### 6.252 NONLINEAR PROGRAMMING

# **LECTURE 12: SUFFICIENCY CONDITIONS**

# LECTURE OUTLINE

- Equality Constrained Problems/Sufficiency Conditions
- Convexification Using Augmented Lagrangians
- Proof of the Sufficiency Conditions
- Sensitivity

Equality constrained problem

minimize f(x)subject to  $h_i(x) = 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots, m.$ 

where  $f : \Re^n \mapsto \Re$ ,  $h_i : \Re^n \mapsto \Re$ , are continuously differentiable. To obtain sufficiency conditions, assume that f and  $h_i$  are *twice* continuously differentiable.

#### SUFFICIENCY CONDITIONS

Second Order Sufficiency Conditions: Let  $x^* \in \Re^n$ and  $\lambda^* \in \Re^m$  satisfy

 $\nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0, \qquad \nabla_\lambda L(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0,$ 

 $y' \nabla_{xx}^2 L(x^*, \lambda^*) y > 0, \quad \forall \ y \neq 0 \text{ with } \nabla h(x^*)' y = 0.$ 

Then  $x^*$  is a strict local minimum.

Example: Minimize  $-(x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_1x_3)$  subject to  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 3$ . We have that  $x_1^* = x_2^* = x_3^* = 1$  and  $\lambda^* = 2$  satisfy the 1st order conditions. Also

$$\nabla_{xx}^2 L(x^*, \lambda^*) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have for all  $y \neq 0$  with  $\nabla h(x^*)'y = 0$  or  $y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 0$ ,

$$y' \nabla_{xx}^2 L(x^*, \lambda^*) y = -y_1(y_2 + y_3) - y_2(y_1 + y_3) - y_3(y_1 + y_2)$$
$$= y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 > 0.$$

Hence,  $x^*$  is a strict local minimum.

#### A BASIC LEMMA

Lemma: Let *P* and *Q* be two symmetric matrices. Assume that  $Q \ge 0$  and P > 0 on the nullspace of *Q*, i.e., x'Px > 0 for all  $x \ne 0$  with x'Qx = 0. Then there exists a scalar  $\overline{c}$  such that

P + cQ: positive definite,  $\forall c > \overline{c}$ .

**Proof:** Assume the contrary. Then for every k, there exists a vector  $x^k$  with  $||x^k|| = 1$  such that

$$x^{k'}Px^k + kx^{k'}Qx^k \le 0.$$

Consider a subsequence  $\{x^k\}_{k \in K}$  converging to some  $\overline{x}$  with  $\|\overline{x}\| = 1$ . Taking the limit superior,

$$\overline{x}' P \overline{x} + \limsup_{k \to \infty, \ k \in K} (k x^{k'} Q x^k) \le 0.$$
 (\*)

We have  $x^{k'}Qx^{k} \ge 0$  (since  $Q \ge 0$ ), so  $\{x^{k'}Qx^{k}\}_{k\in K} \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore,  $\overline{x'}Q\overline{x} = 0$  and using the hypothesis,  $\overline{x'}P\overline{x} > 0$ . This contradicts (\*).

#### **PROOF OF SUFFICIENCY CONDITIONS**

Consider the *augmented* Lagrangian function

$$L_{c}(x,\lambda) = f(x) + \lambda' h(x) + \frac{c}{2} ||h(x)||^{2},$$

where c is a scalar. We have

$$\nabla_x L_c(x,\lambda) = \nabla_x L(x,\tilde{\lambda}),$$

 $\nabla_{xx}^2 L_c(x,\lambda) = \nabla_{xx}^2 L(x,\tilde{\lambda}) + c\nabla h(x)\nabla h(x)'$ 

where  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda + ch(x)$ . If  $(x^*, \lambda^*)$  satisfy the suff. conditions, we have using the lemma,

$$\nabla_x L_c(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0, \qquad \nabla_{xx}^2 L_c(x^*, \lambda^*) > 0,$$

for suff. large c. Hence for some  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$L_c(x,\lambda^*) \ge L_c(x^*,\lambda^*) + \frac{\gamma}{2} ||x - x^*||^2, \quad \text{if } ||x - x^*|| < \epsilon.$$

Since  $L_c(x, \lambda^*) = f(x)$  when h(x) = 0,

$$f(x) \ge f(x^*) + \frac{\gamma}{2} ||x - x^*||^2$$
, if  $h(x) = 0$ ,  $||x - x^*|| < \epsilon$ .

#### **SENSITIVITY - GRAPHICAL DERIVATION**



Sensitivity theorem for the problem  $\min_{a'x=b} f(x)$ . If b is changed to  $b + \Delta b$ , the minimum  $x^*$  will change to  $x^* + \Delta x$ . Since  $b + \Delta b = a'(x^* + \Delta x) = a'x^* + a'\Delta x = b + a'\Delta x$ , we have  $a'\Delta x = \Delta b$ . Using the condition  $\nabla f(x^*) = -\lambda^* a$ ,

$$\Delta \text{cost} = f(x^* + \Delta x) - f(x^*) = \nabla f(x^*)' \Delta x + o(\|\Delta x\|)$$
$$= -\lambda^* a' \Delta x + o(\|\Delta x\|)$$

Thus  $\Delta \text{cost} = -\lambda^* \Delta b + o(||\Delta x||)$ , so up to first order  $\lambda^* = -\frac{\Delta \text{cost}}{\Delta b}$ .

For multiple constraints  $a'_i x = b_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, we have  $\Delta \text{cost} = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* \Delta b_i + o(\|\Delta x\|).$ 

### SENSITIVITY THEOREM

Sensitivity Theorem: Consider the family of problems

$$\min_{h(x)=u} f(x) \tag{*}$$

parameterized by  $u \in \Re^m$ . Assume that for u = 0, this problem has a local minimum  $x^*$ , which is regular and together with its unique Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda^*$  satisfies the sufficiency conditions.

Then there exists an open sphere *S* centered at u = 0 such that for every  $u \in S$ , there is an x(u) and a  $\lambda(u)$ , which are a local minimum-Lagrange multiplier pair of problem (\*). Furthermore,  $x(\cdot)$  and  $\lambda(\cdot)$  are continuously differentiable within *S* and we have  $x(0) = x^*$ ,  $\lambda(0) = \lambda^*$ . In addition,

$$\nabla p(u) = -\lambda(u), \qquad \forall \ u \in S$$

where p(u) is the *primal function* 

$$p(u) = f(x(u)).$$



Illustration of the primal function p(u) = f(x(u))for the two-dimensional problem

minimize 
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 - x_2^2) - x_2$$
  
subject to  $h(x) = x_2 = 0$ .

Here,

$$p(u) = \min_{h(x)=u} f(x) = -\frac{1}{2}u^2 - u$$

and  $\lambda^* = -\nabla p(0) = 1$ , consistently with the sensitivity theorem.

• Need for regularity of  $x^*$ : Change constraint to  $h(x) = x_2^2 = 0$ . Then  $p(u) = -u/2 - \sqrt{u}$  for  $u \ge 0$  and is undefined for u < 0.

#### **PROOF OUTLINE OF SENSITIVITY THEOREM**

Apply implicit function theorem to the system

$$\nabla f(x) + \nabla h(x)\lambda = 0, \qquad h(x) = u.$$

For u = 0 the system has the solution  $(x^*, \lambda^*)$ , and the corresponding  $(n + m) \times (n + m)$  Jacobian

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x^*) + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\\nabla h(x^*)'}}^m \lambda_i^* \nabla^2 h_i(x^*) & \nabla h(x^*) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is shown nonsingular using the sufficiency conditions. Hence, for all u in some open sphere Scentered at u = 0, there exist x(u) and  $\lambda(u)$  such that  $x(0) = x^*$ ,  $\lambda(0) = \lambda^*$ , the functions  $x(\cdot)$  and  $\lambda(\cdot)$ are continuously differentiable, and

$$\nabla f(x(u)) + \nabla h(x(u))\lambda(u) = 0, \quad h(x(u)) = u.$$

For *u* close to u = 0, using the sufficiency conditions, x(u) and  $\lambda(u)$  are a local minimum-Lagrange multiplier pair for the problem  $\min_{h(x)=u} f(x)$ .

To derive  $\nabla p(u)$ , differentiate h(x(u)) = u, to obtain  $I = \nabla x(u) \nabla h(x(u))$ , and combine with the relations  $\nabla x(u) \nabla f(x(u)) + \nabla x(u) \nabla h(x(u)) \lambda(u) = 0$  and  $\nabla p(u) = \nabla_u \{f(x(u))\} = \nabla x(u) \nabla f(x(u)).$