Lecture (3)

Today:

- Principle of Least Action
- Euler-Lagrange Equations

For tomorrow

- 1. read LL 1-5 again (really!)
- 2. do pset problems 7-9

1 Principle of Least Action (PLA)

Principle of Least Action (PLA): for some $L(q, \dot{q}, t)$, the motion of a system minimizes $S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L \, dt$, where S = "action" for a given $q(t_1)$ and $q(t_2)$

S is the action, and L is the Lagrangian. In the most general case L need not be T - U. But in most interesting cases, L = T - U.

Let's look at some simple examples.

Free Particle in 1D

$$\Rightarrow U = 0 \Rightarrow L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2$$



The PLA is not like Newtonian thinking. You assume that you KNOW THE END POINTS, and ask what happened in between. With F = ma, you assume you know the initial position AND VELOCITY, and then move forward in time.

For the PLA any *trial path* is valid. The one with minimal S is the *true path*. For this example, I'll consider parabolic paths.

Constant Velocity Path

$$t_1 = 0, \ q(t_1) = q_1 = 0$$

$$q(t) = at + bt^2, \ q(t_2) = q_2 = at_2 + bt_2^2$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \frac{q_2}{t_2} - bt_2 = v_2 - bt_2$$

where $v_2 = \frac{q_2}{t_2}$

This leaves us b as a free parameter which we can adjust to find the path with minimum action.

$$S = \int_0^{t_2} \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 dt , \ \dot{q} = a + 2bt$$

Mathematica! $\Rightarrow S = \frac{1}{2}mt_2(v_2^2 + \frac{b^2t_2^2}{3})$
minimum at $b = 0$

So, free particles move at constant velocity. Newton's 1st Law! Inertia! (not really a surprise, I guess...)

Let's try again, but this time with a simple potential.

Simple Potential

$$U = mgq \Rightarrow L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 - mgq$$

$$\Rightarrow S = m\int_0^{t_2} \frac{1}{2}(a+2bt)^2 - g(at+bt^2)dt$$

$$\Rightarrow S = \frac{1}{2}mt_2(v_2(v_2 - gt_2) + \frac{1}{3}t_2^2(b^2 + bg))$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial b} = 0 \Rightarrow 2b + g = 0 \Rightarrow b = -\frac{g}{2}$$

So we found the parabolic path with minimum action to be the one you would expect from 8.01.

$$a = v_2 - bt_2 = v_2 + \frac{gt_2}{2} = \dot{q}(t=0) = v_0$$
$$q(t) = v_0 t - \frac{1}{2}gt^2$$

The initial velocity is just what a projectile needs to fly a distance q_2 in time t_2 with acceleration g.

 \Rightarrow Projectile motion results from PLA!

Of course the PLA does't say anything about parabolic paths. Any trial path will do! How do you know the *true path*?

The trick is to assume you know the path and then show you are correct by trying to adjust it. (This comes from from the Calculus of Variations, see Marion & Thornton chapter 5 for more info.)

$$S' = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q', \dot{q}', t) dt$$

with $\underline{q'(t)}_{\text{trial path}} = \underline{q(t)}_{\text{true path}} + \underline{\eta(t)}_{\text{deviation}}, \Rightarrow \dot{q}' = \dot{q} + \dot{\eta}$
 $q'(t_1) = q(t_1) \Rightarrow \eta(t_1) = 0$
 $q'(t_2) = q(t_2) \Rightarrow \eta(t_2) = 0$

PLA says $S' \approx S$ for small η (first order)

$$\begin{split} S' - S &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \underbrace{L(q', \dot{q}', t) - L(q, \dot{q}, t)}_{\delta L} dt \\ L(q', \dot{q}', t) &\approx L(q, \dot{q}, t) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \eta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \dot{\eta} \\ \Rightarrow S' - S &\approx \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \eta + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \dot{\eta} \right) dt \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \eta \right) &= \eta \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \dot{\eta} \\ \Rightarrow S' - S &\approx \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \eta \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) \right) dt + \underbrace{\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \eta \right) dt}_{\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \eta |_{t_1}^{t_2} = 0} \\ \text{since } \eta(t_1) &= \eta(t_2) = 0 \end{split}$$

We are looking for a true path with S' - S = 0 for any small deviation $\eta(t)$.

$$S' - S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \eta \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) \right) dt = 0$$

for any η
$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) = 0$$
, Euler-Lagrange

And thus we see that the true path must be a solution to the E-L equation! (It is not an accident that this is also the generalization that worked in yesterday's lecture.)

The PLA gives us N second order ODEs for a system with N DOFs. To find the path of our system through our generalized coordinate space, we should provide 2N initial conditions, and solve N 2nd order ODEs. (Mostly, we will keep to $N \in \{1,2,3\}$).

A note about notation: Generally I will write q without the subscript i (as noted yesterday). You can think of this as the 1D case. If you want the ND case, just add i to all of the q's and \dot{q} 's. If the expression does not have i as a free index, sum over it. For example, the Euler Lagrange Equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i}$$

or $T = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 \rightarrow T = \frac{1}{2}m\sum \dot{q}_i^2$
or $\frac{d}{dt}f(q,t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q}\dot{q} \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i}\dot{q}_i$

(Similar to Einstein summation notation). The same is true for multiple particles:

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 \Rightarrow T = \frac{1}{2}\sum_n m_n \sum_{i_n} \dot{q}_{i_n}^2$$

I will try to avoid the index jungle as much as possible by sticking to 1 particle in 1D when writing equations.

NB:

$$\vec{q} \equiv \{q_i \forall i\} \equiv q$$

e.g. $\vec{r} = \{x, y, z\}$ or $\{r, \phi, \theta\}$

2 Generalized Forces and Momenta

Briefly, here is how we get F = ma from E-L

$$if L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^{2} - U(q)$$

$$F_{i} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{i}} = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial q_{i}} \text{ since } T \text{ not a function of } q$$

$$\dot{p}_{i} \equiv \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i}}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}(m\dot{q}_{i}) \text{ since } U \text{ not a function of } \dot{q}$$

$$E-L \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) \Rightarrow \vec{F} = \dot{\vec{p}} \text{ (i.e. Newton)}$$

So, while F = ma gets tricky when these conditions are not met, E-L just works; the PLA just got us a very general form of Newton's second law. As such, we will need to give names to the generalizations are of force and momentum that we are used to. They are:

 $F_i \equiv$ generalized force for coordinate q_i $p_i \equiv$ generalized momentum for velocity $\dot{q_i}$

Note that the units associated with these generalized forces and momenta may not be what you expect.

Units

$$\begin{bmatrix} U \end{bmatrix} = \text{ energy } = J = \frac{\text{kg m}^2}{\text{s}^2}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\text{energy}}{[q_i]} \text{ e.g. } \frac{\text{kg m}}{\text{s}^2} \text{ if } [q_i] = \text{ meters}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\text{energy} \times \text{time}}{[q_i]} \text{ e.g. } \frac{\text{kg m}}{\text{s}} \text{ if } [q_i] = \text{ meters}$$

However, since the units of q_i could be anything (e.q. unitless for angles in spherical coordinates) the units of F_i and p_i may be unusual.

3 Math Review

Before we go on to more physics, let's review our mathematical tools.

Chain Rule

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}f(a,b) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial a}\right) + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}b}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial b}\right)$$

Total Derivative

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}f(q,\dot{q},t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \dot{q}\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} + \ddot{q}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \dot{q}}$$

Product Rule

$$b\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}(ab) - a\frac{\mathrm{d}b}{\mathrm{d}x}$$

Integration by Parts

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} b \, \frac{\mathrm{d}a}{\mathrm{d}x} \, dx = ab|_{x_1}^{x_2} - \int_{x_1}^{x_2} a \, \frac{\mathrm{d}b}{\mathrm{d}x} \, dx$$

We will have q and \dot{q} as the only *implicit* functions of time (i.e. we don't know q and \dot{q} until we solve the equations of motion). We will also generally only have total TIME derivatives. All other are "easy" partial derivatives like $\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$ or $\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}}$.

NB: $\dot{q} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}q(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}q(t)$

4 Lagrangian Workflow

The general workflow for solving problems with Lagrangian Mechanics is:

Lagrangian Workflow:

- 1. pick generalized coordinates
- 2. determine $L(q, \dot{q}, t)$
- 3. compute F_i and \dot{p}_i to find EoM

Finding $L(q, \dot{q}, t)$ requires $T(q, \dot{q})$ and U(q, t)Usually U(q, t) is given. What about $T(q, \dot{q})$?

The Lagrangian formalism is very powerful in that we can pick any coordinate we like, but there is a price to pay: the kinetic energy is complicated.

Kinetic Energy

 $T = \frac{1}{2}m \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \dot{q}_j \dot{q}_k, \text{ for each particle}$ where $a_{jk} = \sum_i \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial q_k}, \ \vec{r} = \{x, y, z\}.$

Note that we rely on Cartesian coordinates \vec{r} to find the a_{jk} coefficients (see also Marion & Thornton chapter 6.8, but beware of notational differences).

$$if \vec{q} = \{x, y, z\}$$

$$a_{xx} = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right)^2 = 1$$

$$a_{xy} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x}\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\frac{\partial y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2\right)$$

In Cartesian coordinates this is nothing special, but in others it is tricky. See LL 4.4-4.6.

Let's work through an example to show how all of this machinery works. I will just do 2D projectile motion (e.g. *mgh* potential), but I will make the unforgivable mistake of using polar coordinates. This will demonstrate the full process in detail, and the value of picking the right coordinates!



2D Projectile Motion

$$\vec{q} = \{r, \phi\}$$

$$x = r \cos \phi, \ y = r \sin \phi$$

$$U = mgy = mgr \sin \phi$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial r} = \cos \phi, \ \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} = \sin \phi$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial \phi} = -r \sin \phi, \ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \phi} = r \cos \phi$$

In Cartesian Coordinates

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial r}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial r}\right)^{2}\right)\dot{r}^{2} + 2\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial r}\frac{\partial x}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial r}\frac{\partial y}{\partial \phi}\right)\dot{r}\dot{\phi} + \left(\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial \phi}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial \phi}\right)^{2}\right)\dot{\phi}^{2}\right)$$
$$T = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\left(\cos^{2}\phi + \sin^{2}\phi\right)\dot{r}^{2} + 2\left(-r\cos\phi\sin\phi + r\sin\phi\cos\phi\right)\dot{r}\dot{\phi} + \left(r^{2}\sin^{2}\phi + r^{2}\cos^{2}\phi\right)\dot{\phi}^{2}\right)$$
$$T = \frac{1}{2}m(\dot{r}^{2} + r^{2}\dot{\phi}^{2})$$

now we have kinetic energy in 2D polar coordinates

$$L = T - U$$

= $\frac{1}{2}(\dot{r}^2 + r^2\dot{\phi}^2) - mgr\sin\phi$

from there we find our generalized forces

"Forces"

$$F_r = \frac{\partial L}{\partial r} = r\dot{\phi}^2 - mg\sin\phi \left[\frac{\text{kg m}}{\text{s}^2}\right] \text{ force}$$

$$F_{\phi} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} = -mgr\cos\phi \left[\frac{\text{kg m}^2}{\text{s}^2}\right] \text{ torque}$$

and generalized momenta

"Momenta"

$$p_r = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}} = m\dot{r} \left[\frac{\text{kg m}}{\text{s}}\right] \text{ mass x velocity}$$

$$p_{\phi} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = mr^2 \dot{\phi} \left[\frac{\text{kg m}^2}{\text{s}}\right] \text{ moment of inertia x angular velocity}$$

finally, the EoM.

Equations of Motion

$$F_r = \dot{p}_r \Rightarrow r\dot{\phi}^2 - mg\sin\phi = m\ddot{r}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ddot{r} + g\sin\phi = 0$$

$$F_\phi = \dot{p}_\phi \Rightarrow -mgr\cos\phi = m\frac{d}{dt}$$

$$\Rightarrow r^2\ddot{\phi} + 2r\dot{r}\dot{\phi} + gr\cos\phi = 0$$

We'll stop here with this example. Clearly, picking coordinates wisely is critical!

Note: you can use $T = \frac{1}{2}m(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2)$ and compute $\dot{x}(q, \dot{q})$, ...

In our example, this would be

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2\right) = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\left(\frac{d}{dt}(r\cos\phi)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{d}{dt}(r\sin\phi)\right)^2\right)$$

Here is the proof:

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m(\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2 + \dot{z}^2)$$
$$x = x(q) \Rightarrow \dot{x} = \sum \frac{\partial x}{\partial q_i}\dot{q}_i$$
$$\Rightarrow \dot{x}^2 = \left(\sum_j \frac{\partial x}{\partial q_j}\dot{q}_j\right) \times \left(\sum_k \frac{\partial x}{\partial q_k}\dot{q}_k\right)$$
$$= \sum_{jk} \frac{\partial x}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial x}{\partial q_k} \dot{q}_j \dot{q}_k$$
now sum over x, y, z to get all terms with $\dot{q}_j \dot{q}_k$
$$\Rightarrow T = \frac{1}{2}m \sum_{jk} a_{jk} \dot{q}_j \dot{q}_k$$
 $a_{jk} = \sum_{i \in x, y, z} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial r_i}{\partial q_k}$

When do we get terms with $a_{jk} \neq 0$ for $j \neq k$? ("off-diagonal" terms)



$$q_1 = x, q_2 = x + y$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{12} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial q_1} \frac{\partial x}{\partial q_2} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial q_1} \frac{\partial y}{\partial q_2} = 1 + 0 = 1$$

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

8.223 Classical Mechanics II January IAP 2017

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: https://ocw.mit.edu/terms.