Recitation 18: Subset Sum Variants

Subset Sum Review

- Input: Set of n positive integers A[i]
- Output: Is there subset $A' \subset A$ such that $\sum_{a \in A'} a = S$?
- Can solve with dynamic programming in O(nS) time

Subset Sum

- 1. Subproblems
 - Here we'll try 1-indexed prefixes for comparison
 - x(i, j): True if can make sum j using items 1 to i, False otherwise

2. Relate

- Is last item *i* in a valid subset? (Guess!)
- If yes, then try to sum to $j A[i] \ge 0$ using remaining items
- If no, then try to sum to *j* using remaining items

•
$$x(i,j) = OR \begin{cases} x(i-1,j-A[i]) & \text{if } j \ge A[i] \\ x(i-1,j) & \text{always} \end{cases}$$

• for $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}, j \in \{0, \dots, S\}$

3. **Торо**

• Subproblems x(i, j) only depend on strictly smaller *i*, so acyclic

4. Base

- x(i,0) = True for $i \in \{0, ..., n\}$ (trivial to make zero sum!)
- x(0, j) = False for $j \in \{1, \dots, S\}$ (impossible to make positive sum from empty set)

5. Original

- Solve subproblems via recursive top down or iterative bottom up
- Maximum evaluated expression is given by x(n, S)

6. Time

• (# subproblems: O(nS)) × (work per subproblem O(1)) = O(nS) running time.

Exercise: Partition - Given a set of n positive integers A, describe an algorithm to determine whether A can be partitioned into two non-intersecting subsets A_1 and A_2 of equal sum, i.e. $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ and $A_1 \cup A_2 = A$ such that $\sum_{a \in A_1} a = \sum_{a \in A_2} a$. Example: $A = \{1, 4, 3, 12, 19, 21, 22\}$ has partition $A_1 = \{1, 19, 21\}, A_2 = \{3, 4, 12, 22\}$.

Solution: Run subset sum dynamic program with same A and $S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in A} a$.

Exercise: Close Partition - Given a set of n positive integers A, describe an algorithm to find a partition of A into two non-intersecting subsets A_1 and A_2 such that the difference between their respective sums are minimized.

Solution: Run subset sum dynamic program as above, but evaluate for every $S' \in \{0, \ldots, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in A} a\}$, and return the largest S' such that the subset sum dynamic program returns true. Note that this still only takes O(nS) time: O(nS) to compute all subproblems, and then O(nS) time again to loop over the subproblems to find the max true S'.

Exercise: Can you adapt subset sum to work with negative integers?

Solution: Same as subset sum (see L19), but we allow calling subproblems with larger j. But now instead of solving x(i, j) only in the range $i \in \{0, ..., n\}, j \in \{0, ..., S\}$ as in positive subset sum, we allow j to range from $j_{min} = \sum_{a \in A, a < 0} a$ (smallest possible j) to $j_{max} = \sum_{a \in A, a > 0} a$ (largest possible j).

$$x(i,j) = OR \{x(i-1,j-A[i]), x(i-1,j)\}$$
 (note $j_{min} \le j - A[i] \le j_{max}$ is always true)

Subproblem dependencies are still acyclic because x(i, j) only depend on strictly smaller *i*. Base cases are x(0, 0) = True and x(0, j) = False if $j \neq 0$. Running time is then proportional to number of constant work subproblems, $O(n(j_{max} - j_{min}))$.

Alternatively, you can convert to an equivalent instance of positive subset sum and solve that. Choose large number $Q > \max(|S|, \sum_{a \in A} |a|)$. Add 2Q to each integer in A to form A', and append the value 2Q, n-1 times to the end of A'. Every element of A' is now positive, so solve positive subset sum with S' = S + n(2Q). Because (2n-1)Q < S' < (2n+1)Q, any satisfying subset will contain exactly n integers from A' since the sum of any fewer would have sum no greater than $(n-1)2Q + \sum_{a \in A} |a| < (2n-1)Q$, and sum of any more would have sum no smaller than $(n+1)2Q - \sum_{a \in A} |a| > (2n+1)Q$. Further, at least one integer in a satisfying subset of A' corresponds to an integer of A since S' is not divisible by 2Q. If A' has a subset B' summing to S', then the items in A corresponding to integers in B' will comprise a nonempty subset that sums to S. Conversely, if A has a subset B that sums to S, choosing the k elements of A' corresponding the integers in B and n - k of the added 2Q values in A' will comprise a subset B' that sums to S'.

This is an example of a **reduction**: we show how to use a black-box to solve positive subset sum to solve general subset sum. However, this reduction does lead to a weaker pseudopolynomial time bound of O(n(S + 2nQ)) than the modified algorithm presented above.

0-1 Knapsack

- Input: Knapsack with size S, want to fill with items each item i has size s_i and value v_i .
- Output: A subset of items (may take 0 or 1 of each) with $\sum s_i \leq S$ maximizing value $\sum v_i$
- (Subset sum same as 0-1 Knapsack when each $v_i = s_i$, deciding if total value S achievable)
- Example: Items $\{(s_i, v_i)\} = \{(6, 6), (9, 9), (10, 12)\}, S = 15$
- Solution: Subset with max value is all items except the last one (greedy fails)

1. Subproblems

- Idea: Is last item in an optimal knapsack? (Guess!)
- If yes, get value v_i and pack remaining space $S s_i$ using remaining items
- If no, then try to sum to S using remaining items
- x(i, j): maximum value by packing knapsack of size j using items 1 to i

2. Relate

•
$$x(i,j) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} v_i + x(i-1,j-s_i) & \text{if } j \ge s_i \\ x(i-1,j) & \text{always} \end{array} \right\}$$

• for $i \in \{0,\ldots,n\}, j \in \{0,\ldots,S\}$

3. **Торо**

• Subproblems x(i, j) only depend on strictly smaller *i*, so acyclic

4. Base

- x(i,0) = 0 for $i \in \{0, ..., n\}$ (zero value possible if no more space)
- x(0, j) = 0 for $j \in \{1, ..., S\}$ (zero value possible if no more items)

5. Original

- Solve subproblems via recursive top down or iterative bottom up
- Maximum evaluated expression is given by x(n, S)
- Store parent pointers to reconstruct items to put in knapsack

6. Time

- # subproblems: O(nS)
- work per subproblem O(1)
- O(nS) running time

Exercise: Close Partition (Alternative solution)

Solution: Given integers A, solve a 0-1 Knapsack instance with $s_i = v_i = A[i]$ and $S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in A} a$, where the subset returned will be one half of a closest partition.

Exercise: Unbounded Knapsack - Same problem as 0-1 Knapsack, except that you may take as many of any item as you like.

Solution: The O-1 Knapsack formulation works directly except for a small change in relation, where *i* will not be decreased if it is taken once, where the topological order strictly decreases i + j with each recursive call.

$$x(i,j) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} v_i + x(i,j-s_i) & \text{if } j \ge s_i \\ x(i-1,j) & \text{always} \end{array} \right\}$$

An equivalent formulation reduces subproblems to expand work done per subproblem:

1. Subproblems:

• x(j): maximum value by packing knapsack of size j using the provided items

2. Relate:

• $x(j) = \max\{v_i + x(j - s_i) \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ and } s_i \leq j\} \cup \{0\}, \text{ for } j \in \{0, \dots, S\}$

3. **Торо**

• Subproblems x(j) only depend on strictly smaller j, so acyclic

4. **Base**

• x(0) = 0 (no space to pack!)

5. Original

- Solve subproblems via recursive top down or iterative bottom up
- Maximum evaluated expression is given by x(S)
- Store parent pointers to reconstruct items to put in knapsack

6. **Time**

- # subproblems: O(S)
- work per subproblem O(n)
- O(nS) running time

We've made CoffeeScript visualizers solving subset sum and 0-1 Knapsack: https://codepen.io/mit6006/pen/JeBvKe https://codepen.io/mit6006/pen/VVEPod MIT OpenCourseWare <u>https://ocw.mit.edu</u>

6.006 Introduction to Algorithms Spring 2020

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>