MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

6.189 Multicore Programming Primer, January (IAP) 2007

Please use the following citation format:

Rodric Rabbah, 6.189 Multicore Programming Primer, January (IAP) 2007. (Massachusetts Institute of Technology: MIT OpenCourseWare). http://ocw.mit.edu (accessed MM DD, YYYY). License: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike.

Note: Please use the actual date you accessed this material in your citation.

For more information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms

6.189 IAP 2007

Lecture7

Design Patterns for Parallel Programming II

Recap: Common Steps to Parallelization



Recap: Decomposing for Concurrency



- Task decomposition
 - Parallelism in the application



- Same computation many data
- Pipeline decomposition
 - Data assembly lines
 - Producer-consumer chains



Dependence Analysis

 Given two tasks how to determine if they can safely run in parallel?

- R_i: set of memory locations read (input) by task T_i
- W_i: set of memory locations written (output) by task T_i
- Two tasks T₁ and T₂ are parallel if
 - input to T₁ is not part of output from T₂
 - input to T_2 is not part of output from T_1
 - outputs from T₁ and T₂ do not overlap





Patterns for Parallelizing Programs

4 Design Spaces

Algorithm Expression

- Finding Concurrency
 - Expose concurrent tasks
- Algorithm Structure
 - Map tasks to units of execution to exploit parallel architecture

Software Construction

- Supporting Structures
 - Code and data structuring patterns
- Implementation Mechanisms
 - Low level mechanisms used to write parallel programs

Patterns for Parallel Programming. Mattson, Sanders, and Massingill (2005).

7

Algorithm Structure Design Space

- Given a collection of concurrent tasks, what's the next step?
- Map tasks to units of execution (e.g., threads)
- Important considerations
 - Magnitude of number of execution units platform will support
 - Cost of sharing information among execution units
 - Avoid tendency to over constrain the implementation
 - Work well on the intended platform
 - Flexible enough to easily adapt to different architectures

Major Organizing Principle

- How to determine the algorithm structure that represents the mapping of tasks to units of execution?
- Concurrency usually implies major organizing principle
 - Organize by tasks
 - Organize by data decomposition
 - Organize by flow of data

Organize by Tasks?



• Ray tracing

Computation for each ray is a separate and independent

• Molecular dynamics

Non-bonded force calculations, some dependencies

Common factors

- Tasks are associated with iterations of a loop
- Tasks largely known at the start of the computation
- All tasks may not need to complete to arrive at a solution

Divide and Conquer

- For recursive programs: divide and conquer
 - Subproblems may not be uniform
 - May require dynamic load balancing



Organize by Data?

- Operations on a central data structure
 - Arrays and linear data structures
 - Recursive data structures



Geometric Decomposition

- Gravitational body simulator
 - Calculate force between pairs of objects and update accelerations

```
VEC3D acc[NUM_BODIES] = 0;
for (i = 0; i < NUM_BODIES - 1; i++) {
  for (j = i + 1; j < NUM_BODIES; j++) {
    // Displacement vector
    VEC3D d = pos[j] - pos[i];
    // Force
    t = 1 / sqr(length(d));
    // Components of force along displacement
    d = t * (d / length(d));
    acc[i] += d * mass[j];
    acc[j] += -d * mass[i];
  }
}
```



- Computation on a list, tree, or graph
 - Often appears the only way to solve a problem is to sequentially move through the data structure
- There are however opportunities to reshape the operations in a way that exposes concurrency

Recursive Data Example: Find the Root

- Given a forest of rooted directed trees, for each node, find the root of the tree containing the node
 - Parallel approach: for each node, find its successor's successor, repeat until no changes
 - O(log n) vs. O(n)



Work vs. Concurrency Tradeoff

- Parallel restructuring of find the root algorithm leads to O(n log n) work vs. O(n) with sequential approach
- Most strategies based on this pattern similarly trade off increase in total work for decrease in execution time due to concurrency

Organize by Flow of Data?

- In some application domains, the flow of data imposes ordering on the tasks
 - Regular, one-way, mostly stable data flow
 - Irregular, dynamic, or unpredictable data flow



Pipeline Throughput vs. Latency

- Amount of concurrency in a pipeline is limited by the number of stages
- Works best if the time to fill and drain the pipeline is small compared to overall running time
- Performance metric is usually the throughput
 - Rate at which data appear at the end of the pipeline per time unit (e.g., frames per second)
- Pipeline latency is important for real-time applications
 - Time interval from data input to pipeline, to data output

Event-Based Coordination

- In this pattern, interaction of tasks to process data can vary over unpredictable intervals
- Deadlocks are likely for applications that use this pattern

6.189 IAP 2007

Supporting Structures

- SPMD
- Loop parallelism
- Master/Worker
- Fork/Join

- Single Program Multiple Data: create a single source-code image that runs on each processor
 - Initialize
 - Obtain a unique identifier
 - Run the same program each processor
 - Identifier and input data differentiate behavior
 - Distribute data
 - Finalize

Example: Parallel Numerical Integration



Computing Pi With Integration (MPI)

```
static long num steps = 100000;
void main(int argc, char* argv[])
{
   int i start, i end, i, myid, numprocs;
   double pi, mypi, x, step, sum = 0.0;
  MPI Init(&argc, &argv);
   MPI Comm size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &numprocs);
  MPI Comm rank(MPI COMM WORLD, &myid);
  MPI BCAST(&num steps, 1, MPI INT, 0, MPI COMM WORLD);
   i start = my id * (num steps/numprocs)
   i end = i start + (num steps/numprocs)
   step = 1.0 / (double) num steps;
   for (i = i_start; i < i_end; i++) {</pre>
        x = (i + 0.5) * step
        sum = sum + 4.0 / (1.0 + x*x);
  mypi = step * sum;
  MPI REDUCE(&mypi, &pi, 1, MPI DOUBLE, MPI SUM, 0, MPI COMM WORLD);
   if (myid == 0)
        printf("Pi = %f\n", pi);
  MPI Finalize();
```

Block vs. Cyclic Work Distribution

```
static long num steps = 100000;
void main(int argc, char* argv[])
{
   int i start, i end, i, myid, numprocs;
   double pi, mypi, x, step, sum = 0.0;
  MPI Init(&argc, &argv);
  MPI Comm size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &numprocs);
  MPI Comm rank(MPI COMM WORLD, &myid);
  MPI BCAST(&num steps, 1, MPI INT, 0, MPI COMM WORLD);
   i start = my id * (num steps/numprocs)
   i end = i start + (num steps/numprocs)
   step = 1.0 / (double) num steps;
   for (i = myid; i < num_steps; i += numprocs) {</pre>
        x = (i + 0.5) * step
        sum = sum + 4.0 / (1.0 + x*x);
  mypi = step * sum;
  MPI REDUCE(&mypi, &pi, 1, MPI DOUBLE, MPI SUM, 0, MPI COMM WORLD);
   if (myid == 0)
        printf("Pi = %f\n", pi);
  MPI Finalize();
```

SPMD Challenges

- Split data correctly
- Correctly combine the results
- Achieve an even distribution of the work
- For programs that need dynamic load balancing, an alternative pattern is more suitable

Loop Parallelism Pattern

- Many programs are expressed using iterative constructs
 - Programming models like OpenMP provide directives to automatically assign loop iteration to execution units
 - Especially good when code cannot be massively restructured

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for(i = 0; i < 12; i++)
        C[i] = A[i] + B[i];
```



Master/Worker Pattern



- Particularly relevant for problems using task parallelism pattern where task have no dependencies
 - Embarrassingly parallel problems
- Main challenge in determining when the entire problem is complete

- Tasks are created dynamically
 - Tasks can create more tasks
- Manages tasks according to their relationship
- Parent task creates new tasks (fork) then waits until they complete (join) before continuing on with the computation

6.189 IAP 2007

Communication Patterns

- Point-to-point
- Broadcast
- Reduction

Serial Reduction



Tree-based Reduction



- n steps for 2ⁿ units of execution
- When reduction operator is associative
- Especially attractive when only one task needs result

Recursive-doubling Reduction



- n steps for 2ⁿ units of execution
- If all units of execution need the result of the reduction

Recursive-doubling Reduction

- Better than tree-based approach with broadcast
 - Each units of execution has a copy of the reduced valut at the end of n steps
 - In tree-based approach with broadcast
 - Reduction takes n steps
 - Broadcast cannot begin until reduction is complete
 - Broadcast takes n steps (architecture dependent)
 - O(n) vs. O(2n)

6.189 IAP 2007

Summary

6.189 IAP 2007 MIT

Algorithm Structure and Organization

	Task parallelism	Divide and conquer	Geometric decomposition	Recursive data	Pipeline	Event-based coordination
SPMD	****	***	****	**	***	**
Loop Parallelism	****	**	***			
Master/ Worker	****	**	*	*	****	*
Fork/ Join	**	****	**		****	****

 Patterns can be hierarchically composed so that a program uses more than one pattern