

# Quantum Physics III (8.06) — Spring 2016

## Assignment 7

### Readings

- Density matrices and decoherence are not well covered in any 8.06 textbook, so the lecture notes are more thorough on this topic. However, some additional optional readings are:
  - Sakurai, Section 3.4
  - Cohen-Tannoudji, Complements E<sub>III</sub> and F<sub>IV</sub>.
- Review 8.05 notes on tensor products and entanglement.

#### 1. Pure states (10 points)

Let  $\rho$  be a finite-dimensional density matrix. Recall that  $\rho$  is said to be a pure state if  $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  for some  $|\psi\rangle$ . Prove that  $\text{tr}(\rho^2) = 1$  if and only if  $\rho$  is pure.

#### 2. “Mercedes” states (10 points)

Write down three spin-1/2 states  $|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle, |\psi_3\rangle$  such that if each occurs with probability 1/3, the resulting density operator is  $\frac{I}{2}$ .

#### 3. Gaussian phase error (10 points)

Consider an electron spin in the state

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{++} & \rho_{+-} \\ \rho_{-+} & \rho_{--} \end{pmatrix}$$

that experiences a magnetic field  $B\hat{z}$ . The Hamiltonian is then  $H = -\gamma B\hat{S}_z$  with  $\gamma = g_e e/2m_e$ . Suppose that the field strength  $B$  is drawn from a Gaussian distribution with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2$ ; i.e. the probability density of  $B$  is

$$f(B) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{B^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

Let  $\rho'$  be the state that results from applying this field for time  $t$  and averaging over the possible values of  $B$ . Compute  $\rho'$ .

## 4. Lasers vs light bulbs (20 points)

- (a) The state of a laser is often described by a coherent state

$$|\alpha\rangle = e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle,$$

where  $|n\rangle$  is the number state with  $n$  photons. However, in practice, we may know  $|\alpha|$  but will generally be ignorant of the phase of  $\alpha$ . We can model this by thinking of  $\alpha$  as a random variable of the form  $re^{i\phi}$  where  $r \geq 0$  is given and  $\phi$  is uniformly random on the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ . (In reality, even  $r$  might be incompletely known, but assume for the sake of this problem that we know  $r$  exactly.) Write down the resulting density operator  $\rho_{\text{laser}}$  in the number basis. What is  $\langle \hat{n} \rangle_{\text{laser}}$  as a function of  $r$ ?

- (b) By contrast, an incandescent light bulb produces light that is in a thermal state. Consider only light of a fixed angular frequency  $\omega$  (i.e. of frequency  $\nu = \omega/2\pi$ ). Write down the density operator for the thermal state  $\rho_{\text{thermal}}$  at temperature  $T$  in the number basis. Express this as a function of the dimensionless quantity  $\gamma \equiv \hbar\omega/k_B T$ . What is  $\langle \hat{n} \rangle_{\text{thermal}}$ ? Here the “thermal state” refers to the density matrix corresponding to the canonical distribution, in which a state  $x$  with energy  $E(x)$  has probability  $e^{-\beta E(x)}/Z$  where  $\beta = 1/k_B T$  and  $Z = \sum_{x'} e^{-\beta E(x')}$ .
- (c) By observing the average photon number  $\langle \hat{n} \rangle$  alone it is impossible to distinguish the state of a laser from that of a thermal state. Suppose we instead measure fluctuations in photon number, i.e.  $\Delta \hat{n}^2 \equiv (\hat{n} - \langle \hat{n} \rangle)^2$ . Compute  $\langle \Delta \hat{n}^2 \rangle_{\text{laser}}$  and  $\langle \Delta \hat{n}^2 \rangle_{\text{thermal}}$ . Using parts (a) and (b), express your answers in terms of  $\langle \hat{n} \rangle$ . Explain how this can be used to distinguish these two sources of light. [Hint: Using  $\langle \Delta \hat{n}^2 \rangle = \langle \hat{n}^2 \rangle - \langle \hat{n} \rangle^2$  may simplify your calculation.]

5. **Bloch equation (20 points)** This problem describes a spin-1/2 particle in a magnetic field undergoing thermal relaxation and dephasing noise. Given positive constants  $\gamma, B, \beta, T_1, T_2$ , let  $H = -\gamma B S_z$  and  $\rho_{\text{th}} = e^{-\beta H}/\text{tr}[e^{-\beta H}]$ . Assume that the state of the system evolves according to

$$\dot{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \rho] - \frac{1}{T_1} (\rho - \rho_{\text{th}}) - \frac{1}{T_2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho_{+-} \\ \rho_{-+} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

If  $\rho = \frac{I + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{2}$  (with  $|\vec{a}| \leq 1$ ) then show that (1) can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \vec{a}}{\partial t} = M \vec{a} + \vec{b}, \quad (2)$$

with  $M$  a  $3 \times 3$  matrix and  $\vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Find  $M, \vec{b}$ . Solve this differential equation. Assuming that  $T_1 \gg T_2 \gg 1/\gamma B$ , briefly qualitatively explain the salient features of your solution, such as: Does it reach a steady state? What path does it take to get there? etc.

6. **Spontaneous emission (30 points)** Model an atom as a two-level system with ground state  $|g\rangle$  and excited state  $|e\rangle$ . Suppose the atom interacts with a photon field (i.e. a harmonic oscillator) via the Hamiltonian

$$H = \hbar\Omega(|g\rangle\langle e| \otimes \hat{a}^\dagger + |e\rangle\langle g| \otimes \hat{a}). \quad (3)$$

(For a justification see problem 3 of pset 5. But for the purposes of this problem we will take (3) to be an assumption.) This problem will involve the following decoherence process:

- (i) Add a photon field in state  $|0\rangle\langle 0|$ ; i.e. map the state  $\rho$  to  $\rho \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|$ .
  - (ii) Apply the Hamiltonian in (3) for time  $\tau$ .
  - (iii) Discard the photon state.
- (a) Suppose we apply the above decoherence process once. If the atom starts with density operator  $\rho$ , then explain why this leaves the atom with density operator

$$\rho' = \text{tr}_{\text{photon}} \left[ e^{-\frac{iH\tau}{\hbar}} (\rho \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|) e^{\frac{iH\tau}{\hbar}} \right].$$

Compute  $\rho'$  to order  $O(\tau^2)$  (i.e. neglecting  $\tau^3$  and higher terms).

- (b) Now imagine that we repeat the above three steps every  $\tau$  seconds. We would like to approximate this process with a continuous-time evolution by taking  $\tau \rightarrow 0$ . In order to obtain a nontrivial answer, we will make  $\Omega$  change with  $\tau$ . Specifically suppose we take  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  while holding  $\delta \equiv \Omega^2\tau$  fixed. Derive a differential equation for  $\rho$  of the form

$$\dot{\rho} = L[\rho]$$

where  $L[\rho]$  is a matrix-valued function of  $\rho$  that is not always zero. Equivalently,

$$\rho(t + \tau) = \rho(t) + L[\rho(t)]\tau + O(\tau^2),$$

where  $L[\cdot]$  may be a function of  $\delta$  but not (directly)  $\tau$ . What is the steady-state solution of this differential equation? Is it unique?

[Note: The assumption that  $\Omega^2 \sim 1/\tau$  is a crude approximation to what actually happens. In part (a), you found that the decoherence from coupling to a single photon mode was proportional to  $\tau^2$ . However, the number of modes that couple to the atom at time  $\tau$  scales as  $1/\tau$ . Summing over these yields a change in the state proportional to  $\tau$ . Taking  $\Omega^2 \sim 1/\tau$  is a simpler, but less justified, way of getting to the same conclusion.]

- (c) Now modify the original process so that instead of adding a photon field in state  $|0\rangle\langle 0|$  in the step (i), we add a thermal state with inverse temperature  $\beta$ . Assume here that the photons have angular frequency  $\omega$ . Repeat the analysis in parts (a) and (b) of this problem to find the resulting differential equation for  $\rho$ . What is the equilibrium state for an atom undergoing this process?

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

8.06 Quantum Physics III  
Spring 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.