Propositional Logic, II
Proof by Contradiction Proof by Cases

## Proof by Contradiction

Theorem: $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.
Proof (by contradiction):

- Suppose $\sqrt{2}$ was rational.
- Choose $m, n$ integers without common prime factors (always possible) such that

$$
\sqrt{2}=\frac{m}{n}
$$

- Show that $m \& n$ are both even, a contradiction!


## Proof by Contradiction

Theorem: $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.
Proof (by contradiction):



## Proof by Truth Tables

DeMorgan's Law
$\neg(P \vee Q)$ is equivalent to $\bar{P} \wedge \bar{Q}$

| $P$ | $Q$ | $\neg(P \vee Q)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | F | T |
| T | F | F | T |
| F | T | F | T |
| F | F | T | F |


| $\bar{P}$ | $\bar{Q}$ | $\bar{P} \wedge \bar{Q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | F | F |
| F | T | F |
| T | F | F |
| T | T | T |

## Proposed Deduction Rule

$P$ implies $Q, Q$ implies $R, R$ implies $P$ Conclude: $\quad P, Q$, and $R$ are true.

$$
\frac{(P \rightarrow Q),(Q \rightarrow R),(R \rightarrow P)}{P \wedge Q \wedge R}
$$

From

## Sound Rule?

Conclusion true whenever all antecedents true.

$$
P \rightarrow Q \quad Q \rightarrow R \quad R \rightarrow P \quad P \wedge Q \wedge R
$$




## Sound Rule?

Conclusion true whenever all antecedents true.


| $p \rightarrow q$ | $q \rightarrow r$ | $r \rightarrow p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T | T | T |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |
| F | T | T |
| T | T | F |
| T | F | T |
| T | T | F |
| T | T | T |


| $p \wedge q \wedge r$ | sound? |
| :---: | :---: |
| T |  |
| F |  |
| F |  |
| F |  |
| F |  |
| F |  |
| F |  |
| F |  |
| $\underbrace{}_{\text {Conclusion }}$ |  |




## Quicker by Cases

$$
\frac{P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R, R \rightarrow P}{P \wedge Q \wedge R}
$$

Case 1: $P$ is true. Now, if antecedents are true, then $Q$ must be true (because $P$ implies $Q$ ).
Then $R$ must be true (because $Q$ implies $R$ ).
So the conclusion $P \wedge Q \wedge R$ is true.
This case is OK.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Quicker by Cases } \\
\frac{P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R, R \rightarrow P}{P \wedge Q \wedge R}
\end{gathered}
$$

Case 2: $P$ is false. To make antecedents true, $R$ must be false (because $R$ implies $P$ ), so $Q$ must be false (because $Q$ implies $R$ ).
This assignment does make the antecedents true, but the conclusion $P \wedge Q \wedge R$ is (very) False.

This case is not OK.

## Goldbach Conjecture

Every even integer greater than 2 is the sum of two primes.
Evidence: $\quad 4=2+2$

$$
6=3+3
$$

$$
8=5+3
$$

$$
20=? \quad 13+7
$$



It remains an OPEN problem: no counterexample, no proof.

UNTIL NOW!...

## Team Problem

## Problems 2 \& 3

