Recitation 15: More Bode Obstacle Course & Compensation Prof. Joel L. Dawson

Today we need to wrap up some of the Bode Obstacle Course stuff that we didn't finish on Friday. Before we do, let's start with a class exercise that explores a fundamental trade-off between speed of response and stability.

CLASS EXERCISE

Once again, you're asked to control a plant as shown:



This time, you've decided that what this system needs is a pole at the origin. Choose k to meet the following requirements:

1) Such that the system has a phase margin of $\approx 90^{\circ}$

2) Such that the system has a phase margin of $\approx 45^\circ$

For which k is the system <u>faster</u>?

This problem illustrates a general property of feedback systems. You'll often hear people say things like, "For reasons related to stability, the bandwidth is limited to x."

Page 1

Recitation 15: More Bode Obstacle Course & Compensation Prof. Joel L. Dawson

Now, back to Bode Obstacle Course. Let's return to the example from last time:

We want to design an acceptable L(s) that results in the following closed-loop performance specs:

- 1) Steady-state error in response to a ramp < 1%
- 2) Disturbance rejection better than 10:1 for frequencies below 10 rps.
- 3) Closed-loop bandwidth > 50 rps
- 4) Magnitude peaking Mp < 1.4
- 5) Noise rejection better than 40 dB above 10³ rps

How does this guide our decision?

1) Steady-state error in response to a ramp is bounded, but not zero. This implies one pole @ the origin. Let's write our loop transmission as

$$L(s) = \frac{k}{s} F(s)$$

Where
$$F(s) = \frac{(\tau_{z1}s+1)(\tau_{z2}s+1)\cdots(\tau_{zN}s+1)}{(\tau_{p1}s+1)(\tau_{p2}s+1)\cdots(\tau_{pm}s+1)} => F(0) = 1$$

In response to a ramp, steady-state error is

$$\lim_{s \to 0} s \left(\frac{1}{s^2}\right) \frac{1}{1 + \frac{k}{s}F(s)} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s + kF(0)} = \frac{1}{k}$$

Recitation 15: More Bode Obstacle Course & Compensation Prof. Joel L. Dawson

So for our first spec,

$$\frac{1}{k} < 0.01 \rightarrow k > 100$$

2) \rightarrow |L(j ω)| > 10 for ω < 10 rps

3)
$$\rightarrow \omega_c > 50 \text{ rps}$$

4)
$$\rightarrow \phi_{\rm m} > 45$$

5) \rightarrow |L(j ω)| < 0.01 for ω > 10³ rps

A a first try, let's follow sound engineering judgement and with the simplest L(s) possible:



What to do? We need another pole somewhere in order to meet our high-frequency spec. But if we put the pole too low in frequency, we'll lower ω_c and our phase margin.

Recitation 15: More Bode Obstacle Course & Compensation Prof. Joel L. Dawson

What about a pole right at 100 rps? Using asymptotes on the bode plot, that would fix ω_c right at 100 rps, and the phase margin would be 45°....



Page 4

Recitation 15: More Bode Obstacle Course & Compensation Prof. Joel L. Dawson

Remember:

- Use closed-loop specifications to place constraints on L(s)
- Capture as many of those constraints as you can as Bode Obstacles.
- Start simple, and poles/zeros as necessary.

Compensation

The Bode Obstacle course is one tool we have for doing compensation, or "the art of making things better." In our in-class exercise, we added a pole at the origin and made k as large as we could to make things better. And we noticed that there was a tradeoff between crossover frequency and stability.

So in an ideal world, what would we really want? We would want a magic box that allowed us to set its phase response independent of its magnitude response. For example, we could have arbitrary positive phase shift and a magnitude response of unity for all frequencies.

NATURE DOES NOT ALLOW THIS.

But it allows us something of that flavor. Consider a zero:

$$\begin{split} H(s) &= \tau s + 1 \\ \measuredangle H(j\omega) &= \tan^{-1}(\tau\omega) \\ |H(j\omega)| &= \sqrt{1 + (\tau\omega)^2} \end{split}$$

Over the range of frequencies for which $\tau \omega \ll 1$: