "Condon Shortley" phase choice

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle j^{\prime} m^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{J}^{2}|j m\rangle & =\hbar^{2} j(j+1) \delta_{j^{\prime} j} \delta_{m^{\prime} m} \\
\left\langle j^{\prime} m^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{J}_{z}|j m\rangle & =\hbar m \delta_{j^{\prime} j} \delta_{m^{\prime} m} \\
\left\langle j^{\prime} m^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{J}_{ \pm}|j m\rangle & =\hbar\left[j(j+1)-m m^{\prime}\right]^{1 / 2} \delta_{j^{\prime} j^{\prime}} \delta_{m^{\prime} m \pm 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(\mathbf{J}^{2}, \mathbf{J}_{z}, \mathbf{J}_{x}, \mathbf{J}_{y}, \mathbf{J}_{+}, \mathbf{J}_{-}\right)$all stay within the same $j$ quantum number all matrix elements of $\mathbf{J}^{2}, \mathbf{J}_{z}, \mathbf{J}_{x}, \mathbf{J}_{ \pm}$are real and positive (only those of $\mathbf{J}_{y}$ are imaginary)

TODAY: 1. What do the matrices look like for $J=0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ ?
2. many operators are expressed as an angular momentum times a constant: Zeeman and density matrix examples
3. other operators involve things like $\vec{q}$ or products of two angular momenta


Stark effect

Wigner-Eckart Theorem

* classify operators by commutation rule
* matrix elements in convenient basis sets
* transform between inconvenient and convenient basis sets.
$\left[\mathbf{p}_{x}, \mathbf{p}_{y}\right]=0$
A student in 1999 suggested that he could find $f(x, y)$ such that

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x \partial y} \neq \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y \partial x}
$$

Thus $\left[\mathbf{p}_{x}, \mathbf{p}_{y}\right] \neq 0!$

This is possible, but $f(x, y)$ would have to have a form that excludes it as an acceptable $\psi(x, y)$. Typically, such an $f(x, y)$ would have to be discontinuous or have discontinuous first derivatives. For all well behaved $V(x, y), \psi(x, y)$ will have continuous first derivatives. The $f(x, y)$ used to prove a commutation rule must be acceptable as a quantum mechanical wavefunction, $\psi(x, y)$. This is a good thing because (see Lecture \#22, page 22-7 or the Angular Momentum Handout)

$$
e^{-i a \mathbf{p}_{x} / \hbar}\left|x_{1}\right\rangle=\left|x_{1}+a\right\rangle
$$

$e^{-i a p_{x} / \hbar}$ generates a linear translation of $+a$ in $x$ direction.
linear translations commute (but rotations do not)

This is the basis for (or a consequence of ) $\left[\mathbf{p}_{i}, \mathbf{p}_{j}\right]=0$

$$
\left[\mathbf{J}_{i}, \mathbf{J}_{j}\right]=i \hbar \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{i j k} \mathbf{J}_{k}
$$

## Nonlecture


evolve: If we are in the eigenbasis of $\mathbf{H}$
$e^{-i \mathbf{H} t / \hbar}\left(\begin{array}{l}a \\ b \\ c\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}a e^{-i E_{a} t / \hbar} \\ b e^{-i E_{b} t / \hbar} \\ c e^{-i E_{c} t / \hbar}\end{array}\right) \quad$ (translation in time)
but if we are not in the eigenbasis of $\mathbf{H}$, need $\left[\mathrm{Te}^{-\pi \boldsymbol{T}^{\dagger} H T / \hbar} \mathbf{T}^{\dagger}\right]=\mathrm{U}(t, 0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(t)= & \mathrm{Te}^{-i \mathrm{~T}^{\dagger} H \mathrm{H} t / \hbar} \mathrm{T}^{\dagger} \mathrm{E}|0\rangle\langle 0| \mathrm{E}^{\dagger} \mathrm{Te}^{+i T^{\dagger} \mathrm{HT} t / \hbar} \mathrm{T}^{\dagger} \\
& =\mathrm{U}(t, 0) \boldsymbol{\rho}(0) \mathrm{U}^{\dagger}(t, 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Many QM operators have the form $f(\vec{J})$
e.g. Zeeman effect $\quad H^{\text {Zeeman }}=-\gamma \vec{B} \cdot \vec{J}(\vec{B}$ is magnetic field $)$

Others have the form $f(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}})$

$$
\text { e.g. Stark effect } \quad H^{\text {Stark }}=e \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{q}} \quad(\vec{\varepsilon} \text { is electric field })
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rl}
\text { Others have the form of } & f\left(\mathbf{J}_{1}, \mathbf{J}_{2}\right) \\
\text { e.g. spin - orbit } & \mathbf{H}^{S O}=a \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We are going to want to be able to write matrix representations of these classes of operators. We are going to discover in this lecture that all of these operators have matrix representations that may be expressed as linear combinations of angular momentum matrices.

Let us begin by writing matrices for $\mathbf{J}^{2}, \mathbf{J}_{z}, \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathbf{J}_{+}, \mathbf{J}_{-}$.

$$
j=0 \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { only basis state is } \\
1 \times 1 \text { matrix }
\end{gathered} \quad|j m\rangle=|00\rangle \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{J}_{x}+i \mathbf{J}_{y}\right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{J}^{2}|00\rangle=\hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& \\
&
\end{array}\right)
$$

same for all components of $\mathbf{J}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=1 / 2 \quad\left|\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \text { and }\left|\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \quad 2 \times 2 \text { matrices } \\
& \mathbf{J}^{2(1 / 2)}=\frac{3}{4} \hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{J}^{2}\left|\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle=\hbar^{2} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+1\right)\left|\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \\
& \mathbf{J}_{z}^{(1 / 2)}=\frac{1}{2} \hbar\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbf{J}_{-}^{(1 / 2)}=\hbar\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{J}_{x}^{(1 / 2)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{J}_{+}+\mathbf{J}_{-}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \hbar\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{J}_{y}^{(1 / 2)}=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(\mathbf{J}_{+}-\mathbf{J}_{-}\right)=-\frac{i}{2} \hbar\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\hbar}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i \\
-i & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\text { verify that } \boldsymbol{J}^{2}=\boldsymbol{J}_{x}^{2}+\boldsymbol{J}_{y}^{2}+\boldsymbol{J}_{z}^{2}
$$

$$
\left(\mathbf{J}^{(12)}\right)^{2}=\frac{3 \hbar^{2}}{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{J}_{x}^{2}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{J}_{y}^{2}=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\hbar^{2}}{4}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

An amazing amount of insight gained from this complete set of $2 \times 2$ matrices

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{I}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) & \begin{array}{l}
\text { CTDL, pages 417-454 } \\
\text { 1. Pauli Matrices } \\
\text { 2. } \\
\text { Diagonalization of } 2 \times 2 \\
\text { 3. } \\
\text { Geometric interpretation of } 2 \times 2 \\
\boldsymbol{\rho} \text { in terms of fictitious spin } 1 / 2 \\
\text { 4. } \\
\text { spin } 1 / 2 \\
\text { 5. } \\
\text { magnetic resonance }
\end{array} \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{x}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{x}}^{(1 / 2)} & \text { What is }\left[\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{x}}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{y}}\right]=? \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{y}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\mathrm{i} \\
\mathrm{i} & 0
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{y}}^{(1 / 2)} & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & 0 \\
0 & -i
\end{array}\right) \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{z}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{z}}^{(1 / 2)} & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i & 0 \\
0 & i
\end{array}\right) \\
3 \text { matrices with eigenvalues } \pm 1 & \left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\left.\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{y}\right]\right)=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & 0 \\
0 & -i
\end{array}\right)=2 i \sigma_{z}
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{array}
$$

Surprise? Why the 2?
arbitrary $\mathbf{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22}\end{array}\right)=\frac{m_{11}+m_{22}}{2} \mathbf{I}+\frac{m_{11}-m_{22}}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{z}+\frac{m_{12}+m_{21}}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x}+i \frac{m_{12}-m_{21}}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{y}$

This provides a basis for taking apart the dynamics of an arbitrary $2 \times 2 \rho$ into dynamics of $x, y, z$ fictitious spin- $1 / 2$ components. Beat the $S=1 / 2$ Zeeman problem to death and use it as basis for understanding the dynamics in any $2 \times 2$ space.

We have done $\mathrm{J}=0, \mathrm{~J}=1 / 2$, now we do $\mathrm{J}=1$.
$\mathrm{J}=1 \quad$ A set of $3 \times 3$ matrices

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{J}^{2(1)}=2 \hbar^{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathbf{J}_{z}^{(1)}=\hbar\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathbf{J}_{+}^{(1)}=2^{1 / 2} \hbar\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathbf{J}_{-}^{(1)}=2^{1 / 2} \hbar\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathbf{J}_{x}^{(1)}=2^{-1 / 2} \hbar\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathbf{J}_{+}^{(1)} 1\right)|11\rangle=0
$$

For a $2 \times 2$ problem (e.g. $J=1 / 2$ ), we need 4 independent $2 \times 2$ matrices (because there are 4 elements in a $2 \times 2$ matrix) in order to represent an arbitrary $2 \times 2$ matrix.

For the $3 \times 3$ problem, we need 9 independent $3 \times 3$ matrices:
$\left(x, y, z, x^{2}, y^{2}, z^{2}, x y, x z, y z\right)$ (because there are 9 elements in a $3 \times 3$ matrix)
[actually one scalar (I), three vector, five second-rank tensor]

$$
s+p+d=9
$$

[for $2 \times 2$ it was $s+p=4$ ].
Can you write out each of the $\mathrm{J}^{(3 / 2)}$ matrices (16 $4 \times 4$ matrices)?

Nine $3 \times 3$ basis matrices for $\mathbf{J}=1$ is not nearly as nice as the 4 basis matrices for the $2 \times 2 \mathbf{J}=1 / 2$ problem. But this set of nine basis matrices turns out to be everything that is needed to "understand" and picture spin $=1$ systems.
similarly for $j=3 / 2$, 2 , etc.
There are 2 lovely consequences of being able to take an arbitrary matrix and rewrite it as sum of $\mathbf{J}$ matrices.

1. If $\mathbf{M}$ is the matrix of an operator - a term in the Hamiltonian - then it is clear that this operator may be re-expressed as a sum of operators, each of which behaves exactly like a (combination of) component(s) of $\mathbf{J}$-evaluated in the $|\mathrm{jm}\rangle$ basis set.

$$
\mathbf{M}^{(j)}=a_{0} \mathbf{I}+\sum_{i, j} \overbrace{a_{1 j} \mathbf{I}_{i} \mathbf{J}_{j}+\sum_{i, j, k} c_{3 i j k} \mathbf{J}_{i}+b_{2 i j} \mathbf{J}_{i} \mathbf{J}_{j}, \mathbf{J}_{k}}
$$

$$
+\ldots
$$

This is the basis for classification of operators into $T^{(k)}{ }_{m}(k$-rank tensor, $m$-component) and the Wigner- Eckart Theorem for evaluation of matrix elements.
2. especially for 2 -level systems, if $\mathbf{M}=\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and $\vec{a}$ is defined from $\mathbf{M}$ as on page 24-6, then we have a vector picture that enables us too understand preparation, evolution, and detection steps:

evolution of vector, fictitious B-fields


Now let's do some J = 1 examples
Zeeman effect for an $\ell=1$ ( $p$ orbital) state

current on a circular wire
classical energy


for $L=1$ system: $\quad \mathbf{H}^{\text {zeeman }}=-\gamma B_{z} \hbar\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$
$\underline{\text { case (1) }} \operatorname{Let} \Psi(0)=\left|L M_{L}\right\rangle=\left|\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
E_{L M_{L}} & =E_{11}=\operatorname{Trace}(\rho \mathbf{H}) \\
& =-\hbar \gamma B_{z} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\right] \\
& =-\hbar \gamma B_{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

What about?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\rho}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& E_{10}=\operatorname{Trace}(\boldsymbol{\rho H})=0 \\
& E_{1-1}=+\gamma B_{z} \hbar
\end{aligned} \quad \text { no motion of } E \text { }
$$

case (2): Let $\Psi(0)=2^{-1 / 2}(|11\rangle+|10\rangle)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(t)=2^{-1 / 2}\left[|11\rangle e^{-i E_{11} t / \hbar}+|10\rangle e^{-i 0 t / \hbar}\right] \\
& \rho(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(|11\rangle\langle 11|+|10\rangle\langle 10|+|11\rangle\langle 10| e^{-i E_{11} t / \hbar}+|10\rangle\langle 11| e^{+i E_{11} t / \hbar}\right) \\
& \rho(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & e^{-i \omega_{11} t} & 0 \\
e^{i \omega_{11} t} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { zeroes at locations of coherence in } \rho \\
& \mathbf{H}(B \|+z)=-\gamma B_{2} \hbar\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 \\
1 \\
0<0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) \\
& E(t)=\langle\mathbf{H}\rangle=\operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{H} \rho)=-\frac{1}{2} \gamma B_{2} \hbar(1) \quad \text { no time evolution of } \mathrm{E}
\end{aligned}
$$

Looked at 2 cases:

1. pure state $\quad|11\rangle, B \| z \quad E=-\gamma B_{z} \hbar$
2. mixed state $2^{-1 / 2}(|11\rangle+|10\rangle), B \perp z \quad E=-\frac{1}{2} \gamma B_{2} \hbar$
mixed state always gives time-independent $\langle\mathrm{E}\rangle$ in $\mathbf{H}$ is time-independent NMR: oscillating $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{B}_{y}$, $\mathrm{cw} \mathrm{B}_{z}$. Many wonderful things happen!

Stark Effect: Electric field
classical $\quad E \propto \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot\left(\vec{q}_{e^{-}}-\vec{q}_{p^{+}}\right) \approx \varepsilon_{z} z$
so we will need matrix elements of $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}$ in $|\mathrm{jm}\rangle$ basis set. How?
Based on

$$
\left[\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~L}_{j}\right]=-i \hbar \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{z j \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{q}_{k} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { from Vector operator definition (Lecture \#23) } \\
& \text { - later }
\end{aligned}
$$

Other angular momenta

1. $\ell$ electron orbital angular momentum
2. s electron spin
3. I nuclear spin

These separate angular momenta interact with each other

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { spin-orbit: } & \zeta(r) \ell \cdot \mathbf{s} \\
\text { Zeeman: } & -\gamma B_{z}\left(\ell_{z}+g_{s} \mathbf{s}_{z}+g_{I} \mathbf{I}_{z}\right) \\
\text { hyperfine: } & \mathrm{aI} \cdot \mathbf{s}
\end{array}
$$

We use coupled and uncoupled basis sets: $\left|\ell m_{\ell}\right\rangle\left|s m_{s}\right\rangle \leftrightarrow\left|j \ell s m_{j}\right\rangle$ to evaluate all matrix elements of these multiple-operator terms.
case (3): $\quad \Psi(0)=2^{-1 / 2}(|11\rangle+|10\rangle)$

## but $\mathbf{H}$ is for $\vec{B} \| x$

$\mathrm{H}=-\gamma B_{x} \hbar 2^{-1 / 2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$
Something subtle is intentionally wrong here. Can you find it?

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(t) & =\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{H} \rho)=-\frac{1}{2} \gamma B_{x} \hbar\left[e^{+i \omega_{11} t}+e^{-i \omega_{11} t}+0\right] \\
& =-\gamma B_{x} \hbar \cos \omega_{11} t
\end{aligned}
$$



Put in $t$ for $t$-dependent basis set, which is not the eigenbasis set.
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