6.172 Performance Engineering of Software Systems



SPEED LIMIT



PER ORDER OF 6.172

LECTURE 13 The Cilk Runtime System Tao B. Schardl

Recall: Cilk Programming

Cilk allows programmers to make software run faster using parallel processors.

Serial matrix multiply

Running time T_s.

Cilk matrix multiply

Running time T_P on P processors.

Recall: Cilk Scheduling

- The Cilk concurrency platform allows the programmer to express logical parallelism in an application.
- The Cilk scheduler maps the executing program onto the processor cores dynamically at runtime.
- Cilk's work-stealing scheduling algorithm is provably efficient.



Recall: Cilk Platform



A More Accurate Picture



What the Compiler Generates

Cilk code



int foo(int n) { cilkrts stack frame t sf; cilkrts enter frame(&sf); int x, y; if (!setjmp(sf.ctx)) spawn bar(&x, n); y = baz(n);if (sf.flags & CILK FRAME UNSYNCHED) if (!setjmp(sf.ctx)) cilkrts sync(&sf); int result = x + y; cilkrts pop frame(&sf); if (sf.flags) cilkrts_leave_frame(&sf); return result; void spawn bar(int *x, int n) { cilkrts stack frame sf; _cilkrts_enter_frame_fast(&sf); cilkrts detach(); *x = bar(n);cilkrts pop frame(&sf); __cilkrts_leave_frame(&sf);

Outline

- **REQUIRED FUNCTIONALITY**
- **PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS**
- IMPLEMENTING A WORKER DEQUE
- SPAWNING COMPUTATION
- STEALING COMPUTATION
- SYNCHRONIZING COMPUTATION



PER ORDER OF 6.172

REQUIRED FUNCTIONALITY

Recall: Execution Model



Serial Execution



Parallel Execution: Steals



Parallel Execution: Syncs



Required Functionality

- A single worker must be able to execute the computation on its own similarly to an ordinary serial computation.
- A thief must be able to jump into the middle of an executing function to steal a continuation.
- A sync must stall a function's execution until child subcomputations complete.

What other functionality is needed?

Recall: Cactus Stack

Cilk supports C's rule for pointers: A pointer to stack space can be passed from parent to child, but not from child to parent. *Views of stack*



Each worker (processor) maintains a work deque of ready strands, and it manipulates the bottom of the deque like a stack [MKH90, BL94, FLR98].



Each worker (processor) maintains a work deque of ready strands, and it manipulates the bottom of the deque like a stack [MKH90, BL94, FLR98].



Each worker (processor) maintains a work deque of ready strands, and it manipulates the bottom of the deque like a stack [MKH90, BL94, FLR98].



Each worker (processor) maintains a work deque of ready strands, and it manipulates the bottom of the deque like a stack [MKH90, BL94, FLR98].



When a worker runs out of work, it steals from the top of a random victim's deque.

Each worker (processor) maintains a work deque of ready strands, and it manipulates the bottom of the deque like A steal takes all frames up



When a worker runs out of work, it steals from the top of a random victim's deque.

Each worker (processor) maintains a work deque of ready strands, and it manipulates the bottom of the deque like a stack [MKH90, BL94, FLR98].



What is involved in stealing frames? • What

- synchronization is needed?
- What happens to the stack?
- How efficient can this be?

When a worker runs out of work, from the top of a random victim's deque.

Required Functionality

- A single worker must be able to execute the computation on its own similarly to an ordinary serial computation.
- A thief must be able to jump into the middle of an executing function to steal a continuation.
- A sync must stall a function's execution until child subcomputations complete.
- The runtime must implement a cactus stack for its parallel workers.
- Thieves must be able to handle mixtures of called spawned functions.

PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS

© 2008–2018 by the MIT 6.172 Lecturers

SPEED

LIMIT

 \mathbf{C}

PER ORDER OF 6.172

Recall: Work-Stealing Bounds





If the program achieves linear speedup, then workers spend most of their time working.

Parallel Speedup

Ideally, parallelizing a serial code makes it run P times faster on P processors.

Serial matrix multiply

Running time T_s .

Cilk matrix multiply

With sufficient parallelism, running time is $T_P \approx T_1/P$.

Goal: $T_P \approx T_S/P$, meaning that $T_S \approx T_1$.

Work Efficiency

Let T_s denote the work of a serial program. Suppose the serial program is parallelized. Let T_1 denote the work of the parallel program, and let T_∞ denote the span of the parallel program.

To achieve linear speedup on P processors over the serial program, i.e., $T_P \approx T_S/P$, the parallel program must exhibit:

- Ample parallelism: $T_1/T_{\infty} \gg P$.
- High work efficiency: $T_S/T_1 \approx 1$.

The Work–First Principle

To optimize the execution of programs with sufficient parallelism, the implementation of the Cilk runtime system works to maintain high work–efficiency by abiding by the work–first principle:

> Optimize for the *ordinary serial execution*, at the expense of some additional computation in steals.

Division of Labor

The work-first principle guides the division of the Cilk runtime system between the compiler and the runtime library.

Compiler

- Uses a handful of small data structures, e.g., workers and stack frames.
- Implements optimized fast paths for execution of functions when no steals have occurred.

Runtime library

- Uses larger data structures.
- Handles slow paths of execution, e.g., when a steal occurs.

SPEED LIMIT

PER ORDER OF 6.172

IMPLEMENTING A WORKER DEQUE

Running Example



- Function foo is a spawning function, meaning that foo contains a cilk_spawn.
- Function bar is spawned by foo.
- The call to baz occurs in the continuation of the spawn.

Requirements of Worker Deques

PROBLEM: How do we implement a worker's deque?



- The worker should operate its own deque like a stack.
- A steal needs to transfer ownership of several consecutive frames to a thief.
- A thief needs to be able to resume a continuation.

Basic Worker-Deque Design

IDEA: The worker deque is an external structure with pointers to stack frames.

- A Cilk worker maintains head and tail pointers to its deque.
- Stealable frames maintain a local structure to store information necessary for stealing the frame.



spawned

Design

Implementation Details

The Intel Cilk Plus runtime elaborates on this idea as follows:

- Every spawned subcomputation runs in its own spawn-helper function.
- The runtime maintains three basic data structures as workers execute work:
 - A worker structure for every worker used to execute the program.
 - A Cilk stack-frame structure for each instantiation of a spawning function.
 - A spawn-helper stack frame for each instantiation of a cilk_spawn.

Spawn-Helper Functions



Cilk Stack–Frame Structures



The Cilk Stack Frame (Simplified)

Each Cilk stack frame stores:

- A context buffer, ctx, which contains enough information to resume a function at a continuation, i.e., after a cilk_spawn or cilk_sync.
- An integer, flags, that summarizes the state of the Cilk stack frame.
- A pointer, parent, to its parent Cilk stack frame.



The Cilk Worker Structure (Simplified)




PER ORDER OF 6.172

SPAWNING COMPUTATION

Code for a Spawning Function

C pseudocode of a spawning function



Code for a Spawn Helper



Entering a Spawning Function

When execution enters a spawning function, the Cilk worker's current stack-frame structure is updated.



Preparing to Spawn

Cilk code

}

int foo(int n) { x = cilk_spawn bar(n); } C pseudocode int foo(int n) { if (!setjmp(sf.ctx)) spawn bar(&x, n);

Cilk uses the setjmp function to allow thieves to steal the continuation.

The setjmp function stores information necessary for resuming the function at the setjmp into the given buffer.

QUESTION: What information needs to be saved?

ANSWER: Registers %rip, %rbp, %rsp, and callee-saved registers.









Returning from a Spawn



Returning from a Spawn



Returning from a Spawn



Popping the Deque

In <u>cilkrts</u><u>leave</u><u>frame</u>, the worker tries to pop the stack frame from the tail of the deque. There are two possible outcomes:

- 1. If the pop succeeds, then the execution continues as normal.
- 2. If the pop fails, then the worker is out of work to do. It thus becomes a thief and tries to steal work from the top of a random victim's deque.

Question: Which case is more important to optimize?





PER ORDER OF 6.172

STEALING COMPUTATION

Recall: Stealing Work

Conceptually, a thief takes frames off of the top of a victim worker's deque.



Stealing a Frame



Synchronizing Deque Accesses



Resuming a Continuation

Cilk uses the longjmp function to resume a stolen continuation.



Resuming a Continuation

The contract between setjmp and longjmp ensures the thief resumes the continuation.

- On its direct invocation, setjmp(buffer) returns 0.
- Invoking longjmp(buffer, x) causes the setjmp to effectively return again with the integer value x.

C pseudocode
int foo(int n) {
 ...
 if (!setjmp(sf.ctx))
 spawn_bar(&x, n);
 ...
}

Because a thief reaches this point by calling longjmp(current_sf->ctx,1),
the condition fails, and the thief
jumps to the continuation.

Implementing the Cactus Stack

Thieves maintain their own call stacks and use pointer tricks to implement the cactus stack.



SYNCHRONIZING COMPUTATION

© 2008–2018 by the MIT 6.172 Lecturers

SPEED

LIMIT

 \mathbf{C}

PER ORDER OF 6.172

Recall: Nested Synchronization



Synchronization Concerns

If a worker reaches a cilk_sync before all spawned subcomputations are complete, the worker should become a thief, but the worker's current function frame should not disappear!

- The existing subcomputations might access state in that frame, which is their parent frame.
- In the future, another worker must resume that frame and execute the cilk_sync.
- The cilk_sync only applies to nested subcomputations of the frame, not to all subcomputations or workers.

Full-Frame Tree

The Cilk runtime maintains a tree of full frames, which stores state for parallel subcomputations.



Let's see how steals can produce a tree of full frames.



Let's see how steals can produce a tree of full

frames.



The thief steals the full frame and creates a new full frame for the victim.





Let's see how steals can produce a tree of full frames.



*Full-frame illustrations resized for cleanliness.

Let's see how steals can produce a tree of full frames.



Let's see how steals can produce a tree of full frames.



*Full-frame illustrations resized for cleanliness.

Let's see how steals can produce a tree of full frames.



Let's see how steals can produce a tree of full frames.



Let's see how steals can produce a tree of full frames.



*Full-frame illustrations resized for cleanliness.

Suspending Full Frames



Suspending Full Frames



Suspending Full Frames


Common Case for Sync

QUESTION: If the program has ample parallelism, what do we expect will typically happen when the program execution reaches a **cilk_sync**?

ANSWER: The executing function contains no outstanding spawned children.

How does the runtime optimize for this case?

Stack Frames and Full Frames



Compiled Code for Sync



The code compiled to implement a cilk_sync checks the flags field before performing an expensive call to __cilkrts_sync in the Cilk runtime library.

More Cilk Runtime Features

The Cilk runtime system implements many other features and optimizations:

- Schemes for making the full-frame tree simpler and easier to maintain.
- Data structure and protocol enhancements to support C++ exceptions.
- Sibling pointers between full frames to support reducer hyperobjects.
- Pedigrees to assign a unique, deterministic ID to each strand efficiently in parallel.

THE TAPIR COMPILER [SML17]

© 2008–2018 by the MIT 6.172 Lecturers

SPEED

LIMIT

PER ORDER OF 6.172

Compilation Pipeline



Example: Normalize

Test: Random vector of n=64M elements *Machine:* AWS c4.8xlarge *Compiler:* GCC 6.2 *Running time:* $T_S = 0.312$ s

Optimizing the Serial Code



Work before hoisting: $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$ Work after hoisting: $T(n) = \Theta(n)$

GCC Compiling Cilk Code



Performance of Parallel Normalize



Test: Random vector of n=64M elements *Machine:* AWS c4.8xlarge *Compiler:* GCC 6.2 *Running time of serial code:* $T_S = 0.312$ s *18-core running time:* $T_{18} = 180.657$ s *1-core running time:* $T_1 = 2600.287$ s

Tapir's Compilation Pipeline

Tapir embeds fork-join parallelism into LLVM's IR.



Unsafe Optimizations

Problem: There are many examples of optimizations on serial code that cannot be safely applied to parallel code [MP90].

Cilk code

```
void foo(int n) {
    cilk_for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
        bar(5*i);
}</pre>
```

Incorrectly optimized Cilk code

Unleashing LLVM on parallel programs requires some care.

```
void foo(int n) {
    int tmp = 0;
    cilk_for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
        bar(tmp);
        tmp += 5;
    }
}</pre>
```

A Tapir CFG



Tapir adds three constructs to LLVM's IR: detach, reattach, and sync.

Tapir control-flow graph

entry Continuation x = allocaTapir uses an detach det, cont asymmetric det representation of cont x0 = (abar())the parallel tasks y = @baz()store x, x0 sync exit reattach cont in the CFG. Spawned task x1 = load xexit ret x1 + y

Serial Elision



Parallel Loops in Tapir

In Tapir, parallel loops look similar to serial loops, with some differences due to parallelism.



Impact on LLVM

LLVM can reason about a Tapir CFG as a relatively minor change to the CFG of the serial elision.

- Many standard compiler analyses required no changes.
- Memory analysis required a minor change to handle Tapir's constructs (~450 lines of code).
- Some optimizations, e.g., code hoisting and tailrecursion elimination, required some changes to work on Tapir CFG's.

In total, implementing Tapir involved adding or modifying ~6000 lines of LLVM's 4-million-line codebase.

Parallelize Normalize with Tapir

Test: Random vector of n=64M elements *Machine:* AWS c4.8xlarge *Compiler:* Tapir/LLVM *Running time of serial code:* $T_S = 0.312$ s *1-core running time:* $T_1 = 0.321$ s *18-core running time:* $T_{18} = 0.081$ s

Work–Efficiency Improvement



SPEED LIMIT

CASE STUDY: OPENMP

Example: OpenMP Normalize



GCC Compiling OpenMP Code



Analysis of OpenMP Normalize



Work of omp_outlined: $T(n) = \Theta(n)$

Total work on P processors: $T(n) = \Theta(Pn)$

Summary of OpenMP Normalize

Work on P processors: $T(n) = \Theta(Pn)$

- This code is only work efficient on 1 processor.
- This code can never achieve more than minimal parallel speedup.

Takeaways

The work-first principle

Optimize for *ordinary serial execution*, at the expense of some additional computation in steals.

Two more takeaways:

- Think about the performance model for your program.
- Know what your parallel runtime system is doing.



6.172 Performance Engineering of Software Systems Fall 2018

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.