

```
# -*- mode: org -*-  
#+STARTUP: indent
```

\* plan

- context switching solution
- sequence coordination
- xv6's implementation
- in-class exercise

\* context switching solution  
show solution

\* big picture:

- Multiple threads executing in the kernel
- sharing memory, devices, and various data structures.
- locks to protect invariants
  - one outstanding disk request
  - one scheduler selecting a thread to run
- show context switching pattern in kernel

\* sequence coordination:

- how to arrange for threads to wait for each other to do
  - wait for disk interrupt to complete
  - wait for pipe readers to make space in pipe
  - wait for child to exit
  - wait for block to use

\* straw man solution: spin

waste CPU cycles if need to spin for long time

\* beter solution: sleep when waiting

tricky: lost wakeup

- case study: `iderw()`
- why does `sleep` take the `ide` lock as argument?
  - comment `acquire/relase` in `sleep/wakeup`
  - `sleep` misses wakeup; deadlock
  - `ideintr()` runs before we to `sleep`

\* `sleep` arranges making going to `sleep` atomic:

first hold `ptable` lock

set `SLEEP`

then release the lock argument

but requires API change: `sleep` takes a lock argument

\* Another example: pipe

what is the race if `sleep` didn't take `p->lock` as argument?

\* Real kernels also deal with receiving a `ctrl-C`, e.g., in `sleep`.

This is messy because a process could `sleep` somewhere deep in the kernel

A signal forces it out of `sleep`

But when it comes out of the `sleep` it is not because the condition it is waiting on is true.

A common approach is use `longjmp` (unwind the stack), and retry the system call.

`xv6` doesn't do this; but handles `kill` signal when sleeping on pipe by checking

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

6.828 Operating System Engineering  
Fall 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.