

Chapter 4

Schroedinger Equation

Einstein's relation between particle energy and frequency Eq.(3.83) and de Broglie's relation between particle momentum and wave number of a corresponding matter wave Eq.(3.84) suggest a wave equation for matter waves. This search for an equation describing matter waves was carried out by Erwin Schroedinger. He was successful in the year 1926.

The energy of a classical, nonrelativistic particle with momentum \vec{p} that is subject to a conservative force derived from a potential $V(\vec{r})$ is

$$E = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + V(\vec{r}). \quad (4.1)$$

For simplicity lets begin first with a constant potential $V(\vec{r}) = V_0 = \text{const.}$ This is the force free case. According to Einstein and de Broglie, the dispersion relation between ω and \vec{k} for waves describing the particle motion should be

$$\hbar\omega = \frac{\hbar^2 \vec{k}^2}{2m} + V_0. \quad (4.2)$$

Note, so far we had a dispersion relation for waves in one dimension, where the wavenumber $k(\omega)$, was a function of frequency. For waves in three dimensions the frequency of the wave is rather a function of the three components of the wave vector. Each wave with a given wave vector \vec{k} has the following time dependence

$$e^{j(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}-\omega t)}, \quad \text{with } \omega = \frac{\hbar \vec{k}^2}{2m} + \frac{V_0}{\hbar} \quad (4.3)$$

Note, this is a wave with phase fronts traveling to the right. In contrast to our notation used in chapter 2 for rightward traveling electromagnetic waves, we

switched the sign in the exponent. This notation conforms with the physics oriented literature. A superposition of such waves in \vec{k} -space enables us to construct wave packets in real space

$$\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = \int \phi_\omega(\vec{k}, \omega) e^{j(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} d^3k \, d\omega \quad (4.4)$$

The inverse transform of the above expression is

$$\phi_\omega(\vec{k}, \omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \Psi(\vec{r}, t) e^{-j(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)} d^3r \, dt, \quad (4.5)$$

with

$$\phi_\omega(\vec{k}, \omega) = \phi(k) \delta\left(\omega - \frac{\hbar \vec{k}^2}{2m} - \frac{V_0}{\hbar}\right). \quad (4.6)$$

Or we can rewrite the wave function in Eq.(4.4) by carrying out the trivial frequency integration over ω

$$\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = \int \phi(k) \exp\left(j\left[\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \left(\frac{\hbar \vec{k}^2}{2m} + \frac{V_0}{\hbar}\right)t\right]\right) d^3k. \quad (4.7)$$

Due to the Fourier relationship between the wave function in space and time coordinates and the wave function in wave vector and frequency coordinates

$$\phi_\omega(\vec{k}, \omega) \leftrightarrow \Psi(\vec{r}, t) \quad (4.8)$$

we have

$$\omega \phi_\omega(k, \omega) \leftrightarrow j \frac{\partial \Psi(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\vec{k} \phi_\omega(k, \omega) \leftrightarrow -j \nabla \Psi(\vec{r}, t), \quad (4.10)$$

$$\vec{k}^2 \phi_\omega(k, \omega) \leftrightarrow -\Delta \Psi(\vec{r}, t). \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\nabla = \vec{e}_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{e}_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{e}_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\Delta = \nabla \cdot \nabla \equiv \nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}. \quad (4.13)$$

From the dispersion relation follows by multiplication with the wave function in the wave vector and frequency domain

$$\hbar\omega\phi_\omega(k,\omega) = \frac{\hbar^2k^2}{2m}\phi_\omega(k,\omega) + V_0\phi_\omega(k,\omega) \quad . \quad (4.14)$$

With the inverse transformation the corresponding equation in the space and time domain is

$$j\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\Psi(\vec{r},t) + V_0\Psi(\vec{r},t) \quad . \quad (4.15)$$

Generalization of the above equation for a constant potential to the instance of an arbitrary potential in space leads finally to the Schroedinger equation

$$j\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi(\vec{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\Psi(\vec{r},t) + V(\vec{r})\Psi(\vec{r},t) \quad . \quad (4.16)$$

Note, the last few pages are not a derivation of the Schroedinger Equation but rather a motivation for it based on the findings of Einstein and deBroglie. The Schroedinger Equation can not be derived from classical mechanics. But classical mechanics can be rederived from the Schroedinger Equation in some limit. It is the success of this equation in describing the experimentally observed quantum mechanical phenomena correctly, that justifies this equation.

The wave function $\Psi(\vec{r},t)$ is complex. Note, we will no longer underline complex quantities. Which quantities are complex will be determined from the context.

Initially the magnitude square of the wave function $|\Psi(\vec{r},t)|^2$ was interpreted as the particle density. However, Eq.(4.15) in one spatial dimension is mathematical equivalent to the dispersive wave motion Eq.(2.72), where the space and time variables have been exchanged. The dispersion leads to spreading of the wave function. This would mean that any initially compact particle, which has a well localized particle density, would decay, which does not agree with observations. In the framework of the "Kopenhagen Interpretation" of Quantum Mechanics, whose meaning we will define later in detail, $|\Psi(\vec{r},t)|^2 dV$ is the probability to find a particle in the volume dV at position \vec{r} , if an optimum measurement of the particle position is carried out at time t . The particle is assumed to be point like. $\Psi(\vec{r},t)$ itself is then considered to be the probability amplitude to find the particle at position \vec{r} at time t .

Note, that the measurement of physical observables like the position of a particle plays a central role in quantum theory. In contrast to classical

mechanics where the state of a particle is precisely described by its position and momentum in quantum theory the full information about a particle is represented by its wave function $\Psi(\vec{r}, t)$. $\Psi(\vec{r}, t)$ enables to compute the outcome of a measurement of any possible observable related to the particle, like its position or momentum.

Before, we discuss this issue in more detail lets look at a few examples to get familiar with the mathematics of quantum mechanics.

4.1 Free Motion

Eq.(4.15) describes the motion of a free particle. For simplicity, we consider only a one-dimensional motion along the x-axis. Initially, we might only know the position of the particle with finite precision and therefore we use a Gaussian wave packet with finite width as the initial wave function

$$\Psi(x, t = 0) = A \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4\sigma_0^2} + jk_0x\right). \quad (4.17)$$

The probability density to find the particle at position x is a Gaussian distribution

$$|\Psi(x, t = 0)|^2 = |A|^2 \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right), \quad (4.18)$$

σ_0^2 is the variance of the initial particle position. Since the probability to find the particle somewhere must be one, we can determine the amplitude of the wave function by requiring

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(x, t = 0)|^2 dx = 1 \rightarrow A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} \quad (4.19)$$

The meaning of the wave number k_0 in the wave function (4.17) becomes obvious by expressing the solution to the wave equation by its Fourier transform

$$\Psi(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(k) \exp[j(kx - \omega(k)t)] dk \quad (4.20)$$

or specifically for $t = 0$

$$\Psi(x, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(k) e^{jkx} dk, \quad (4.21)$$

or

$$\phi(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Psi(x, 0) e^{-jkx} dx. \quad (4.22)$$

For the initial Gaussian wavepacket of

$$\Psi(x, 0) = A \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4\sigma_0^2} + jk_0x\right) \quad (4.23)$$

we obtain

$$\phi(k) = \frac{A\sigma_0}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp[-\sigma_0^2(k - k_0)^2]. \quad (4.24)$$

This is a Gaussian distribution for the wave number, and therefore momentum, of the particle with its center at k_0 . With the dispersion relation

$$\omega = \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}, \quad (4.25)$$

with the constant potential V_0 set to zero, the wave function at any later time is

$$\Psi(x, t) = \frac{A\sigma_0}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left[-\sigma_0^2(k - k_0)^2 - j\frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}t + jkx\right] dk. \quad (4.26)$$

This is exactly the same Gaussian integral we were studying for dispersive pulse propagation or the diffraction of a Gaussian beam in chapter 2 which results in

$$\Psi(x, t) = \frac{A}{\sqrt{1 + j\frac{\hbar t}{m2\sigma_0^2}}} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2 - 4j\sigma_0^2 k_0 x + j\frac{\hbar 2\sigma_0^2 k_0^2}{m} t}{4\sigma_0^2 \left(1 + j\frac{\hbar}{m2\sigma_0^2} t\right)}\right]. \quad (4.27)$$

As expected the wave packet stays Gaussian. The probability density is

$$|\Psi(x, t)|^2 = \frac{|A|^2}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\hbar t}{2m\sigma_0^2}\right)^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(x - \frac{\hbar k_0}{m} t\right)^2}{2\sigma_0^2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{\hbar t}{2m\sigma_0^2}\right)^2\right]}\right]. \quad (4.28)$$

With the value for the amplitude A according to Eq.(4.19), the wave packet remains normalized

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\Psi(x, t)|^2 dx = 1. \quad (4.29)$$

Using the probability distribution for the particle position, we obtain for its expected value

$$\langle x \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x |\Psi(x, t)|^2 dx \quad (4.30)$$

or

$$\langle x \rangle = \frac{\hbar k_0}{m} t. \quad (4.31)$$

Thus the center of the wave packet moves with the velocity of the classical particle

$$v_0 = \frac{\hbar k_0}{m}, \quad (4.32)$$

which is the group velocity derived from the dispersion relation (4.2)

$$v_0 = \left. \frac{\partial \omega(k)}{\partial k} \right|_{k=k_0} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left. \frac{\partial E(k)}{\partial k} \right|_{k=k_0}. \quad (4.33)$$

As we will see later, the expected value for the center of mass of the particle follows Newton's law, which is called Ehrenfest's Theorem. For the uncertainty in the particle position

$$\Delta x = \sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2} \quad (4.34)$$

follows for the freely moving particle

$$\Delta x = \sigma_0 \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\hbar t}{2m\sigma_0^2} \right)^2}. \quad (4.35)$$

The probability density for the particle position disperses over time. Asymptotically one finds

$$\Delta x \doteq \frac{\hbar t}{2m\sigma_0^2} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{\hbar t}{2m\sigma_0^2} \gg 1. \quad (4.36)$$

Figure 4.1 (a) is a sketch of the complex wave packet and (b) indicates the temporal evolution of the average and variance of the particle center of mass

motion described by the complex wave packet. The wave packet disperses faster, if it is initially stronger localised.




Figure 4.1: Gaussian wave packet: (a) Real and Imaginary part of the complex wave packet. (b) width and center of mass of the wave packet.

Example:

Using this one dimensional model, we can estimate how rapidly an electron moves in a hydrogen atom. If we localize an electron in a box with a size similar to that of a hydrogen atom, i.e. $\sigma_0 = a_0 = 0.5 \cdot 10^{-10} m$, without the presence of the proton that holds the electron back from escaping, it will only take $t = 2m\sigma_0^2/\hbar = 2 \cdot 9.81 \cdot 10^{-31} \text{kg} \cdot (0.5 \cdot 10^{-10})^2 \text{m}^2 / 6.626 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{Js} = 46.5 \text{as}$ (attoseconds= 10^{-18} sec) until its wave function disperses significantly. This result indicates that electronic motion in atoms occurs on a attosecond time scale. Note, these time scales quickly become very long if macroscopic objects are described quantum mechanically. For example, for a particle with

a mass of $1\mu\text{g}$ localized in a box with dimensions $1\mu\text{m}$, the equivalent time for significant dispersion of the wave function is $t = 2 \cdot 10^{19}\text{s} = 2\text{Million}$ years. This result gives us a first indication why we are far, far away from encountering quantum mechanical effects in our everyday life and why the mechanics of the micro cosmos, on an atomic or molecular level, is so different from our macroscopic experience. The reason is the smallness of the quantum of action h .

The reason for this behaviour is that a well localized particle has a wider momentum or wave number distribution. This is in one to one analogy that an optical pulse disperses faster in a medium with a given dispersion if it is shorter because of larger spectral width. The wave number spread is

$$(\Delta k)^2 = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (k - k_0)^2 |\phi(k)|^2 dk}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(k)|^2 dk} . \quad (4.37)$$

Here, we have

$$\Delta k = \frac{1}{2\sigma_0}, \quad (4.38)$$

or for the momentum spread

$$\Delta p = \frac{\hbar}{2\sigma_0}. \quad (4.39)$$

The position-momentum uncertainty product is then

$$\Delta p \Delta x = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\hbar t}{2m_0^2}\right)^2} . \quad (4.40)$$

The position-momentum uncertainty product is a minimum at $t = 0$ and steadily increases from this initial value. As we will show later it is in generally true that the position-momentum uncertainty product satisfies the condition

$$\Delta x_i \Delta p_i \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}. \quad (4.41)$$

Note, that the index i indicates the coordinate. This is Heisenberg's uncertainty relation between particle position and moment, which holds for each

component individually. Later, we will find other pairs of physical observables, which are called conjugate observables and which satisfy similar uncertainty relations. The product of such quantities is always an action. This is for example also true for the product of energy and frequency and the resulting energy-time uncertainty relation is

$$\Delta E \Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}. \quad (4.42)$$

Note, whereas the position-momentum uncertainty is related to the choice of the particle state described by the wave function, the energy-time uncertainty relation is related to the dynamics of a quantum process. What it means is that a quantum system can only change its state significantly within a time span Δt , if the state, the quantum system is in, has an energy uncertainty larger than $\delta E \geq \frac{\hbar}{2\delta t}$.

Position and momentum variables that do not belong to the same degree of freedom, such as y , and p_x are not subject to an uncertainty relation.

4.2 Probability Conservation and Propability Currents

Max Born was the first to introduce the propabilistic interpretation of the wave function found by Schroedinger, that is the propability to find the center of mass of a particle at position \vec{r} in a volume element dV is given by the magnitude square of the wave function multiplied by dV

$$p(\vec{r}, t) = |\Psi(\vec{r}, t)|^2 dV. \quad (4.43)$$

If this interpretation makes sense, then the total propability that the particle can be found somewhere should be 1 and this normalization should not change during the dynamics. We found that this is true for the Gaussian wave packet undergoing free motion. Here, we want to show that this is true under the most general circumstances. We look at the rate of change of the

probability to find the particle in an arbitrary but fixed volume $V = Vol$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{Vol} p(\vec{r}, t) d^3r &= \\ &= \int_{Vol} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi(\vec{r}, t)|^2 d^3r \\ &= \int_{Vol} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi^*(\vec{r}, t) \right) \Psi(\vec{r}, t) + \Psi^*(\vec{r}, t) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Psi(\vec{r}, t) \right) \right] d^3r \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

Using the Schroedinger Equation (4.16) for the temporal change of the wave function we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{Vol} p(\vec{r}, t) d^3r &= \\ &= \int_{Vol} \left[\left(\frac{\hbar}{j2m} \nabla \cdot \nabla \Psi^*(\vec{r}, t) - \frac{j}{\hbar} V(\vec{r}) \Psi^*(\vec{r}, t) \right) \Psi(\vec{r}, t) \right] d^3r \\ &+ \int_{Vol} \left[\Psi^*(\vec{r}, t) \left(-\frac{\hbar}{j2m} \nabla \cdot \nabla \Psi(\vec{r}, t) + \frac{j}{\hbar} V(\vec{r}) \Psi(\vec{r}, t) \right) \right] d^3r \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

Since the potential $V(\vec{r})$ is real the terms related to it cancel. The other two terms can be written of the divergence of a current density

$$\int_{Vol} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(\vec{r}, t) d^3r = - \int_{Vol} \nabla \cdot \vec{J}(\vec{r}, t) d^3r, \quad (4.46)$$

with

$$\vec{J}(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{\hbar}{j2m} (\Psi^*(\vec{r}, t) (\nabla \Psi(\vec{r}, t)) - \Psi(\vec{r}, t) (\nabla \Psi^*(\vec{r}, t))). \quad (4.47)$$

Eq.(4.46) is true for any volume, i.e.

$$\int_{Vol} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(\vec{r}, t) + \nabla \cdot \vec{J}(\vec{r}, t) \right] d^3r = 0, \quad (4.48)$$

which is only possible if the integrand vanishes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(\vec{r}, t) = - \nabla \cdot \vec{J}(\vec{r}, t). \quad (4.49)$$

Clearly, $\vec{J}(\vec{r}, t)$ has the physical meaning of a probability current. The probability in a volume element changes because of probability flowing out of

this volume element. Note, this is the same local law that we have for the conservation of charge. In fact, if the particle is a charged particle, like an electron is, multiplication of \vec{J} with $-e_0$ would result in the electrical current associated with the wave function $\Psi(\vec{r}, t)$.

Gauss's theorem states

$$\int_{Vol} \nabla \cdot \vec{J}(\vec{r}, t) d^3r = \int_S \vec{J}(\vec{r}, t) d\vec{S}, \quad (4.50)$$

where Vol is the volume over which the integration is carried out and S is the surface that encloses the volume with $d\vec{S}$ an outward pointing surface normal vector. With Gauss's theorem the local conservation of probability can be transferred to a global result, since

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{Vol} p(\vec{r}, t) d^3r = - \int_{Vol} \nabla \cdot \vec{J}(\vec{r}, t) d^3r = - \int_S \vec{J}(\vec{r}, t) d\vec{S}. \quad (4.51)$$

If we choose as the volume the whole space and if $\Psi(\vec{r}, t)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(\vec{r}, t)$ vanish rapidly enough for $\vec{r} \rightarrow \infty$ such that the probability current vanishes at infinity, the total probability is conserved. These findings prove that the probability interpretation of the wave function is a valid interpretation not contradicting basic laws of probability. If the wave function properly normalized at the beginning it will stay normalized.

Example The Gaussian wave packet satisfies the condition that the probability current decays rapidly enough at the surface of a large enough chosen volume so that the normalization is preserved. A monochromatic plane wave does not satisfy this condition. However, the probability current density gives a physical meaning to it. The wave function corresponding to a plane wave

$$\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = e^{j(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t)}, \quad \text{with } \omega = \frac{\hbar \vec{k}^2}{2m} + \frac{V_0}{\hbar} \quad (4.52)$$

which is not normalizable, results in a homogenous probability current

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{J}(\vec{r}, t) &= \frac{\hbar}{j2m} (\Psi^*(\vec{r}, t) (\nabla \Psi(\vec{r}, t)) - \Psi(\vec{r}, t) (\nabla \Psi^*(\vec{r}, t))) \\ &= \frac{\hbar \vec{k}}{m} |\Psi(\vec{r}, t)|^2 = \frac{\vec{p}}{m} = \vec{v}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.53)$$

that is identical to the classical velocity of the particle. Thus a plane wave describes a particle with a precise velocity or momentum but completely unknown position, therefore the related probability current density is completely homogenous but directed into the direction of \vec{v} . Such waves describe the initial state in a scattering experiment, where we shoot particles with a precisely defined velocity \vec{v} or momentum \vec{p} or energy $E = \frac{m\vec{v}^2}{2} = \frac{\hbar\vec{p}^2}{2m} = \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m}$ onto another object described by a scattering potential, see problem set. The position of these particles is completely unspecified, i.e. $|\Psi(\vec{r}, t)|^2 = \text{const.}$

4.3 Measureability of Physical Quantities (Observables)

The reason for the more intricate description necessary for microscopic processes in comparison with macroscopic processes is simply the fact that these systems are so small that the interaction of the system with an eventual measurement apparatus can no longer be neglected. It turns out this fact is not to overcome by choosing more and more sophisticated measurement apparatus but rather is a principle limitation. If this is so, then it eventually doesn't make sense or it becomes even inconsistent to attribute to a system more precisely defined physical quantities than actually can be retrieved by measurements. This is the physical reason behind the introduction of the wave function in stead of the precisely defined position and momentum of the particle that we used to deterministically predict the trajectory of a particle in an external field.

It is impossible to assign to a microscopic particle a precise position and momentum at the same time. To demonstrate this, we consider the following (Heisenberg) microscope to measure the exact position of a particle. We use light with wavelength λ and focus it strongly with a lense of some focal distance d , see Figure 4.2.

From our construction of the Gaussian beam in section 2.4.2, we found that if we generate a focused beam with a waist w_o having a Rayleigh range $z_R = \frac{\pi w_o^2}{\lambda}$, the beam is composed of plane waves which have a Gaussian distribution in its transverse k-vector, which has a variance $k_T^2/2$, see Eq.(2.220). The Rayleigh range of the beam is related to the transverse wave number spread of the beam by $z_R = k_0/k_T^2$, with $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda$, see (2.221) and thereafter. Note, the intensity profile of the beam has a variance $w_o^2/4$. If a particle

crosses the focus of the beam and scatters a single photon, which we detect with the surrounding photo detector arrangement, then it is reasonable to assume that we know the position of the particle in the x -direction, with an uncertainty equal to the uncertainty in the transverse photon or intensity distribution of the beam, i.e. $\Delta x = w_o / 2$.




Figure 4.2: Determination of particle position with an optical microscope. A weak particle beam with precisely defined moment \vec{p} of the particles is directed towards the focus of the Gaussian beam. In the focus the particle scatters at least one photon. Detection of the scattered photon with the surrounding photodetector signals, that the position of the particle in x -direction has been determined within the beam waist of the Gaussian beam. However, due to the scattering of the photon a momentum uncertainty has been introduced to the particle state.

During the measurement, the photon recoil induces a momentum kick with an uncertainty $\Delta p_x = \hbar k_T / \sqrt{2}$. So even if the momentum of the particle was perfectly know before the measurement, after the additional determination of its position with a precision Δx it has at least aquired an uncertainty in its momentum of magnitude Δp_x . The product of the uncertainties in position and momentum after the measurement is

$$\Delta p_x \cdot \Delta x = \hbar k_T w_o / (2\sqrt{2}) = \frac{\hbar}{2}. \quad (4.54)$$

Note, this result is exact and is independent of focusing. Tighter focusing will enable us to more precisely determine the position of the particle, but we will introduce more momentum uncertainty due to the photon recoil; the opposite is true for less focusing. Since we can not determine, and therefore, prepare a particle in a state with its position and momentum more precisely determined than this uncertainty product allows, there is no such state and (4.54) is the minimum uncertainty product achievable.

The experimental setup can easily be extended to measure the momentum and position of a particle in all three dimensions. For example one can use three focused laser beams at different wavelength, which are orthogonal to each other. Once a particle will fly through the focus and scatters three photons, each of different color. If we knew its momentum initially precisely, we would know afterwards its 3-dimensional position with a position and momentum spread as described by Eq.(4.54).

4.4 Stationary States

One of the great mysteries before the advent of quantum mechanics was the origin of the discrete energy spectra observed in spectroscopic investigations and empirically described by the Bohr-Sommerfeld model of the atom. This mystery is easily explained by the Schrödinger Equation (4.16)

$$j\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(\vec{r}, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta \Psi(\vec{r}, t) + V(\vec{r}) \Psi(\vec{r}, t) . \quad (4.55)$$

It allows for solutions

$$\Psi(\vec{r}, t) = \psi(\vec{r}) e^{j\omega t}, \quad (4.56)$$

which have a time independent probability density, i.e.

$$|\Psi(\vec{r}, t)|^2 = |\psi(\vec{r})|^2 = \text{const.}, \quad (4.57)$$

which is the reason for calling these states stationary states. Since the right side of the Schrödinger Equation is equal to the total energy of the system, these states correspond to energy eigenstates of the system with energy eigenvalues

$$E = \hbar\omega. \quad (4.58)$$

These energy eigenstates $\psi(\vec{r})$ are eigen solutions to the stationary or time independent Schroedinger Equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta \psi(\vec{r}) + V(\vec{r}) \psi(\vec{r}) = E \psi(\vec{r}) . \quad (4.59)$$

We get familiar with this equation by considering a few one-dimensional examples, before we apply it to the Hydrogen atom.

4.4.1 The One-dimensional Infinite Box Potential

A simple example for a quantum mechanical system is an electron that can freely move in one dimension x but only over a finite distance a . Such a situation closely describes an electron that is strongly bound to a molecule with a cigar like shape with length a . The potential describing this situation is the one-dimensional box potential

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } |x| < a/2 \\ \infty, & \text{for } |x| \geq a/2 \end{cases} , \quad (4.60)$$

see Figure 4.3.

Image removed for copyright purposes.

Figure 4.3: One dimensional box potential with infinite barriers.

In the interval $[-a/2, a/2]$ the stationary Schroedinger equation is

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2\psi(x)}{dx^2} = E \psi(x) . \quad (4.61)$$

For $|x| \geq a/2$ the wave function must vanish, otherwise the energy eigenvalue can not be finite, i.e. $\psi(x = \pm a/2) = 0$. This is analogous to the electric field solutions for the TE-modes for a planar mirror waveguide and we find

$$\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \cos \frac{n\pi x}{a} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 3, 5 \dots, \quad (4.62)$$

$$\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a} \quad \text{for } n = 2, 4, 6 \dots \quad (4.63)$$

The corresponding energy eigenvalues are

$$E_n = \frac{n^2 \pi^2 \hbar^2}{2ma^2}. \quad (4.64)$$

We also find that the stationary states constitute an orthogonal system of functions

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_m(x)^* \psi_n(x) dx = \delta_{mn}. \quad (4.65)$$

In fact this system is complete. Any function in the interval $[-a/2, a/2]$ can be expanded in a superposition of the basis functions $\psi_n(x)$, which is a Fourier series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n(x) \quad (4.66)$$

with

$$c_m = \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} \psi_m(x)^* f(x) dx, \quad (4.67)$$

which is a consequence of the orthogonality relation (4.65).

Example: If we approximate the binding potential of a hydrogen atom by a one-dimensional box potential with a width equal to twice the Bohr radius $a = 2a_0 = 10^{-10}$ m, the energy eigenvalues are $E_n = n^2 \cdot 35$ eV. Clearly, the spacing of the energy eigenvalues does not conform with what has been observed experimentally, compare with section 3.4, however the energy scale is within an order of magnitude. The ionization potential of the hydrogen atom is 13.5 eV.

4.4.2 The One-dimensional Harmonic Oscillator

The most important example of a quantum system is the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator. It is the most basic mechanical and electrical system and it describes the dynamics of a mode of the radiation field, see Figure 4.4.

Image removed for copyright purposes.

Figure 4.4: Elastically bound particle

Mechanically, a harmonic oscillation comes about by the elastic force obeying Hook's law

$$F(x) = -Kx, \quad (4.68)$$

that pulls back a particle with mass m in its equilibrium position. This force is conservative and can be derived from a potential by

$$F(x) = -\frac{dV(x)}{dx}, \quad (4.69)$$

with

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2} Kx^2. \quad (4.70)$$

Newton's law results in the classical equation of motion

$$m\ddot{x} = F(x), \quad (4.71)$$

or

$$\ddot{x} + \omega_0^2 x = 0, \quad (4.72)$$

with the oscillation frequency

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{K}{m}} \quad (4.73)$$

The corresponding stationary Schroedinger Equation is

$$\frac{d^2\psi(x)}{dx^2} + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \left(E - \frac{1}{2}Kx^2 \right) \psi(x) = 0. \quad (4.74)$$

This equation is well known in mathematical physics and we want to bring it into standardized form by the scale transformation, i.e. introducing a normalized distance

$$\xi = ax, \quad (4.75)$$

with the scale factor

$$a = \left(\frac{mK}{\hbar^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_0 m}{\hbar}} = \sqrt{\frac{K}{\hbar\omega_0}}. \quad (4.76)$$

In addition we introduce the energy scale factor

$$\gamma = \frac{2E}{\hbar\omega_0}. \quad (4.77)$$

Then the stationary Schroedinger Equation for the harmonic oscillator is

$$\frac{d^2\psi(\xi)}{d\xi^2} + (\gamma - \xi^2) \psi(\xi) = 0. \quad (4.78)$$

It turns out [4][6], that this equation has only solutions that are bounded, i.e. $\psi(\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty) = 0$, if the normalized energies are

$$\gamma_n = 2n + 1. \quad (4.79)$$

And the corresponding eigensolutions are the Hermite Gaussians,

$$\psi_n(\xi) = \text{const. } H_n(\xi) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\xi^2}, \quad (4.80)$$

which we discovered already as solutions of the paraxial wave equation, see Eqs.(2.298) and (2.299), i.e.

$$H_n(\xi) = (-1)^n e^{\xi^2} \frac{d^n}{d\xi^n} e^{-\xi^2} \quad (4.81)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(\xi) &= 1 & , & & H_3(\xi) &= 8 \xi^3 - 12 \xi & , \\ H_1(\xi) &= 2 \xi & , & & H_4(\xi) &= 16 \xi^4 - 48 \xi^2 + 12 & , \\ H_2(\xi) &= 4 \xi^2 - 2 & , & & H_5(\xi) &= 32 \xi^5 - 160 \xi^3 + 120 \xi & . \end{aligned} \quad (4.82)$$

After denormalization and normalization the stationary wave functions are

$$\psi_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2^n \sqrt{\pi} n!}} H_n(ax) e^{-\frac{1}{2}a^2 x^2}. \quad (4.83)$$

Again, we find that the Hermite Gaussians constitute an orthogonal system of functions such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_m(x)^* \psi_n(x) dx = \delta_{mn}. \quad (4.84)$$

Figure 4.5 shows the first six stationary states or energy eigenstates of the harmonic oscillator.

Image removed for copyright purposes.

Figure 4.5: First six stationary states of the harmonic oscillators.

The energy eigenvalues of the stationary states are

$$E_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \hbar \omega_0. \quad (4.85)$$

Note, that the energy eigenvalues are equidistant and the difference between two energy eigenstates follows the findings of Planck. An oscillator has discrete energy levels which differ by energy quanta of size $\hbar \omega_0$, see Figure

Image removed for copyright purposes.

Figure 4.6: Lowest order wavefunctions of the harmonic oscillator and the corresponding energy eigenvalues [3].

The only difference is, that the whole energy scale is shifted by the energy of half a quantum, which is the lowest energy eigenvalue. Thus the minimum energy, or ground state energy, of a harmonic oscillator is not zero but $E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_0$. It is obvious, that an oscillator can not have zero energy because its energy is made up of kinetic and potential energy

$$E = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} Kx^2. \quad (4.86)$$

Since every state has to fulfill Heisenberg's uncertainty relation $\Delta p \cdot \Delta x \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}$, one can show that the state with minimum energy possible has an energy $E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_0$, which is true for the ground state $\psi_0(x)$ according to Eq.(4.83). The stationary states of the harmonic oscillator correspond to states with precisely defined energy but completely undefined phase. If we assume a classical harmonic oscillator with a well defined energy $E = \frac{1}{2} Kx_0^2$. Note, that during a harmonic oscillation the energy is periodically converted from potential energy to kinetic energy. Then the oscillator oscillates with a fixed amplitude x_0

$$x(t) = x_0 \cos(\omega_0 t + \varphi). \quad (4.87)$$

If the phase is assumed to be random in the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$, one finds for the

probability density of the position x to be

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{x_0^2 - x^2}}.$$

Figure 4.7 shows this probability density corresponding to an energy eigenstate $\psi_n(x)$ with quantum large quantum number $n = 10$.

Image removed for copyright purposes.

Figure 4.7: Probability density $|\psi_{10}|^2$ of the harmonic oscillator containing exactly 10 energy quanta.

On average, the quantum mechanical probability density agrees with the classical probability density, which is some form of the correspondence principle, which says that for large quantum numbers n the wave functions resume classical properties.

4.5 The Hydrogen Atom

The simplest of all atoms is the Hydrogen atom, which is made up of a positively charged proton with rest mass $m_p = 1.6726231 \times 10^{-27}$ kg, and a negatively charged electron with rest mass $m_e = 9.1093897 \times 10^{-31}$ kg. Therefore, the hydrogen atom is the only atom which consists of only two particles. This makes an analytical solution of both the classical as well as the quantum mechanical dynamics of the hydrogen atom possible. All other atoms are composed of a nucleus and more than one electron. According




Figure 4.8: Bohr Sommerfeld model of the Hydrogen atom.

to the Bohr-Sommerfeld model of hydrogen, the electron circles the proton on a planetary like orbit, see Figure 4.8. The stationary Schrodinger Equation for the Hydrogen atom is

$$\Delta\psi(\vec{r}) + \frac{2m_0}{\hbar^2} (E - V(\vec{r})) \psi(\vec{r}) = 0 \quad (4.88)$$

The potential is a Coulomb potential between the proton and the electron such that

$$V(\vec{r}) = -\frac{e_0^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0|\vec{r}|} \quad (4.89)$$

and the mass is actually the reduced mass

$$m_0 = \frac{m_p \cdot m_e}{m_p + m_e} \quad (4.90)$$

that arises when we transform the two body problem between electron and proton into a problem for the center of mass and relative coordinate motion. Due to the large, but finite, mass of the proton, i.e. the proton mass is 1836 times the electron mass, both bodies circle around a common center of mass. The center of mass is very close to the position of the proton and the reduced mass is almost identical to the proton mass. Due to the spherical symmetry of the potential the use of spherical coordinates is advantageous

$$\Delta\psi = \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left[\frac{1}{\sin\vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta} \left(\sin\vartheta \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\vartheta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2\vartheta} \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\varphi^2} \right] \quad (4.91)$$

We will derive separate equations for the radial and angular coordinates by assuming trial solutions which are products of functions only depending on

one of the coordinates r, ϑ , or φ

$$\psi(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = R(r) \theta(\vartheta) \phi(\varphi) . \quad (4.92)$$

Substituting this trial solution into the stationary Schroedinger Eq.(4.91) and separating variables leads to radial equation

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dR}{dr} + \left(\frac{2m_0 E}{\hbar^2} + \frac{m_0 e_0^2}{2\pi \varepsilon_0 \hbar^2 r} - \frac{\alpha}{r^2} \right) R = 0 \quad , \quad (4.93)$$

the azimuthal equation

$$\frac{1}{\sin \vartheta} \frac{d}{d\vartheta} \left(\sin \vartheta \frac{d\theta}{d\vartheta} \right) + \left(\alpha - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \right) \theta = 0 \quad , \quad (4.94)$$

and the polar equation

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{d\varphi^2} + m^2 \phi = 0 \quad , \quad (4.95)$$

where α and m are constants yet to be determined. The polar equation has the complex solutions

$$\phi(\varphi) = \text{const. } e^{jm\varphi}, \text{ with } m = \dots - 2, -1, 0, 1, 2 \dots \quad (4.96)$$

because of the symmetry of the problem in the polar angle φ , i.e. the wavefunction must be periodic in φ with period 2π .

4.5.1 Spherical Harmonics

The azimuthal equation is transformed by the substitution

$$\xi = \cos \vartheta \quad (4.97)$$

into

$$(1 - \xi^2) \frac{d^2 \theta}{d\xi^2} - 2\xi \frac{d\theta}{d\xi} + \left(\alpha - \frac{m^2}{1 - \xi^2} \right) \theta = 0 \quad . \quad (4.98)$$

It turns out, that this equation has only bounded solutions on the interval $\xi \in [-1, 1]$, if the constant α is a whole number

$$\alpha = l(l + 1) \quad \text{with, } l = 0, 1, 2 \dots \quad (4.99)$$

and

$$m = -l, -l + 1, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, l - 1, l \quad (4.100)$$

For $m = 0$, Eq.(4.98) is Legendre's Differential Equation and the solutions are the Legendre-Polynomials [5]

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(\xi) &= 1, & P_3(\xi) &= \frac{5}{2}\xi^3 - \frac{3}{2}\xi, \\ P_1(\xi) &= \xi, & P_4(\xi) &= \frac{35}{8}\xi^4 - \frac{15}{4}\xi^2 + \frac{3}{8}, \\ P_2(\xi) &= \frac{3}{2}\xi^2 - \frac{1}{2}, & P_5(\xi) &= \frac{63}{8}\xi^5 - \frac{35}{4}\xi^3 + \frac{15}{8}\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.101)$$

For $m \neq 0$, Eq.(4.98) is the associated Legendre's Differential Equation and the solutions are the associated Legendre-Polynomials, which can be generated from the Legendre-Polynomials by

$$P_1^m(\xi) = (1 - \xi^2)^{m/2} \frac{d^m P_1(\xi)}{d\xi^m}. \quad (4.102)$$

Overall the angular functions can be combined to form the spherical harmonics

$$Y_1^m(\vartheta, \varphi) = (-1)^m \sqrt{\frac{(2l+1)(l-|m|)!}{4\pi(l+|m|)!}} P_1^m(\cos \vartheta) e^{im\varphi}, \quad (4.103)$$

which play an important role whenever a partial differential equation that contains the Laplace operator is solved in spherical coordinates. The spherical harmonics form a system of orthogonal functions on the full volume angle 4π , i.e. $\vartheta \in [0, \pi]$ and $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi]$

$$\int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} Y_l^{m*}(\vartheta, \varphi) Y_l^{m'}(\vartheta, \varphi) \sin \vartheta \, d\vartheta \, d\varphi = \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'}. \quad (4.104)$$

Therefore, a function of the angular variable (ϑ, φ) can be expanded in spherical harmonics. The spherical harmonics with negative azimuthal number $-m$ can be expressed in terms of those with positive azimuthal number m .

$$Y_1^{-m}(\vartheta, \varphi) = (-1)^m (Y_1^m(\vartheta, \varphi))^* \quad (4.105)$$

The lowest order spherical harmonics are listed in Table 4.1. Figure 4.9 shows a cut through the spherical harmonics $Y_1^m(\vartheta, \varphi)$ along the meridional plane.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_0^0(\vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}, & Y_1^0(\vartheta, \varphi) &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \cos \vartheta, & Y_1^1(\vartheta, \varphi) &= -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin \vartheta e^{j\varphi}, \\
 Y_2^0(\vartheta, \varphi) &= \sqrt{\frac{5}{16\pi}} (3 \cos^2 \vartheta - 1), & Y_2^1(\vartheta, \varphi) &= -\sqrt{\frac{15}{8\pi}} \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta e^{j\varphi}, & Y_2^2(\vartheta, \varphi) &= \sqrt{\frac{15}{32\pi}} \sin^2 \vartheta e^{2j\varphi}, \\
 Y_3^0(\vartheta, \varphi) &= \sqrt{\frac{7}{16\pi}} (5 \cos^3 \vartheta - 3 \cos \vartheta), & Y_3^1(\vartheta, \varphi) &= -\sqrt{\frac{21}{64\pi}} \sin \vartheta (5 \cos^2 \vartheta - 1) e^{j\varphi}, \\
 Y_3^2(\vartheta, \varphi) &= \sqrt{\frac{105}{32\pi}} \sin^2 \vartheta \cos \vartheta e^{2j\varphi}, & Y_3^3(\vartheta, \varphi) &= -\sqrt{\frac{35}{64\pi}} \sin^3 \vartheta e^{3j\varphi}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Table 4.1: Lowest order spherical harmonics




Figure 4.9: Lowest order spherical harmonics $Y_l^m(\vartheta, \varphi)$, along the meridional plane, i.e. $\varphi = 0$.

4.5.2 Radial Wave Functions

Obviously, the spherical harmonics are related to the angular momentum L of the particle, because after choosing the spherical harmonic with indices l, m the radial Equation (4.93) is

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dR}{dr} + \left(\frac{2m_0 E}{\hbar^2} + \frac{m_0 e_0^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2 r} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) R = 0. \quad (4.106)$$

The radial equation has in addition to the $1/r$ Coulomb potential the centrifugal potential

$$E_{rot} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} = \frac{\vec{L}^2}{2m_0 r^2}, \quad (4.107)$$

which is the rotation energy of a particle with angular momentum $|\vec{L}| = \sqrt{l(l+1)}\hbar$ and moment of inertia $m_0 r^2$. Thus quantum mechanically, the particle can no longer access arbitrary values for the angular momentum. The angular momentum can only have values $|\vec{L}| = \sqrt{l(l+1)}\hbar$ with $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. For large radii, the radial equation simplifies to

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} + \frac{2m_0 E}{\hbar^2} R = 0, \quad (4.108)$$

which indicates that the radial wave function must decay exponentially for large radii. Therefore, we rescale the radius according to

$$\rho = Ar \quad (4.109)$$

with

$$A^2 = -\frac{8m_0 E}{\hbar^2}, \text{ because } E < 0, \quad (4.110)$$

and form the trial solution

$$R(\rho) = \rho^s w(\rho) e^{-\rho/2}. \quad (4.111)$$

Substitution into Eq.(4.109) leads to the following differential equation for $w(\rho)$

$$\rho^2 \frac{d^2 w}{d\rho^2} + \rho [2(s+1) - \rho] \frac{dw}{d\rho} + [\rho(\lambda - s - 1) + s(s+1) - l(l+1)] w = 0, \quad (4.112)$$

with

$$\lambda = \frac{m_0 e^2}{2\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2 A} = \frac{\sqrt{m_0} e^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar \sqrt{-E}}. \quad (4.113)$$

Evaluation of this differential equation at $\rho = 0$ leads to

$$l = s,$$

and we are left with the much simpler equation

$$\rho \frac{d^2 w}{d\rho^2} + [2(l+1) - \rho] \frac{dw}{d\rho} + (\lambda - l - 1) w = 0. \quad (4.114)$$

One way to solve this equation is by using a polynomial trial solution.

$$w(\rho) = b_0 + b_1 \rho + b_2 \rho^2 + \dots b_p \rho^p \quad (4.115)$$

Substitution into Eq.(4.114) leads to the following recursion relation for the coefficients

$$b_{k+1} = \frac{k+l+1-\lambda}{(k+1)(k+2l+2)} b_k \quad (4.116)$$

For

$$\lambda = p + l + 1 \quad (4.117)$$

the recursion breaks off and we obtain a polynomial of finite order. If λ is not an integer the polynomial does not stop and the corresponding series converges against a $w(\rho)$ that has an asymptotic behavior $w(\rho) \sim e^\rho$, which leads to a radial function not normalizable. Thus we have the condition

$$\lambda \equiv n, \text{ with } n \geq l + 1 \quad (4.118)$$

and in total

$$w(\rho) = L_{n-l-1}^{2l+1}(\rho) \quad (4.119)$$

with the Laguerre Polynomials

$$L_s^r(x) = \sum_{q=0}^s (-1)^q \frac{(s+r)!^2}{(s-q)! (r+q)!} \frac{x^q}{q!}. \quad (4.120)$$

The lowest order Laguerre Polynomials are summarized in Table 4.2 The radial wave function is then a Laguerre function

$$F_{n1}(\rho) = \rho^1 L_{n-l-1}^{2l+1}(\rho) e^{-\rho/2}, \quad (4.121)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_0^1(x) &= 1 \quad , \quad L_1^1(x) = 4 - 2x \quad , \quad L_2^1(x) = 18 - 18x + 3x^2 \quad , \\
L_3^1(x) &= 96 - 144x + 48x^2 - 4x^3 \quad , \quad L_0^2(x) = 2 \quad , \\
L_1^2(x) &= 18 - 6x \quad , \quad L_2^2(x) = 144 - 96 + 12x^2 \quad , \\
L_3^2(x) &= 6 \quad , \quad L_1^3(x) = 96 - 24x \quad , \\
L_0^4(x) &= 24 \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

Table 4.2: Lowest order Laguerre Polynomials

and they again form an orthogonal system of functions

$$\int_0^\infty F_{nl}(\rho) F_{n'l}(\rho) \rho^2 d\rho = \frac{2n [(n+l)!]^3}{(n-l-1)!} \delta_{nn'} \quad . \quad (4.122)$$

We now reverse the normalization of the radial coordinate and from Eqs.(4.109,4.110) and (4.113) we find

$$\rho = \frac{2r}{na_0} \quad (4.123)$$

with the Bohr radius

$$a_0 = \frac{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar^2}{e_0^2 m_0} \quad , \quad (4.124)$$

which we found already in the Bohr-Sommerfeld model, see section 3.4. The radial wave function is then

$$R_{n1}(r) = N_{nl} F_{nl}(\rho) \quad . \quad (4.125)$$

And the normalization factor is determined by

$$\int_0^\infty R_{nl}(r) R_{n'l}(r) r^2 dr = \delta_{n,n'} \quad , \quad (4.126)$$

which gives

$$N_{nl} = \frac{2}{n^2} \sqrt{\frac{(n-l-1)!}{[(n+l)!]^3}} a_0^{-3/2} \quad . \quad (4.127)$$

The radial wave functions of the hydrogen atom are listed in Table 4.3 and plots of the lowest order radial wave functions are presented in Figure 4.10

$$R_{10}(r) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{a_0^3}} e^{-r/a_0}, \quad R_{20}(r) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_0}\right) e^{-r/2a_0}$$

$$R_{21}(r) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \frac{r}{a_0} e^{-r/2a_0}$$

$$R_{30}(r) = \frac{1}{81\sqrt{3}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \left(27 - 18\frac{r}{a_0} + 2\frac{r^2}{a_0^2}\right) e^{-r/3a_0}$$

$$R_{31}(r) = \frac{4}{81\sqrt{6}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \left(6 - \frac{r}{a_0}\right) \frac{r}{a_0} e^{-r/3a_0}, \quad R_{32}(r) = \frac{4}{81\sqrt{30}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \frac{r^2}{a_0^2} e^{-r/3a_0}$$

Table 4.3: Lowest order radial wavefunctions $R_{n,l}(r)$.




Figure 4.10: Radial wavefunctions $R_{nl}(r)$ of the hydrogen atom.

4.5.3 Stationary States of Hydrogen

In total we found the stationary states, or the energy eigenfunctions, of the hydrogen atom. Those are

$$\psi_{nlm}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = R_{nl}(r) Y_l^m(\vartheta, \varphi). \quad (4.128)$$

The lower order wave functions are listed in Table 4.4 and plots of the resulting probability densities of the lowest order energy eigenstates of the hydrogen atom are shown in Figure 4.11

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{100}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{a_0^3}} e^{-r/a_0} \\ \psi_{200}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_0}\right) e^{-r/2a_0} \\ \psi_{210}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \frac{r}{a_0} e^{-r/2a_0} \cos \vartheta \\ \psi_{21\pm 1}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{8\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \frac{r}{a_0} e^{-r/2a_0} \sin \vartheta e^{\pm j\varphi}, \\ \psi_{300}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{81\sqrt{3\pi}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \left(27 - 18\frac{r}{a_0} + 2\frac{r^2}{a_0^2}\right) e^{-r/3a_0} \end{aligned}$$

Table 4.4: Lowest order hydrogen wavefunctions $\psi_{n,l,m}(r, \vartheta, \varphi)$.

4.5.4 Energy Spectrum of Hydrogen

We haven't yet discussed the energy eigenspectrum of hydrogen. From Eqs.(4.113) and (4.118) we find this to be

$$E = -\frac{m_0 e^4}{8 \varepsilon_0^2 h^2} \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad (4.129)$$

which also agrees with the energy spectrum of the Bohr-Sommerfeld model, see section 3.4. The lowest energy eigenstate is

$$E_1 = -\frac{m_0 e^4}{8 \varepsilon_0^2 h^2} = -13.7\text{eV}. \quad (4.130)$$

Image removed for copyright purposes.

Figure 4.11: Probability densities of the lowest order hydrogen wavefunctions. (The density is presented along the meridial plane).

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{310}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{81\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \left(6 - \frac{r}{a_0}\right) e^{-r/3a_0} \cos \vartheta \\ \psi_{31\pm 1}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{81\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \left(6 - \frac{r}{a_0}\right) \frac{r}{a_0} e^{-r/3a_0} \sin \vartheta e^{\pm j\varphi} \\ \psi_{320}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{81\sqrt{6\pi}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \frac{r^2}{a_0^2} e^{-r/3a_0} (3 \cos^2 \vartheta - 1) \\ \psi_{32\pm 1}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{81\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \frac{r^2}{a_0^2} e^{-r/3a_0} \sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta e^{\pm j\varphi}, \\ \psi_{32\pm 2}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{162\sqrt{3\pi}\sqrt{a_0^3}} \frac{r^2}{a_0^2} e^{-r/3a_0} \sin^2 \vartheta e^{\pm 2j\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

Table 4.5: Lowest order hydrogen wavefunctions $\psi_{n,l,m}(r, \vartheta, \varphi)$.continued.

The energy eigenvalues constitute a sequence that converges for large $n \rightarrow \infty$ towards 0, which corresponds to removing the electron from the atom. The energy to do so is $E_{\infty} - E_1 = 13.7\text{eV}$.

Figure 4.12 shows the energy levels and the term diagram of the hydrogen atom and how the Lyman, Balmer, Paschen, Brackett and Pfund series arise from it. Each wavefunction is uniquely described by the set of quantum numbers (n,l,m) . The first quantum number n specifies the energy eigenvalue E_n . As we will show in problem sets, the second quantum number l determines the eigenvalue of the squared angular momentum operator \vec{L}^2 with eigenvalues

$$\vec{L}^2 \psi_{nlm}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = l(l+1)\hbar^2 \psi_{nlm}(r, \vartheta, \varphi), \quad (4.131)$$

and the third quantum number m determines the eigenvalue of the operator describing the z -component of the angular momentum operator

$$L_z \psi_{nlm}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = m\hbar \psi_{nlm}(r, \vartheta, \varphi). \quad (4.132)$$

Image removed for copyright purposes.

Figure 4.12: Energy levels and term diagram for the hydrogen atom [3]

In fact, the description of the electron wave functions is not yet complete, because the electron has an internal degree of freedom, that is its spin. The spin is an internal angular momentum of the electron that carries a magnetic moment with it. The Stern-Gerlach experiment shows that this degree of freedom has two eigenstates, i.e. the spin can be oriented parallel or anti-parallel to the direction of an applied magnetic field. The values of the internal angular momentum with respect to the quantization axis defined by an external field, that shall be chosen along the z-axis, are $s = \pm\hbar/2$. Thus the energy eigenstates of an electron in hydrogen are uniquely characterized by four quantum numbers, n , l , m , and s . As Figure 4.12 shows, the energy

spectrum is degenerate, i.e. for $n > 1$, there exist to each energy eigenvalue several eigenfunctions, that are only uniquely characterized by the additional quantum numbers for angular momentum and spin. This is called degeneracy because there exist to a given energy eigenvalue several states.

4.6 Wave Mechanics

In this section, we generalize the concepts we have learned in the previous sections. The goal here is to give a broader description of quantum mechanics in terms of wave functions that are solutions to the Schroedinger Equation.

In classical mechanics the particle state is determined by its position and momentum and the state evolution is determined by Newton's law. In quantum mechanics the particle state is completely described by its wave function and the state evolution is determined by the Schroedinger equation.

The wave function as a complete description of the particle enables us to compute expected values of physical quantities of the particle when a corresponding measurement is performed. The measurement results are real numbers, like the energy, or position or momentum the particle has in this state. The physically measurable quantities are called observables. In classical mechanics these observables or real variables like x for position, p for momentum or functions thereof, like the energy, which is called the Hamiltonian $H(p, x) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x)$ in classical mechanics. For simplicity, we state the results only for one-dimensional systems but it is straight forward to extend these results to multi-dimensional systems. In quantum mechanics these observables become operators:

$$x \quad : \quad \text{position operator} \quad (4.133)$$

$$p \quad = \quad \frac{\hbar}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} : \text{momentum operator} \quad (4.134)$$

$$H(p, x) \quad = \quad -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) : \text{Hamiltonian operator} \quad (4.135)$$

If we carry out measurements of these observables, the result is a real number in each measurement and after many measurements on identical systems we can make a statistics of these measurements and the statistics is completely described by the moments of the observable.

4.6.1 Position Statistics

The statistical interpretation of quantum mechanics enables us to compute the expected value of the position operator or any of its moments according

to

$$\langle x \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^*(x, t) x \Psi(x, t) dx \quad (4.136)$$

$$\langle x^m \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^*(x, t) x^m \Psi(x, t) dx \quad (4.137)$$

The expectation value of functions of operators can always be evaluated by defining the operator by its Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(x) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^*(x, t) f(x) \Psi(x, t) dx & (4.138) \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(0) x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(0) \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^*(x, t) x^n \Psi(x, t) dx \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

4.6.2 Momentum Statistics

The momentum statistics is then

$$\langle p \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^*(x, t) \frac{\hbar}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Psi(x, t) dx \quad (4.139)$$

which can be written in terms of the wave function in the wave number space, which we define now for symmetry reasons as the Fourier transform of the wave function where the 2π is symmetrically distributed between Fourier and inverse Fourier transform

$$\phi(k, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(x, t) e^{-jkx} dx, \quad (4.140)$$

$$\Psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(k, t) e^{jkx} dk. \quad (4.141)$$

Using the differentiation theorem of the Fourier transform and the generalized Parseval relation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_1^*(k) \phi_2(k) dk = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_1^*(x) \Psi_2(x) dx \quad (4.142)$$

we find

$$\langle p \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^*(k, t) \hbar k \phi(k, t) dk \quad (4.143)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hbar k |\phi(k, t)|^2 dk. \quad (4.144)$$

The introduction of the symmetrically defined expectation value of an operator according Eq.(4.136), where x can stand for any operator can be carried out using the wave function in the position space or the wave number space using the corresponding representation of the wave function and of the operator.

4.6.3 Energy Statistics

The analysis for the measurement of position or moment carries over to every observable in an analogous way. Thus the expectation value of the energy is

$$\langle H(x, p) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^*(x, t) H(x, p) \Psi(x, t) dx \quad (4.145)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^*(x, t) \left(-\frac{1}{2m\hbar^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) \right) \Psi(x, t) dx \quad (4.146)$$

If the system is in an energy eigenstate, i.e.

$$\Psi(x, t) = \psi_n(x) e^{j\omega_n t} \quad (4.147)$$

with

$$H(x, p) \psi_n(x) = E_n \psi_n(x), \quad (4.148)$$

we obtain

$$\langle H(x, p) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^*(x, t) E_n \Psi(x, t) dx = E_n. \quad (4.149)$$

If the system is in a superposition of energy eigenstates

$$\Psi(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n(x) e^{j\omega_n t}. \quad (4.150)$$

we obtain

$$\langle H(x, p) \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n |c_n|^2. \quad (4.151)$$

4.6.4 Arbitrary Observable

There may also occur observables that are not simple to translate from the classical to the quantum domain, such as the product

$$p_{cl} \cdot x_{cl} = x_{cl} \cdot p_{cl} \quad (4.152)$$

Classically it does not matter which variable comes first. However, if we transfer this expression into quantum mechanics, the corresponding operator depends on the ordering, for example

$$p_{qm} \cdot x_{qm} \Psi(x, t) = \frac{\hbar}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x \Psi(x, t)) = \quad (4.153)$$

$$= \frac{\hbar}{j} \Psi(x, t) + \frac{\hbar}{j} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Psi(x, t), \quad (4.154)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\hbar}{j} + x_{qm} \cdot p_{qm} \right) \Psi(x, t). \quad (4.155)$$

The decision of which expression represents the correct quantum mechanical operator or eventually even a linear combination of the possible expressions, has to be based on a close examination of the actual measurement apparatus that would measure the corresponding observable. Finally, the expression also has to deliver results that are in agreement with experimental findings.

If we have an operator that is a function of x and p and we have decided on a unique expression in terms of a power expansion in x and p

$$g(x, p) \rightarrow g_{op}(x, \frac{\hbar}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \quad (4.156)$$

then we can compute its expected value either in the space domain or the wave number domain

$$\langle g_{op} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi^*(x, t) g_{op}(x, \frac{\hbar}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \Psi(x, t) dx \quad (4.157)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^*(k, t) g_{op}(j \frac{\partial}{\partial k}, \hbar k) \phi(k, t) dk \quad (4.158)$$

That is this operator can be represented either in real space or in k-space as $g_{op}(x, \frac{\hbar}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$ or $g_{op}(j \frac{\partial}{\partial k}, \hbar k)$.

4.6.5 Eigenfunctions and Eigenvalues of Operators

A differential operator has in general eigenfunctions and corresponding eigenvalues

$$g_{op}(x, \frac{\hbar}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \psi_n(x) = g_n \psi_n(x), \quad (4.159)$$

where g_n is the eigenvalue to the eigenfunction $\psi_n(x)$. An example for a differential operator is the Hamiltonian operator describing a particle moving in a potential

$$H_{op} = -\frac{1}{2m\hbar^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) \quad (4.160)$$

the corresponding eigenvalue equation is the stationary Schroedinger Equation

$$H_{op}\psi_n(x) = E_n\psi_n(x). \quad (4.161)$$

Thus the energy levels of a quantum system are the eigenvalues of the corresponding Hamiltonian operator.

The operator for which

$$\int \psi_n^*(x) (H_{op}\psi_m(x)) dx = \int (H_{op}\psi_n(x))^* \psi_m(x) dx, \quad (4.162)$$

for arbitrary wave functions ψ_n and ψ_m is called a hermitian operation. From this equation we find immediately that the expected values of a hermitian operator are real, which also has the consequence that the eigenvalues of hermitian operators are real. This is important since operators that represent observables must have real expected values and real eigenvalues since these are results of physical measurements, which are real. Thus observables are represented by hermitian operators. This is easy to prove. Let's assume we have found two eigenfunctions and the corresponding eigen values

$$g_{op}\psi_m = g_m\psi_m, \quad (4.163)$$

$$g_{op}\psi_n = g_n\psi_n. \quad (4.164)$$

Then

$$\int \psi_n^* g_{op}\psi_m dx = g_m \int \psi_n^* \psi_m. \quad (4.165)$$

By taking advantage of the fact that the operator is hermitian we can also write

$$\int \psi_n^* g_{op} \psi_m dx = \int (g_{op} \psi_n)^* \psi_m dx = g_n^* \int \psi_n^* \psi_m dx \quad (4.166)$$

The right sides of Eqs.(4.165) and (4.166) must be equal

$$(g_m - g_n^*) \int \psi_n^* \psi_m dx = 0 \quad (4.167)$$

If $n = m$ the integral can not vanish and Eq.(4.167) enforces $g_n = g_n^*$, i.e. the corresponding eigenvalues are real. If $n \neq m$ and the corresponding eigenvalues are not degenerate, i.e. different eigenfunctions have different eigenvalues, then Eq.(4.167) enforces that the eigenfunctions are orthogonal to each other

$$\int \psi_n^* \psi_m dx = 0, \text{ for } n \neq m. \quad (4.168)$$

Thus, if there is no degeneracy, the eigenfunctions of a hermitian operator are orthogonal to each other. If there is degeneracy, one can always choose an orthogonal set of eigenfunctions. If the eigenfunctions are properly normalized $\int \psi_n^* \psi_n dx = 1$, then the eigenfunctions build an orthonormal system

$$\int \psi_n^* \psi_m dx = \delta_{nm}, \quad (4.169)$$

and are complete, i.e. any arbitrary function $f(x)$ can be expressed as a superposition of the orthonormal basis functions $\psi_n(x)$

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n(x). \quad (4.170)$$

Thus we can freely change the basis in which we describe a certain physical problem. To account fully for this fact, we no longer wish to use wave mechanics, ie. express the wave function as a function in position space or in k -space. Instead we will utilize a vector in an abstract function space, i.e. a Hilbert space. In this way, we can formulate a physical problem, without using a fixed representation for the state of the system (wave function) and the corresponding operator representations. This description enables us to make full use of the mathematical structure of Hilbert spaces and the algebraic properties of operators.

Bibliography

- [1] Introduction to Quantum Mechanics, Griffiths, David J., Prentice Hall, 1995.
- [2] Quantum Mechanics I, C. Cohen-Tannoudji, B. Diu, F. Laloe, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1978.
- [3] The Physics of Atoms and Quanta, Haken and Wolf, Springer Verlag 1994.
- [4] Practical Quantum Mechanics, S. Flügge, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [5] Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Abramowitz and Stegun, Dover Publications, NY 1970.