1 Review:

Resonant networks provide strong impedance variation w/ frequency



2 "Class D" amplifier in "series resonant" converter

Suppose we drive the resonant tank (w/ resistive load R) from a half-bridge inverter:



Fourier transform based on exponential form of series, + has scaling on it (-2π)

To control i_L , power to R, we might vary switching frequency above or below resonance. $|i_L(j\omega_s)| = |V_x(j\omega_s) \cdot Y_{in}(j\omega_s)|$

- \Rightarrow Above resonance $f_{sw} > \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi}$ network looks inductive
- \Rightarrow Below resonance $f_{sw} < \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi}$ network looks capacitive (keep $3f_{sw} >> \omega_0$ to avoid amplifying harmonic voltage)

3 Fundamental Harmonic Approximation (FHA)

 \Rightarrow if harmonics well filtered (near resonance, high Q) i_L sinusoidal



Above resonance i_L lags $v_{x,1}$

 \Rightarrow If we add capacitors across the switch (could be junction capacitor) we can always get 2VS turn on and turn off!

- 0. A <u>"nice</u>" characteristic is that device + component currents change proportional to load current (good for efficiency vs load)
- 1. Note: Device voltages are clamped @ V_s , but i_L, v_c can have large peak values. At resonance:

$$\frac{\text{CAP voltage fundamental}}{\text{Drive fundamental}} = |\frac{V_c}{V_{x,1}}||_{\omega=\omega_0} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{L}{C}}}{R} = Q! \text{ can be if } 1$$

- 2. challenge: as <u>Resistor varies</u>
 - (a) If R too small, $v's, i's \uparrow$ or must move away from resonance
 - (b) If R too large, tank $Q \downarrow,$ low selectivity (wide f var), nonsinusoidal waveforms, can lose soft switching

With the series circuit there are concerns w/ load resistor variation (The load may vary naturally w/ system (e.g. induction cooking). <u>Rectifiers</u> provide loads having equivalent resistance that vary w/ power + output power)

- 1. If $R \to \text{too}$ small we must move away from resonance or peak V's, i's become large
- 2. If $R \to \text{too}$ big selectivity of the tank becomes poor
 - Current not sinusoidal
 - "soft" switching lost

We can get different properties by loading the tank differently

e.g. parallel loading: Neglecting c_b (or from other side of c_b): $\frac{v_c}{v'_{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{s^2 LC + s \frac{L}{R_p} + 1}$ Decomes buch as Rat (generate ω_b^{clored}

In this case, tank Q becomes high as $R_p \uparrow$ (generate big V's and I's in tank when $R_p \rightarrow \infty$), and tank $Q \downarrow$ as $R_p \downarrow$.

A further impact of this is that well as power decreases $R \uparrow$. Efficiency a light load may be a challenge This is used to good effect in fluorescent lamp ballasts:

- Lamp $R \uparrow$ until a big voltage is applied and lamp "strikes"
- Once lamp is struck, $R_p \downarrow$ and tank becomes more loaded, delivering appropriate power at lower voltages.

To get a balance between series and parallel behavior, the "series parallel" approach is sometimes used (also called "LCC").



- @ low "R" values R "shorts out" C₂ and design acts "like" a series res control
- @ high "R" values the equivalent resonant characteristics change $[C_{eq}$ goes from C_1 to $C_1 ||C_2]$

If we increase f_{sw} , we get behavior "like" parallel res.

What about dc-dc conversion? \Rightarrow model the rectifier! We can also use the "Fundamental Harmonic Approximation" to model rectifiers



Cases:

1. For a fixed output voltage V_0 (not determined by a dc resistor). $P_{av} = \langle i_x \rangle \cdot V_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} I V_0$ or $I = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{P_{av}}{V_0}$ So the FHA ac impedance posed by the rectifier is:

$$R_{ac,eff} = \frac{V_{rect,1}}{Isin(\omega t)} = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{V_0}{I} = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{V_0}{\pi} \frac{2 \cdot V_0}{P_{av}}$$
$$\boxed{R_{ac,eff} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \frac{V_0^2}{P_{av}}}$$
General form for other rectifiers $K \frac{V_0^2}{P_{av}}$

2. If the rectifier is loaded with a dc resistor R_{DC} :

$$R_{ac,eff} = \frac{V_{rect,1}}{Isin(\omega t)} = \frac{4V_0}{\pi I} = \frac{4}{\pi I} \cdot \frac{2IR_{DC}}{\pi}$$

$$\overline{R_{ac,eff} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \cdot R_{DC}}$$
General form for other rectifiers $K' \cdot R_{DC}$

Similar expressions may be found for other rectifiers

Consider a "series resonant" dc-dc converter



- Operate above $\omega_0 = \frac{1}{L_r C_r}$ to get ZVS soft switching.
- This works for a "dc gain" such that $|V_{x,1}| \leq |V_{y,1}|$.
 - We can change inverter and/or rectifier topology (full-bridge, half-bridge) to adjust the gain, as well as transformer turns ratio.
 - For very "light" loads $(R_{dc} \text{ big})$ tank selectivity/frequency range becomes a problem.
 - * Can "burst" converter on and off $\Rightarrow R_{eff} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \frac{V_0^2}{P_{on}}$ (power when burst is "on"). * Can use a different tank structure, such as parallel, LCC, LLC.
- We often pick a tank that meets requirements of an application and absorbs parasitics in a useful way (e.g., "series-parallel"/LCC or parallel resonant converter PRC can be used when a high-voltage secondary yields high transformer capacitance and/or rectifier capacitance)
- By gapping the transformer, we can get an "LLC" design:
 - Above $\omega_{\text{ser}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_r C_r}}$, we get behavior a bit like a series-resonant converter, but L_m helps at low power and provides inductive ZVS current.
 - Below ω_{ser} , we can still be inductively loaded and get additional voltage gain by resonance between \hat{C} and L_m , "matching" over some range.



We can also operate $\underline{\text{below}}$ resonance in some designs for ZCS, e.g.



Since $i_L > 0$ <u>before</u> top switch turns on, bottom diode conducts + bottom switch off @ ZCS. Same w/ top switch

A variation of this trick uses multiple resonances to provide ZCS turn <u>on</u> for the switches, also. Good for thyreistors.



MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

6.622 Power Electronics Spring 2023

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>