## Rate of Absorption and Stimulated Emission

The rate of absorption induced by the field is

$$w_{k\ell}(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{2\hbar^2} |E_0(\omega)|^2 |\langle k| \in \overline{\mathcal{H}} |\ell\rangle^2 \,\delta(\omega_{k\ell} - \omega)$$

The rate is clearly dependent on the strength of the field. The variable that you can most easily measure is the intensity I (energy flux through a unit area), which is the time-averaged value of the Poynting vector, S

$$S = \frac{c}{4\pi} \left( \overline{E} \times \overline{B} \right)$$
$$I = \langle S \rangle = \frac{c}{4\pi} \langle \overline{E}^2 \rangle = \frac{c}{8\pi} E_0^2$$

Another representation of the amplitude of the field is the energy density

$$U = \frac{I}{c} = \frac{1}{8\pi} E_0^2$$
 (for a monochromatic field)

Using this we can write

$$\mathbf{w}_{k\ell} = \frac{4\pi^2}{\hbar^2} \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \left| \left\langle \mathbf{k} \right| \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \right| \ell \right\rangle \right|^2 \, \delta(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{k\ell} - \boldsymbol{\omega})$$

or for an isotropic field where  $\left|\overline{E}_{0} \cdot \hat{x}\right| = \left|\overline{E}_{0} \cdot \hat{y}\right| = \left|\overline{E}_{0} \cdot \hat{z}\right| = \frac{1}{3} \left|E_{0}\right|^{2}$ 

$$\mathbf{w}_{k\ell} = \frac{4\pi^2}{3\hbar^2} \mathbf{U}(\omega) \left| \overline{\mu}_{k\ell} \right|^2 \delta(\omega_{k\ell} - \omega)$$

or more commonly

$$w_{k\ell} = B_{k\ell} U(\omega_{k\ell})$$
  
$$B_{k\ell} = \frac{4\pi^2}{3\hbar^2} |\mu_{k\ell}|^2$$
  
Einstein B coefficient

(this is sometimes written as  $B_{k\ell} = (2\pi/3\hbar^2) |\mu_{k\ell}|^2$  when the energy density is in v).

U can also be written in a quantum form, by writing it in terms of the number of photons N

$$N\hbar\omega = \frac{E_0^2}{8\pi} \qquad \qquad U = N \frac{\hbar\,\omega^3}{\pi^2 c^3}$$

B is independent of the properties of the field. It can be related to the absorption cross-section,  $\sigma_A$ .

$$\sigma_{A} = \frac{\text{total energy absorbed / unit time}}{\text{total incident intensity (energy / unit time / area)}}$$
$$= \frac{\hbar \omega \cdot W_{k\ell}}{I} = \frac{\hbar \omega \cdot B_{k\ell} U(\omega_{k\ell})}{c U(\omega_{k\ell})}$$
$$\sigma_{A} = \frac{\hbar \omega}{c} B_{k\ell}$$

More generally you may have a frequency dependent absorption coefficient  $\sigma_A(\omega) \propto B_{k\ell}(\omega) = B_{k\ell} g(\omega)$  where  $g(\omega)$  is a lineshape function.

The golden rule rate for absorption also gives the same rate for stimulated emission. We find for two levels  $|m\rangle$  and  $|n\rangle$ :

$$w_{nm} = w_{mn}$$

$$B_{nm} U(\omega_{nm}) = B_{nm} U(\omega_{nm}) \qquad since U(\omega_{nm}) = U(\omega_{mn})$$

$$B_{nm} = B_{mn}$$

The absorption probability per unit time equals the stimulated emission probability per unit time.

Also, the cross-section for absorption is equal to an equivalent cross-section for stimulated emission,  $(\sigma_A)_{nm} = (\sigma_{SE})_{mn}$ .

Now let's calculate the change in the intensity of incident light, due to absorption/stimulated emission passing through sample (length L).

$dI = -N_n \sigma_A I dx + N_m \sigma_{SE} I dx$	$ m\rangle$ — $ n\rangle$ —
$\frac{\mathrm{dI}}{\mathrm{I}} = -\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}} - \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}\mathrm{dx}$	$N_n; N_m$ populations $\Delta N=N_n - N_m$ : pop. difference
$\frac{I}{I_0} = e^{-\Delta N \sigma_a L}$	for high freq. $\Delta N \approx N_n \equiv N$
$pprox e^{-N\sigma_a L}$	$N: cm^{-3}$ $\sigma_n: cm^2$ $L: cm$
$\frac{I}{I_0} = 10^{-C \in L}$	$C: mol/L \in \ell/molecule$

or

 $\in = 2303 N_n \sigma_A$ 

## SPONTANEOUS EMISSION

What doesn't come naturally out of semi-classical treatments is spontaneous emission—transitions when the field isn't present.

To treat it properly requires a quantum mechanical treatment of the field, where energy is conserved, such that annihilation of a quantum leads to creation of a photon with the same energy. We need to treat the particles and photons both as quantized objects.

You can deduce the rates for spontaneous emission from statistical arguments (Einstein).

For a sample with a large number of molecules, we will consider transitions between two states  $|m\rangle$  and  $|n\rangle$  with  $E_m > E_n$ .



The Boltzmann distribution gives us the number of molecules in each state.

$$N_m / N_n = e^{-\hbar \omega_{mn}/kT}$$

For the system to be at equilibrium, the time-averaged transitions up  $W_{mn}$  must equal those down  $W_{nm}$ . In the presence of a field, we would want to write for an ensemble

$$N_m B_{nm} U(\omega_{mn}) \stackrel{?}{=} N_n B_{mn} U(\omega_{mn})$$

but clearly this can't hold for finite temperature, where  $N_m < N_n$ , so there must be another type of emission independent of the field.

So we write

$$W_{nm} = W_{mn}$$

$$N_{m}(A_{nm} + B_{nm} U(\omega_{mn})) = N_{n} B_{mn} U(\omega_{mn})$$

If we substitute the Boltzmann equation into this and use  $B_{mn} = B_{nm}$ , we can solve for  $A_{nm}$ :

$$A_{nm} = B_{nm} U(\omega_{mn}) \left( e^{\hbar \omega_{mn}/kT} - 1 \right)$$

For the energy density we will use Planck's blackbody radiation distribution:

$$U(\omega) = \frac{\hbar\omega^{3}}{\underbrace{\pi^{2}c^{3}}_{U_{\omega}}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega_{mn}/kT} - 1}}_{\langle N_{\omega} \rangle}$$

 $U_{\omega}$  is the energy density per photon of frequency  $\omega$ .  $\langle N_{\omega} \rangle$  is the mean number of photons at a frequency  $\omega$ .

$$\therefore \quad A_{nm} = \frac{\hbar\omega^3}{\pi^2 c^3} B_{nm}$$
 Einstein A coefficient

The total rate of emission from the excited state is

$$w_{nm} = B_{nm} U(\omega_{nm}) + A_{nm}$$

$$using U(\omega_{nm}) = N \frac{\hbar \omega^{3}}{\pi^{2} C^{3}}$$

$$= \frac{\hbar \omega^{3}}{\pi^{2} c^{3}} B_{nm} (N+1)$$

Notice, even when the field vanishes  $(N \rightarrow 0)$ , we still have emission. Remember, for the semiclassical treatment, the total rate of stimulated emission was

$$w_{nm} = \frac{\hbar\omega^3}{\pi^2 c^3} B_{nm} (N)$$

If we use the statistical analysis to calculate rates of absorption we have

$$\mathbf{w}_{\rm mn} = \frac{\hbar\omega^3}{\pi^2 \, \mathrm{c}^3} \, \mathbf{B}_{\rm mn} \, \mathbf{N}$$

The A coefficient gives the rate of emission in the absence of a field, and thus is the inverse of the radiative lifetime:

$$\tau_{rad} = \frac{1}{A}$$

## **Relaxation Leads to Line-broadening**

What happens to the probability of absorption if an excited state decays exponentially?



First-order result:

$$b_{k} = \frac{-i}{\hbar} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} d\tau \langle k | V | t \rangle$$
  
or  $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} b_{k} = e^{i\omega_{k\ell}t} V_{k\ell}(t)$ 

If we add relaxation to description of  $b_k$ :

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b_k = e^{i\omega_{k\ell}t} V_{k\ell}(t) - \frac{\Gamma}{2}b_k$$

(We write this in analogy to coupling to continuum  $|n\rangle$  where  $\Gamma = \overline{w}_{nk}$ .)

Now we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}b_{k} = \frac{-i}{\hbar}e^{i\omega_{k\ell}t}\sin\omega t V_{k\ell} - \frac{\Gamma}{2}b_{k}(t)$$
$$= \frac{E_{0}\omega_{k\ell}}{2i\hbar\omega} \left[e^{i(\omega_{k\ell}+\omega)} - e^{i(\omega_{k\ell}-\omega)t}\right]\overline{\mu}_{k\ell} - \frac{\Gamma}{2}b_{k}(t)$$

The solution to the differential equation

 $\dot{y} + ay = b e^{i\alpha t}$  is

*k* relaxes exponentially... for instance by coupling to continuum

 $P_k \propto \exp[-\Gamma t]$ 

$$y(t) = A e^{-at} + \frac{b e^{i\alpha t}}{a + i\alpha}$$

$$b_{k}(t) = A e^{-\Gamma t/2} + \frac{E_{0} \omega_{k\ell} \overline{\mu}_{k\ell}}{2i\hbar\omega} \left[ \frac{e^{i(\omega_{k\ell} + \omega)t}}{\Gamma/2 + i(\omega_{k\ell} + \omega)} - \frac{e^{i(\omega_{k\ell} - \omega)t}}{\Gamma/2 + i(\omega_{k\ell} - \omega)} \right]$$

Let's look at absorption only—long time limit:

$$b_{k}(t) = \frac{E_{0}\omega_{k\ell}\overline{\mu}_{k\ell}}{2\hbar\omega} \left[\frac{e^{i(\omega_{k\ell}-\omega)t}}{\omega_{k\ell}-\omega-i\Gamma/2}\right]$$

The probability of transition:

$$P_{k} = |b_{k}|^{2} = \frac{E_{0}^{2} |\mu_{k\ell}|^{2}}{4\hbar^{2}} \frac{1}{(\omega_{k\ell} - \omega)^{2} + \Gamma^{2} / 4}$$

Lorentzian lineshape:



The linewidth is related to the system rather than how we introduced the perturbation.

Linewidth related to relaxation dynamics.