### 6.096 - Algorithms for Computational Biology

## RNA secondary structure

Lecture 1 - Introduction
Lecture 2 - Hashing and BLAST
Lecture 3 - Combinatorial Motif Finding
Lecture 4 - Statistical Motif Finding
Lecture 5 - Sequence alignment and Dynamic Programming

## Challenges in Computational Biology



## RNA World

- RNA can be protein-like
- Ribozymes can catalyze enzymatic reactions by RNA secondary fold
- Small RNAs can play structural roles within the cell
- Small RNAs play versatile roles in gene regulatory
- RNA can be DNA-like
- Made of digital information, can transfer to progeny by complementarity
- Viruses with RNA genomes (single/double stranded)
- RNA can catalyze RNA replication
- RNA world is possible
- Proteins are more efficient (larger alphabet)
- DNA is more stable (double helix, less flexible)


## RNA invented its successors

- RNA invents protein
- Ribosome precise structure was solved this past year
- Core is all RNA. Only RNA makes DNA contact
- Protein component only adds structural stability
- RNA and protein invent DNA
- Stable, protected, specialized structure (no catalysis)
- Proteins catalyze: RNA $\rightarrow$ DNA reverse transcription
- Proteins catalyze: DNA $\rightarrow$ DNA replication
- Proteins catalyze: DNA $\rightarrow$ RNA transcription
- Viruses still preserved from those early days of life
- Any type genome: dsDNA, ssRNA, dsRNA, hybrid
- Simplest self-replicating life form

Example: tRNA secondary and tertiary structure


Adaptor molecule between DNA and protein



## Matching "blocks"

- visually inspect matrices for diagonal lines of 1 's
- manually piece them together into an optimal folded shape




## Refinement

- unfortunately, this finds chemically infeasible structures
- i.e. insufficient space, inflexibility of paired base regions
- next step is to specify better constraints
- solution: a dynamic programming algorithm [Nussinov et al., 1978]


## Basic Constraints

1. Each edge contains vertices (bases) linking compatible base pairs
2. No vertex can be in more than one edge
3. Edges must be drawn without crossing

Edges $(g, h)$ and $(i, j)$

if $i<\boldsymbol{g}<\boldsymbol{j}<\boldsymbol{h}$ or $\boldsymbol{g}<\boldsymbol{i}<\boldsymbol{h}<\boldsymbol{j}$, both edges cannot belong to the same "matching."

## Basic Constraints

1. Each edge contains vertices (bases) linking compatible base pairs
2. No vertex can be in more than one edge
3. Edges must be drawn without crossing


Edges ( $g, h$ ) and ( $i, j$ )
if $\boldsymbol{i}<\boldsymbol{g}<\boldsymbol{j}<\boldsymbol{h}$ or $g<i<h<j$, both edges cannot belong to the same "matching."

Circular Representation


## Energy Minimization

- objective is a folded shape for a given nucleotide chain such that the energy is minimized
- $E_{i j}=1$ for each possible compatible base pair, $E_{i j}=$ 0 otherwise


## Algorithm Behavior

- recursive computation, finding the best structure for small subsequences
- works outward to larger subsequences
- four possible ways to get the best RNA structure:



## The Nussinov Algorithm

Initialization:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F(i, i-1)=0 ; & \text { for } i=2 \text { to } N \\
F(i, i)=0 ; & \text { for } i=1 \text { to } N
\end{array}
$$

Iteration: For $\mathrm{i}=2$ to N : For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{I}$
$\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{I}-1$

$$
F(i, j)=\max \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F(i+1, j-1)+s\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \\
\max \{i \leq k<j\} \quad F(i, k)+F(k+1, j)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Termination:

Best structure is given by $\mathrm{F}(1, \mathrm{~N})$
(Need to trace back)

## Case 1: Adding unpaired base $i$

- Add unpaired position $i$ onto best structure for subsequence $i+1, j$

Image removed due to copyright considerations.

Please see:
Durbin, Richard, et. al. Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0521629713.

- Add base pair $(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})$ onto best structure found for subsequence $\mathrm{i}+1, \mathrm{j}-1$


## Case 4: Bifurcation

- combining two optimal substructures $i, k$ and $k+1, j$


## Image removed due to copyright considerations.

Please see
Durbin, Richard, et. al. Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0521629713.

## Nussinov RNA Folding Algorithm

- Initialization:

| $\gamma(i, i-1)=0$ | for $I=2$ to $L ;$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\gamma(i, i)=0$ | for $I=2$ to $L$. |

Image removed due to copyright considerations.

Please see:
Durbin, Richard, et. al. Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0521629713.

## Nussinov RNA Folding Algorithm

- Initialization:
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## Nussinov RNA Folding Algorithm

- Initialization:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma(i, i-1)=0 & \text { for } I=2 \text { to } L ; \\
\gamma(i, i)=0 & \text { for } I=2 \text { to } L .
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## Nussinov RNA Folding Algorithm

- Recursive Relation:
- For all subsequences from length 2 to length L:

$$
\gamma(i, j)=\max \left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma(i+1, j) & \text { Case 1 } \\
\gamma(i, j-1) & \text { Case 2 } \\
\gamma(i+1, j-1)+\delta(i, j) & \text { Case 3 } \\
\max _{i<k<j}[\gamma(i, k)+\gamma(k+1, j)] & \text { Case 4 }
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nussinov RNA Folding Algorithm } \\
& \gamma(i, j)=\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\gamma(i+1, j) \\
\gamma(i, j-1) \\
\gamma(i+1, j-1)+\delta(i, j) \\
\max _{i<k<j}[\gamma(i, k)+\gamma(k+1, j)]
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { Image removed due to copyright considerations. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Please see:
Durbin, Richard, et. al. Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0521629713.


## Nussinov RNA Folding Algorithm

$$
\gamma(i, j)=\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\gamma(i+1, j) \\
\gamma(i, j-1) \\
\gamma(i+1, j-1)+\delta(i, j) \\
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Image removed due to copyright considerations.

Please see:
Durbin, Richard, et. al. Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0521629713.




Example Computation
$\gamma(4,7)=\max \left\{\begin{array}{c}\gamma(5,7) \\ \gamma(4,6) \\ \gamma(5,6)+\delta(4,7) \\ \max _{4<k<7}[\gamma(4, k)+\gamma(k+1,7)]\end{array}\right.$

Image removed due to copyright considerations.

Please see:
Durbin, Richard, et. al. Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0521629713.

## Traceback

- value at $\gamma(1, L)$ is the total base pair count in the maximally base-paired structure
- as in other DP, traceback from $\gamma(l, L)$ is necessary to recover the final secondary structure
- pushdown stack is used to deal with bifurcated structures


## Traceback Pseudocode

Initialization: Push ( $1, L$ ) onto stack
Recursion: Repeat until stack is empty:

- pop ( $i, j$ ).
- If $i>=j$ continue;
// hit diagonal
else if $\gamma(i+1, j)=\gamma(i, j)$ push $(i+1, j) ; \quad / /$ case 1
else if $\gamma(i, j-1)=\gamma(i, j)$ push $(i, j-1) ; \quad / /$ case 2
else if $\gamma(i+1, j-1)+\delta_{i, j}=\gamma(i, j)$ : // case 3 record $i, j$ base pair push ( $i+1, j-1$ );
else for $k=i+1$ to $j-1: i f \gamma(i, k)+\gamma(k+1, j)=\gamma(i, j)$ : // case 4
push $(k+1, j)$.
push ( $i, k$ ).
break


## Retrieving the Structure


(1,9)

Image removed due to copyright considerations.

Please see:
Durbin, Richard, et. al. Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0521629713.



## Retrieving the Structure



Image removed due to copyright considerations.

Please see:
Durbin, Richard, et. al. Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0521629713.



## The Zuker algorithm - main ideas

Models energy of an RNA fold

1. Instead of base pairs, pairs of base pairs (more accurate)
2. Separate score for bulges
3. Separate score for different-size \& composition loops
4. Separate score for interactions between stem \& beginning of loop

Can also do all that with a SCFG, and train it on real data

## Evaluation of Nussinov

- unfortunately, while this does maximize the base pairs, it does not create viable secondary structures
- in Zuker's algorithm, the correct structure is assumed to have the lowest equilibrium free energy ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ ) (Zuker and Stiegler, 1981; Zuker 1989a)


## Free Energy ( $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ )

- $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ approximated as the sum of contributions from loops, base pairs and other secondary structures


## Image removed due to copyright considerations.

## Basic Notation

- secondary structure of sequence $s$ is a set $S$ of base pairs $i \cdot j, l \leq i<j \leq|s|$
- we assume:
- each base is only in one base pair
- no pseudoknots
- sharp "U-turns" prohibited; a hairpin loop must contain at least 3 bases

Please see:
Durbin, Richard, et. al. Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0521629713.

| Free Energy ( $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ ) |
| :---: |
| - $\Delta G$ approximated as the sum of contributions from loops, base pairs and other secondary structures |
| Image removed due to copyright considerations. |
| Please see: <br> Durbin, Richard, et. al. Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1999. ISBN: 0521629713. |
|  |  |

## Secondary Structure Representation

- can view a structure $S$ as a collection of loops together with some external unpaired bases



## Exterior Base Pairs

- base pair $i \bullet j$ is the exterior base pair of (or closing) the loop consisting of $i \cdot j$ and all bases accessible from it



## Hairpin Loop

- if there are no interior base pairs in a loop, it is a hairpin loop


## Accessible Bases

- Let $i<k<j$ with $i \bullet j \in S$
- $k$ is accessible from $i \cdot j$ if for all $i^{\prime} \cdot j^{\prime} \in S$ if it is not the case that $i<i^{\prime}<k<j^{\prime}<j$



## Interior Base Pairs

- if $i^{\prime}$ and $j^{\prime}$ are accessible from $i \bullet j$
- and $i^{\prime} \cdot j^{\prime} \in S$
- then $i^{\prime} \bullet j^{\prime}$ is an interior base pair, and is accessible from $i \bullet j$



## Stacked Pair

- a loop with one interior base pair is a stacked pair if $i^{\prime}=i+1$ and $j^{\prime}=j-1$




## External Bases and Base Pairs

- any bases or base pairs not accessible from any base pair are called external



## Multibranch Loops

- loops with more than one interior base pair are multibranched loops



## Assumptions

- structure prediction determines the most stable structure for a given sequence
- stability of a structure is based on free energy
- energy of secondary structures is the sum of independent loop energies



## $V(i, j)$

- energy of an optimal structure of subsequence $i$ through $j$ closed by $i \bullet j$ :

$$
V(i, j)=\min \left\{\begin{array}{c}
e H(i, j) \\
e S(i, j)+V(i+1, j-1) \\
\operatorname{VBI}(i, j) \\
V M(i, j)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## $e H(i, j)$

- energy of hairpin loop closed by $i \cdot j$
- computed with:
- $R=$ universal gas constant ( $1.9872 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K}$ ).
- $\mathrm{T}=$ absolute temperature

- $l_{s}=$ total single-stranded (unpaired) bases in loop


## $e L\left(i, j, i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)$

- energy of a bulge or internal loop with exterior base pair $i \bullet j$ and interior base pair $i^{\prime} \cdot j^{\prime}$

- free energies for all $1 \times 2$ interior loops in RNA closed by a CG and an AU base pair, with a single stranded $U 3^{\prime}$ to the double stranded $U$.
$e S(i, j)$
- energy of stacking base pair $i \cdot j$ with $i+1 \cdot j-1$

- sample free energies in kcal/mole for CG base pairs stacked over all possible base pairs, XY
- '.' entries are undefined, and can be assumed as $\infty$


## $e M\left(i, j, i_{l}, j_{l}, \ldots, i_{k} j_{k}\right)$

- energy of a multibranched loop with exterior base pair $i \bullet j$ and interior base pairs $i_{l} \bullet j_{l}, \ldots, i_{k} \bullet j_{k}$
- simplification: linear contributions from number of unpaired bases in loop, number of branches and a constant

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e M\left(i, j, i_{1}, j_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}, j_{k}\right) \\
& \quad=a+b k+c\left(i_{1}-i-1+j-j_{k}-1+\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}\left(i_{l}+1-j_{l}+1\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Comparative methods for RNA structure prediction



- Matrix of co-variations in tRNA molecule


## Context Free Grammars for representing RNA folds

## Example: modeling a stem loop

| $\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{aW}_{1} \mathrm{u}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{W}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{cW}_{2} \mathrm{~g}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{~W}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~W}_{3} \mathrm{c}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{W}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{c} \mathrm{c}^{\text {ACGG U }}$ | UGCC U |
| $\mathrm{L} \rightarrow$ agucg |  |

What if the stem loop can have other letters in place of the ones shown?

## Example: modeling a stem loop

```
S }->\textrm{aW
W
W
N }->\textrm{gLCc
L agucg | agccg | cugugc
ACGG AG U
```

More general: Any 4-long stem, 3-5-long loop
$\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{aW}_{1} \mathrm{u}\left|\mathrm{gW}_{1} \mathrm{u}\right| \mathrm{gW}_{1} \mathrm{c}\left|\mathrm{cW}_{1} \mathrm{~g}\right| \mathrm{uW}_{1} \mathrm{~g} \mid \mathrm{uW}_{1} \mathrm{a}$
$W_{1} \rightarrow a W_{2} u\left|\mathrm{gW}_{2} \mathrm{u}\right| \mathrm{gW}_{2} \mathrm{c}\left|\mathrm{cW}_{2} \mathrm{~g}\right| \mathrm{uW}_{2} \mathrm{~g} \mid \mathrm{uW}_{2} \mathrm{a}$
$W_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{aW}_{3} \mathrm{u}\left|\mathrm{gW}_{3} \mathrm{u}\right| \mathrm{gW}_{3} \mathrm{c}\left|\mathrm{cW}_{3} \mathrm{~g}\right| \mathrm{uW}_{3} \mathrm{~g} \mid \mathrm{uW}_{3} \mathrm{a}$


## A parse tree: alignment of CFG to sequence

- $\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{a}$ W1 u
- $\mathrm{W} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{c}$ W2 g
- $\mathrm{W} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{gW} 3 \mathrm{c}$
- $\mathrm{W} 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{c}$
- L $\rightarrow$ agucg

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ACGG } \begin{array}{l}
\text { AG } \\
\text { UGCC }
\end{array}{ }^{\text {UGG }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Alignment scores for parses

We can define each rule $X \rightarrow s$, where $s$ is a string, to have a score.

## Example:

| $W \rightarrow a W^{\prime} u:$ | 3 | (forms 3 hydrogen bonds) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $W \rightarrow g W^{\prime} c:$ | 2 | (forms 2 hydrogen bonds) |
| $W \rightarrow g W^{\prime} u:$ | 1 | (forms 1 hydrogen bond) |
| $W \rightarrow x W^{\prime} z$ | -1, when $(x, z)$ is not an $a / u, g / c, g / u$ pair |  |

## Questions:

- How do we best align a CFG to a sequence? (DP)
- How do we set the parameters?
(Stochastic CFGs)


## The Nussinov Algorithm and CFGs

Define the following grammar, with scores:

```
S }->\mathrm{ aSu:3 | uSa:3
    gSc:2 | cSg:2
    gSu:1 | uSg:1
    SS:0 |
    aS:0| cS:0 | gS:0 | uS:0 | \varepsilon:0
    Note: }\varepsilon\mathrm{ is the "n string
```

Then, the Nussinov algorithm finds the optimal parse of a string with this gramma

## Reformulating the Nussinov Algorithm



## Stochastic Context Free Grammars

## Stochastic Context Free Grammars

In an analogy to HMMs, we can assign probabilities to transitions:

Given grammar
$X_{1} \rightarrow s_{11}|\ldots| s_{\text {in }}$
$X_{m} \rightarrow s_{m 1}|\ldots| s_{m n}$
Can assign probability to each rule, s.t.
$P\left(X_{i} \rightarrow s_{i 1}\right)+\ldots+P\left(X_{i} \rightarrow s_{i n}\right)=1$

## Computational Problems

- Calculate an optimal alignment of a sequence and a SCFG
(DECODING)
- Calculate Prob[ sequence | grammar ]
(EVALUATION)
- Given a set of sequences, estimate parameters of a SCFG (LEARNING)


## Normal Forms for CFGs

Chomsky Normal Form:
$X \rightarrow Y Z$
$X \rightarrow a$

All productions are either to 2 nonterminals, or to 1 terminal

## Theorem (technical)

Every CFG has an equivalent one in Chomsky Normal Form
(That is, the grammar in normal form produces exactly the same set of strings)

## Example of converting a CFG to C.N.F.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \rightarrow A B C \\
& A \rightarrow A a \quad a \\
& B \rightarrow B b \mid \quad b \\
& C \rightarrow C A c \quad c \\
& \text { Converting: } \\
& \\
& S \rightarrow A S^{\prime} \\
& S^{\prime} \rightarrow B C \\
& A \rightarrow A A \mid a \\
& B \rightarrow B B \mid b \\
& C \rightarrow D C^{\prime} \mid c \\
& C^{\prime} \rightarrow C \\
& D \rightarrow C A
\end{aligned}
$$



## Another example

$\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{ABC}$
$A \rightarrow C \mid a A$
$B \rightarrow b B \mid b$
$\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{cCd} \mid \mathrm{c}$
Converting:
$\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{AS}^{\prime}$
$S^{\prime} \rightarrow B C$
$A \rightarrow C^{\prime} C^{\prime \prime}|c| A^{\prime} A$
$\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{a}$
$B \rightarrow B^{\prime} B \mid b$
B' $\rightarrow$ b
$\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime \prime} \mid \mathrm{c}$
$\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}$
$\mathrm{C}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{CD}$
$\mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{d}$

## Decoding: the CYK algorithm

Given $x=x_{1} \ldots x_{N}$, and a SCFG G,
Find the most likely parse of $x$ (the most likely alignment of G to $x$ )

Dynamic programming variable:
$\gamma(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{V})$ : likelihood of the most likely parse of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$, rooted at nonterminal V

Then,
$\gamma(1, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{S})$ : likelihood of the most likely parse of $\mathbf{x}$ by the grammar

The CYK algorithm (Cocke-Younger-Kasami)

## Initialization:

For $i=1$ to $N$, any nonterminal $V$,
$\gamma(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{V})=\log \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$

## Iteration:

For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\mathrm{N}-1$
For $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1$ to N
For any nonterminal V
$\gamma(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{V})=$ max $_{\mathrm{X}}$ max $_{\mathrm{Y}}$ max $_{\mathrm{isk}}{ }_{\mathrm{j}} \gamma(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{X})+\gamma(\mathrm{k}+1, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{Y})+\log \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{V} \rightarrow \mathrm{XY})$
Termination:
$\log \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x} \mid \theta, \pi^{*}\right)=\gamma(1, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~S})$
Where $\pi^{*}$ is the optimal parse tree (if traced back appropriately from above)

## A SCFG for predicting RNA structure

```
S }->\mathrm{ aS | cS | gS | uS | &
    ->Sa|Sc|Sg| Su
    ->aSu|cSg|gSu|uSg|gSc|uSa
    ->SS
```

- Adjust the probability parameters to reflect bond strength etc
- No distinction between non-paired bases, bulges, loops
- Can modify to model these events
- L: loop nonterminal
- H: hairpin nonterminal
- B: bulge nonterminal
- etc


## Evaluation

Recall HMMs:
Forward: $\quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{l}}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \pi_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{I}\right)$
Backward: $b_{k}(i)=P\left(x_{i+1} \ldots x_{N} \mid \pi_{i}=k\right)$

Then,
$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})=\Sigma_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k} 0}=\Sigma_{1} \mathrm{a}_{01} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{l}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \mathrm{b}_{1}(1)$
Analogue in SCFGs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Inside: } \quad a(i, j, V) \quad=P\left(x_{i} \ldots x_{j}\right. \text { is generated by } \\
& \text { nonterminal } V) \\
& \text { Outside: } \quad b(i, j, V)=P\left(x, \text { excluding } x_{i} \ldots x_{i}\right. \text { is generated by } \\
& S \text { and } \\
& \text { at } V \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## CYK for RNA folding

## Initialization:

$\gamma(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}-1)=\log \mathrm{P}(\varepsilon)$

## Iteration:

For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to N

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i} \text { to } \mathrm{N} \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\gamma(\mathrm{i}+1, \mathrm{j}-1)+\log \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \\
\gamma(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}-1)+\log \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{~S} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)
\end{array} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma(\mathrm{i}+1, \mathrm{j})+\log \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~S}\right) \\
\max _{\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{j}} \gamma(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k})+\gamma(\mathrm{k}+1, \mathrm{j})+\log \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~S} \mathrm{~S})
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$



## Algorithm: Inside

## Initialization:

For $\mathrm{i}=1$ to $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{V}$ a nonterminal,

$$
a(i, i, V)=P\left(V \rightarrow x_{i}\right)
$$

## Iteration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \mathrm{i}=1 \text { to } \mathrm{N}-1 \\
& \text { For } \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1 \text { to } \mathrm{N} \\
& \quad \text { For } \mathrm{V} \text { a nonterminal }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
a(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{~V})=\Sigma_{\mathrm{X}} \Sigma_{Y} \Sigma_{\mathrm{k}} a(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{X}) \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{k}+1, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{X}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~V} \rightarrow \mathrm{XY})
$$

## Termination:

$P(x \mid \theta)=a(1, N, S)$

## Algorithm: Outside

Initialization:
$b(1, N, S)=1$
For any other $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{b}(1, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~V})=0$

## Iteration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \mathrm{i}=1 \text { to } \mathrm{N}-1 \\
& \text { For } \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{N} \text { down to } \mathrm{i} \\
& \quad \text { For } V \text { a nonterminal } \\
& \qquad b(i, j, V)=\Sigma_{X} \Sigma_{Y} \Sigma_{k \ll} a(k, i-1, X) b(k, j, Y) P(Y \rightarrow X V)+ \\
& \quad \Sigma_{X} \Sigma_{Y} \Sigma_{k<i} a(j+1, k, X) b(i, k, Y) P(Y \rightarrow V X)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Termination:

It is true for any $i$, that:

$$
P(x \mid \theta)=\Sigma_{x} b(i, i, X) P\left(X \rightarrow x_{i}\right)
$$

## The Outside Algorithm

$b(i, j, V)=\operatorname{Prob}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{i-1}, x_{j+1} \ldots x_{N}\right.$, where the "gap" is rooted at $V$ )
Given that V is the right-hand-side nonterminal of a production,

$$
b(i, j, V)=\Sigma_{X} \Sigma_{Y} \Sigma_{k i} a(k, i-1, X) b(k, j, Y) P(Y \rightarrow X V)
$$



## Learning for SCFGs

We can now estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(V)=\text { expected number of times } V \text { is used in the parse of } x_{1} \ldots . x_{N} \\
& c(V)=\frac{1}{P(x \mid \theta)} \Sigma_{1 \leq i \leq N} \Sigma_{i \leq j \leq N} a(i, j, V) b(i, j, v) \\
& c(V \rightarrow X Y)=\frac{1}{P(x \mid \theta)} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \Sigma_{i<j \leq N} \Sigma_{i \leq k<j} b(i, j, V) a(i, k, X) a(k+1, j, Y) P(V \rightarrow X Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Learning for SCFGs

Then, we can re-estimate the parameters with EM, by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pnew}(V \rightarrow X Y)=\frac{c(V \rightarrow X Y)}{c(V)} \\
& P^{\text {new }}(V \rightarrow a)=\frac{c(V \rightarrow a)}{c(V)}=\frac{\sum_{i: x i=a} b(i, i, V) P(V \rightarrow a)}{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \Sigma_{i<j \leq N} a(i, j, V) b(i, j, V)}
\end{aligned}
$$

| GOAL | HMM algorithm | SCFG algorithm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Optimal parse | Viterbi | CYK |
| Estimation | Forward | Inside |
|  | Backward | Outside |
| Learning | EM: Fw/Bck | EM: Ins/Outs |
| Memory Complexity | O( $\mathrm{N}_{\text {K) }}$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{~K}\right)$ |
| Time Complexity | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{NK}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{3} \mathrm{~K}^{3}\right)$ |

Where K: \# of states in the HMM
\# of nonterminals in the SCFG

