## In-Class Problems Week 4, Mon.

Problem 1. In each case, say whether or not $R$ is a equivalence relation on $A$. If it is an equivalence relation, what are the equivalence classes and how many equivalence classes are there?
(a) $R::=\{(x, y) \in W \times W \mid$ the words $x$ and $y$ start with the same letter $\}$ where $W$ is the set of all words in the 2001 edition of the Oxford English dictionary.
(b) $R::=\{(x, y) \in W \times W \mid$ the words $x$ and $y$ have at least one letter in common $\}$.
(c) $R=\{(x, y) \in W \times W$ and the word $x$ comes before the word $y$ alphabetically $\}$.
(d) $R=\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $|x| \leq|y|\}$.
(e) $R=\{(x, y) \in B \times B$, where B is the set of all bit strings and x and y have the same number of 1s.\}

## Problem 2.

False Claim. Suppose $R$ is a relation on $A$. If $R$ is symmetric and transitive, then $R$ is reflexive.
(a) Give a counter-example to the claim.
(b) Find the flaw in the following proof of the claim:

False proof. Let $x$ be an arbitrary element of $A$. Let $y$ be any element of $A$ such that $x R y$. Since $R$ is symmetric, it follows that $y R x$. Then since $x R y$ and $y R x$, we conclude by transitivity that $x R x$. Since $x$ was arbitrary, we have shown that $\forall x \in A(x R x)$, so $R$ is reflexive.

[^0]Problem 3. Verify that each of the following relations is a partial order by describing a function, $g$, such that the relation is defined by $g$ according to the Definition 4.2 in the Appendix. For each, is it a total order?
(a) The relation, $<$, on $\mathbb{R}$.
(b) The superset relation, $\supseteq$, on $\mathcal{P}(B)$ for a set, $B$.
(c) The "divides" relation on natural numbers.

Problem 4. Suppose you are given the description of an equivalence relation and want to cut down on the number of pairs that are stored without losing any information. For example, here are the pairs of an equivalence relation on a set of integers:

$$
G::=\{11,33,44,55,66,77,13,31,45,54,47,74,57,75\} .
$$

where for readability, we've written " $m k$ " to designate the pair ( $m, k$ ).
To start, we know that if we have $m k$, then we necessarily also have $k m$, so there's no need to keep both. This lets us cut down to:

$$
\{11,33,44,55,66,77,13,45,47,57\} .
$$

Also, if we have 45 and 57, we don't need 47, since that will necessarily be there (by transitivity), so we can further cut down to

$$
\{11,33,44,55,66,77,13,45,57\}
$$

In addition, as long as we keep some pair in which $k$ appears, we don't need the pair $k k$. This lets us cut down to the pairs

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{66,13,45,57\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These pairs are all that are needed to determine the entire original equivalence relation, $G$. Moreover, the set (1) of these pairs is minimal with this property; this means that if any pair was removed from the set, it wouldn't determine the relation any more.
(a) Describe another couple of minimal sets of pairs that determine the relation.
(b) Here are the pairs that are left after some unnecessary pairs have been removed from the description of an equivalence relation, $E$. What is the domain of $E$ ? What are the equivalence classes of $E$ ?

$$
15,20,40,57,68,79,9 a, b b, c 3
$$

(c) On a domain of $n$ elements, what is the smallest number of pairs that could determine an equivalence relation?
(d) Suppose you have an equivalence relation on a domain of size $n$ with $k$ equivalence classes, with no classes of just one element. Then every minimal set of pairs has the same size. What is that size? Explain.

## Appendix

## Equivalence

Definition 4.1. A binary relation, $R$, on a set, $A$, is an equivalence relation iff there is a function, $f$, with domain $A$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} R a_{2} \quad \text { iff } \quad f\left(a_{1}\right)=f\left(a_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$.
Theorem. A relation is an equivalence iff it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

## Partial Order

Definition 4.2. A relation, $R$, on a set, $A$, is a partial order providing there is a function, $g$, from $A$ to some collection of sets such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} R a_{2} \quad \text { iff } \quad g\left(a_{1}\right) \subset g\left(a_{2}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a_{1} \neq a_{2} \in A$.
Theorem. A relation is a partial order iff it is transitive and antisymmetric.

## Relational Properties

A binary relation, $R$, on a set, $A$, is

- reflexive if $a R a$ for every $a \in A$,
- irreflexive if $a R a$ holds for no $a \in A$,
- symmetric if for every $a, b \in A, a R b$ implies $b R a$,
- antisymmetric if for every $a \neq b \in A$, $a R b$ implies $\neg(b R a)$,
- asymmetric if for every $a, b \in A, a R b$ implies $\neg(b R a)$,
- transitive if for every $a, b, c \in A, a R b$ and $b R c$ implies $a R c$.
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