

Interaction effects: Implicit and Explicit memory tests

- Textbook: chapter 12: Factorial Designs
- <u>Background</u>:
- Explicit memory measures are those that require a person to consciously recollect the materials that she/he studied during an earlier part of the experiment
- <u>Implicit memory test</u>: tasks that can be performed without specific reference to the previous experiences in the lab.

Recall Tasks



Recognition Tasks

Figure removed due to copyright restriction.



Word completion study

The facilitation to supply the missing information is called **priming** Fragments of words can be from new words or words previously seen. Subjects are not told that some words might have been in the initial list (this is an implicit memory test).
 Priming for fragments completion does not decrease (much) over time (hours vs. days)
 But performance in a recognition task (explicit test) does decrease over time





Amnesia Retrograde amnesia Impairment of memories before onset (lost of memory for events prior to whatever trauma) Anterograde amnesia Impairment of memories after onset (difficulty in remembering events after the trauma) Patient H.M. Movie: Memento

Figure removed due to copyright restriction.







Main effect of types of subjects: the control group perform better than the amnesics on both the explicit and implicit tests.

















Memory and Normal subjects

- Weldon and Roediger (1987) studied the picture superiority effect, the finding that pictures are remembered better than words.
- Theory: this effect of pictures has typically only been studied with explicit memory test (recall and recognition).
- Here the authors wanted to extend the study to implicit tests
- Hypothesis: The picture superiority effect would not be found on implicit tests

Memory and Normal subjects

- College students studied along series of pictures and of words in anticipation of a later memory test. There were three sets of items: subjects studies one set as <u>pictures</u> and one set as <u>words</u> and <u>did not study the third set</u>.
- The items sets were counterbalanced across subjects, so that if subjects in one group saw a picture of an elephant, those in another group saw the word elephant, and those in a third group did not see the item in neither form.
- After studying the words and pictures, subjects took either <u>an</u> explicit free recall test or <u>an implicit word fragment completion test</u>. In the free recall test, subjects were given a blank sheet of paper and asked to recall the names of the pictures and words as well as possible.
- In the word fragment completion test, subjects were given a series of fragmented words (e.g. _l_p_a_t) and told to complete each one with a word. Here the measure of interest was priming the advantage in completing a fragment when its prior presentation was either a picture or a word, relative to the case when neither form has been studied.





Multifactor design

- Multi-factor experiments are preferable to single factor experiments because they help answering the question of <u>generality</u> (under which conditions the effects observed are true?)
- When the nature of an interaction between two variables changes depending on the level of a <u>third variable</u>, the interaction is referred to as a <u>higher-order interaction</u>

Higher Order Interaction Memory: Factors

- Factor 1: Test type (implicit vs. explicit)
- <u>Factor 2</u>: memory deficit (control population vs. amnesic patient)
- Factor 3: level of encoding
- 1- <u>Low</u>: count the number of vowels in each word
- 2- High: form a sentence using each word









Issue with interaction interpretation

 Problem: performance at the 0 second retention interval is very nearly perfect in all conditions.
 When performance is perfect (ceiling effect) it is impossible to tell whether there are any real differences among conditions because of the scale attenuation.



<u>General Rule about interaction:</u> Extreme caution should be used in interpreting interactions where performance on the dependent variable is at either the floor or the ceiling at some level of one of the independent variable



Figure by MIT OpenCourseWare





Statistics analysis for factor design

- When an experiment has:
- a single factor with 3 or more levels
- 2 or more factors
- Statistical test: Analysis of Variance
- The heart of the ANOVA is a comparison of variance estimates between your conditions (groups)

ANOVA

- In the ANOVA, two independent estimates of variance are obtained:
- (1) Between groups variance: based on the variability between the different experimental groups how much the means of the different group differ from one another. Actually, the variance is computed as to how much the individual group means differ from the overall mean of all scores in the experiment.
- (2) Within groups variance: give an estimate of how much the participants in a group differ from one another (or the mean of the group)

ANOVA

- <u>Basic idea</u>: are the scores of the different groups or conditions reliable different from each other?
- <u>Null hypothesis:</u> all the participants in the various conditions are drawn from the same population: the experimental variable has no effect.
- Consequence of the null hypothesis on the between and within variance?

ANOVA

- Basic idea: are the scores of the different groups or conditions reliable different from each other?
- <u>Null hypothesis:</u> all the participants in the various conditions are drawn from the same population: the experimental variable has no effect.
- <u>Consequence of the null hypothesis</u>: the between group variance should be the same as the between group variance

ANOVA

- To reject the null hypothesis, the means of the different groups must vary from one another more than the scores vary within the groups
- The greater the variance (differences) between the groups of the experiment, the more likely the independent variable is to have had an effect, especially if the within group variance is low
- The *F* test is simply a ratio of the between groups variance estimate to the within-groups variance estimate

Between-groups variance

Within-groups variance









MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

9.63 Laboratory in Visual Cognition Fall 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.