

---

**Problem Set 7 Solutions**

---

**Problem 7.1** (State space sizes in trellises for RM codes)

Recall the  $|u|u + v|$  construction of a Reed-Muller code  $\text{RM}(r, m)$  with length  $n = 2^m$  and minimum distance  $d = 2^{m-r}$ :

$$\text{RM}(r, m) = \{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{u} \in \text{RM}(r, m-1), \mathbf{v} \in \text{RM}(r-1, m-1)\}.$$

Show that if the past  $\mathcal{P}$  is taken as the first half of the time axis and the future  $\mathcal{F}$  as the second half, then the subcodes  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$  are both effectively equal to  $\text{RM}(r-1, m-1)$  (which has the same minimum distance  $d = 2^{m-r}$  as  $\text{RM}(r, m)$ ), while the projections  $\mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{F}}$  are both equal to  $\text{RM}(r, m-1)$ . Conclude that the dimension of the minimal central state space of  $\text{RM}(r, m)$  is

$$\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim \text{RM}(r, m-1) - \dim \text{RM}(r-1, m-1).$$

The subcode  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is the set of all codewords with second half  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ , which implies that  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}} = \{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}) \mid \mathbf{v} \in \text{RM}(r-1, m-1)\}$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is effectively  $\text{RM}(r-1, m-1)$ .

Similarly, the subcode  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the set of all codewords with first half  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v}) \mid \mathbf{v} \in \text{RM}(r-1, m-1)\}$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is also effectively  $\text{RM}(r-1, m-1)$ .

The past projection  $\mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}}$  is clearly  $\{\mathbf{u} \mid \mathbf{u} \in \text{RM}(r, m-1)\} = \text{RM}(r, m-1)$ . Similarly, since  $\text{RM}(r-1, m-1)$  is a subcode of  $\text{RM}(r, m-1)$ , the future projection  $\mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{F}}$  is  $\text{RM}(r, m-1)$ .

Since  $\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim \mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}} - \dim \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}} = \dim \mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{F}} - \dim \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , it follows that

$$\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim \text{RM}(r, m-1) - \dim \text{RM}(r-1, m-1).$$

Evaluate  $\dim \mathcal{S}$  for all RM codes with length  $n \leq 32$ .

For repetition codes  $\text{RM}(0, m)$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim \text{RM}(0, m-1) - \dim \text{RM}(-1, m-1) = 1 - 0 = 1$ .

For SPC codes  $\text{RM}(m-1, m)$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim \text{RM}(m-1, m-1) - \dim \text{RM}(m-2, m-1) = 2^m - (2^m - 1) = 1$ .

For the  $(8, 4, 4)$  code, we have  $\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim(4, 3, 2) - \dim(4, 1, 4) = 2$ .

For the  $(16, 11, 4)$  code, we have  $\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim(8, 7, 2) - \dim(8, 4, 4) = 3$ .

For the  $(16, 5, 8)$  code, we have  $\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim(8, 4, 4) - \dim(8, 1, 8) = 3$ .

For the  $(32, 26, 4)$  code, we have  $\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim(16, 15, 2) - \dim(16, 11, 4) = 4$ .

For the  $(32, 16, 8)$  code, we have  $\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim(16, 11, 4) - \dim(16, 5, 8) = 6$ .

For the  $(32, 6, 16)$  code, we have  $\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim(16, 5, 8) - \dim(16, 1, 16) = 4$ .

Similarly, show that if the past  $\mathcal{P}$  is taken as the first quarter of the time axis and the future  $\mathcal{F}$  as the remaining three quarters, then the subcode  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is effectively equal to  $\text{RM}(r-2, m-2)$ , while the projection  $\mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}}$  is equal to  $\text{RM}(r, m-2)$ . Conclude that the dimension of the corresponding minimal state space of  $\text{RM}(r, m)$  is

$$\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim \text{RM}(r, m-2) - \dim \text{RM}(r-2, m-2).$$

Similarly, since

$$\text{RM}(r-1, m-1) = \{(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{u}' + \mathbf{v}') \mid \mathbf{u}' \in \text{RM}(r-1, m-2), \mathbf{v}' \in \text{RM}(r-2, m-2)\},$$

we now have that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}} = \{(\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{0}) \mid \mathbf{v}' \in \text{RM}(r-2, m-2)\}$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is effectively  $\text{RM}(r-2, m-2)$ . Also, since

$$\text{RM}(r, m-1) = \{(\mathbf{u}'', \mathbf{u}'' + \mathbf{v}'') \mid \mathbf{u}'' \in \text{RM}(r, m-2), \mathbf{v}'' \in \text{RM}(r-1, m-2)\},$$

we now have that  $\mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}} = \{\mathbf{u}'' \mid \mathbf{u}'' \in \text{RM}(r, m-2)\}$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}}$  is  $\text{RM}(r, m-2)$ . Therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim \mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}} - \dim \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}} = \dim \text{RM}(r, m-2) - \dim \text{RM}(r-2, m-2).$$

Using the relation  $\dim \text{RM}(r, m) = \dim \text{RM}(r, m-1) + \dim \text{RM}(r-1, m-1)$ , show that  $\dim \text{RM}(r, m-2) - \dim \text{RM}(r-2, m-2) = \dim \text{RM}(r, m-1) - \dim \text{RM}(r-1, m-1)$ .

This follows from  $\dim \text{RM}(r, m-1) = \dim \text{RM}(r, m-2) + \dim \text{RM}(r-1, m-2)$  and  $\dim \text{RM}(r-1, m-1) = \dim \text{RM}(r-1, m-2) + \dim \text{RM}(r-2, m-2)$ .

**Problem 7.2** (Projection/subcode duality and state space duality)

Recall that the dual code to an  $(n, k, d)$  binary linear block code  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined as the orthogonal subspace  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ , consisting of all  $n$ -tuples that are orthogonal to all codewords in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and that  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  is a binary linear block code whose dimension is  $\dim \mathcal{C}^\perp = n - k$ .

Show that for any partition of the time axis  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  into past  $\mathcal{P}$  and future  $\mathcal{F}$ , the subcode  $(\mathcal{C}^\perp)_{\mathcal{P}}$  is equal to the dual  $(\mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}})^\perp$  of the projection  $\mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}}$ , and vice versa. [Hint: notice that  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0})$  is orthogonal to  $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})$  if and only if  $\mathbf{a}$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{b}$ .]

Following the hint, because inner products are defined componentwise, we have

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_{|\mathcal{P}}, \mathbf{y}_{|\mathcal{P}} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}_{|\mathcal{F}}, \mathbf{y}_{|\mathcal{F}} \rangle.$$

Moreover  $\langle (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0}), (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle = 0$ . We therefore have the following logical chain:

$$\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}} \iff (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0}) \in \mathcal{C} \iff (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0}) \perp \mathcal{C}^\perp \iff \mathbf{a} \perp (\mathcal{C}^\perp)_{|\mathcal{P}},$$

where we have used the definitions of the subcode  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ , the fact that the dual code of  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ , the fact that  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{0})$  is orthogonal to  $(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})$  if and only if  $\mathbf{a}$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{b}$ , and the definition of  $(\mathcal{C}^\perp)_{|\mathcal{P}}$ , respectively.

Conclude that at any time the minimal state spaces of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  have the same dimension.

The dimension  $\dim \mathcal{S}$  of the minimal state space of  $\mathcal{C}$  for a given partition into past and future is  $\dim \mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}} - \dim \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}$ . The dimension  $\dim \mathcal{S}$  of the minimal state space of  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  for a given partition into past and future is

$$\dim(\mathcal{C}^\perp)_{|\mathcal{P}} - \dim(\mathcal{C}^\perp)_{\mathcal{P}} = (n_{\mathcal{P}} - \dim \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}) - (n_{\mathcal{P}} - \dim \mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}}) = \dim \mathcal{C}_{|\mathcal{P}} - \dim \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}},$$

where  $n_{\mathcal{P}} = |\mathcal{P}|$ , and we have used projection/subcode duality and the fact that the dimension of the dual of a code of dimension  $k$  on a time axis of length  $n_{\mathcal{P}}$  is  $n_{\mathcal{P}} - k$ .

The fact that the state spaces of a linear code and its dual have the same dimensions is called the *dual state space theorem*.

**Problem 7.3** (Trellis-oriented generator matrix for (16, 5, 8) RM code)

Consider the following generator matrix for the (16, 5, 8) RM code, which follows directly from the  $|u|u + v|$  construction:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1111111100000000 \\ 1111000011110000 \\ 1100110011001100 \\ 1010101010101010 \\ 1111111111111111 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(a) Convert this generator matrix to a trellis-oriented generator matrix.

A trellis-oriented generator matrix is obtained by adding the first generator to each of the others:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1111111100000000 \\ 0000111111110000 \\ 0011001111001100 \\ 0101010110101010 \\ 0000000011111111 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(b) Determine the state complexity profile of a minimal trellis for this code.

The starting times of the generator spans are  $\{1, 2, 3, 5, 9\}$ , and the ending times are  $\{8, 12, 14, 15, 16\}$ . The state dimension profile (number of active generators at cut times) of a minimal trellis for this code is therefore

$$\{0, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 3, 4, 4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 1, 0\}.$$

Note that the state-space dimensions at the center, one-quarter, and three-quarter points are equal to

$$\dim(8, 4, 4) - \dim(8, 1, 8) = \dim(4, 3, 2) - \dim(4, 0, \infty) = 3,$$

in accord with Problem 7.1.

Note: this state dimension profile meets the Muder bound at all times (see Problem 7.6), and thus is the best possible for a (16, 5, 8) code.

(c) Determine the branch complexity profile of a minimal trellis for this code.

From the trellis-oriented generator matrix, the branch dimension profile (number of active generators at symbol times) of a minimal trellis for this code is therefore

$$\{1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 1\}.$$

Note: this branch dimension profile meets the Muder bound at all times, and thus is the best possible for a  $(16, 5, 8)$  code.

**Problem 7.4** (Minimum-span generators for convolutional codes)

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a rate- $1/n$  binary linear convolutional code generated by a rational  $n$ -tuple  $\mathbf{g}(D)$ , and let  $\mathbf{g}'(D)$  be the canonical polynomial  $n$ -tuple that generates  $\mathcal{C}$ . Show that the generators  $\{D^k \mathbf{g}'(D), k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  are a set of minimum-span generators for  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Since  $\mathbf{g}'(D)$  is canonical, it is noncatastrophic; i.e., a code sequence  $u(D)\mathbf{g}'(D)$  is finite only if  $u(D)$  is finite. Therefore if  $u(D)\mathbf{g}'(D)$  is finite, then  $u(D)$  is finite and  $\deg u(D)\mathbf{g}'(D) = \deg u(D) + \deg \mathbf{g}'(D)$ , where the degree of an  $n$ -tuple of finite sequences is defined as the maximum degree of its components. Similarly,  $\mathbf{g}'(D)$  is delay-free, so  $\text{del } u(D)\mathbf{g}'(D) = \text{del } u(D) + \text{del } \mathbf{g}'(D)$ , where the delay of an  $n$ -tuple of finite sequences is defined as the minimum delay of its components. Hence the shortest finite sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$  with delay  $k$  is  $D^k \mathbf{g}'(D)$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The set  $\{D^k \mathbf{g}'(D)\}$  of shifted generators are thus a set of minimum-span generators for  $\mathcal{C}$ — i.e., a trellis-oriented generator matrix. We easily verify that all starting times are distinct, and so are all stopping times.