

NASA TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVELS

From (1) [NASA Procedural Requirements 7120.8, Appendix J](#) and (2) [NASA Procedural Requirements 7123.1A, Table G.19](#)

| TRL | Definition | Hardware Description | Software Description | Exit Criteria |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1. Basic principles observed and reported. | Lowest level of technology readiness. Scientific research begins to be translated into applied research and development. Examples might include paper studies of a technology's basic properties. | Scientific knowledge generated underpinning hardware technology concepts/applications. | Scientific knowledge generated underpinning basic properties of software architecture and mathematical formulation. | Peer reviewed publication of research underlying the proposed concept/application. |
| 2. Technology concept and/or application formulated. | Invention begins. Once basic principles are observed, practical applications can be invented. The application is speculative, and there is no proof or detailed analysis to support the assumption. Examples are still limited to paper studies. | Invention begins, practical application is identified but is speculative, no experimental proof or detailed analysis is available to support the conjecture. | Practical application is identified but is speculative, no experimental proof or detailed analysis is available to support the conjecture. Basic properties of algorithms, representations and concepts defined. Basic principles coded. Experiments performed with synthetic data. | Documented description of the application/concept that addresses feasibility and benefit. |
| 3. Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept. | At this step in the maturation process, active research and development (R&D) is initiated. This must include both analytical studies to set the technology into an appropriate context and laboratory-based studies to physically validate that the analytical predictions are correct. These studies and experiments should constitute "proof-of-concept" validation of the applications/concepts formulated at TRL 2. | Analytical studies place the technology in an appropriate context and laboratory demonstrations, modeling and simulation validate analytical prediction. | Development of limited functionality to validate critical properties and predictions using non-integrated software components. | Documented analytical/experimental results validating predictions of key parameters. |
| 4. Component and/or breadboard validation in laboratory environment. | Following successful "proof-of-concept" work, basic technological elements must be integrated to establish that the pieces will work together to achieve concept-enabling levels of performance for a component and/or breadboard. This validation must be devised to support the concept that was formulated earlier and should also be consistent with the requirements of potential system applications. The validation is relatively "low-fidelity" compared to the eventual system: it could be composed of ad hoc discrete components in a laboratory. | A low fidelity system/component breadboard is built and operated to demonstrate basic functionality and critical test environments, and associated performance predictions are defined relative to the final operating environment. | Key, functionally critical, software components are integrated, and functionally validated, to establish interoperability and begin architecture development. Relevant Environments defined and performance in this environment predicted. | Documented test performance demonstrating agreement with analytical predictions. Documented definition of relevant environment. |

Table is in public domain courtesy of [NASA](#).

| TRL | Definition | Hardware Description | Software Description | Exit Criteria |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| 5. Component and/or breadboard validation in relevant environment. | At this level, the fidelity of the component and/or breadboard being tested has to increase significantly. The basic technological elements must be integrated with reasonably realistic supporting elements so that the total applications (component-level, subsystem-level, or system-level) can be tested in a “simulated” or somewhat realistic environment. | A medium fidelity system/ component brassboard is built and operated to demonstrate overall performance in a simulated operational environment with realistic support elements that demonstrates overall performance in critical areas. Performance predictions are made for subsequent development phases. | End-to-end software elements implemented and interfaced with existing systems/simulations conforming to target environment. End-to-end software system, tested in relevant environment, meeting predicted performance. Operational environment performance predicted. Prototype implementations developed. | Documented test performance demonstrating agreement with analytical predictions. Documented definition of scaling requirements. |
| 6. System/ subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment. | A major step in the level of fidelity of the technology demonstration follows the completion of TRL 5. At TRL 6, a representative model or prototype system or system, which would go well beyond ad hoc, “patch-cord,” or discrete component level breadboarding, would be tested in a relevant environment. At this level, if the only relevant environment is the environment of space, then the model or prototype must be demonstrated in space. | A high fidelity system/component prototype that adequately addresses all critical scaling issues is built and operated in a relevant environment to demonstrate operations under critical environmental conditions. | Prototype implementations of the software demonstrated on full-scale realistic problems. Partially integrate with existing hardware/ software systems. Limited documentation available. Engineering feasibility fully demonstrated. | Documented test performance demonstrating agreement with analytical predictions. |
| 7. System prototype demonstration in an operational environment. | Prototype near or at planned operational system. TRL 7 is a significant step beyond TRL 6, requiring an actual system prototype demonstration in a space environment. The prototype should be near or at the scale of the planned operational system, and the demonstration must take place in space. Examples include testing the prototype in a test bed. | A high fidelity engineering unit that adequately addresses all critical scaling issues is built and operated in a relevant environment to demonstrate performance in the actual operational environment and platform (ground, airborne, or space). | Prototype software exists having all key functionality available for demonstration and test. Well integrated with operational hardware/ software systems demonstrating operational feasibility. Most software bugs removed. Limited documentation available. | Documented test performance demonstrating agreement with analytical predictions. |
| 8. Actual system competed and “flight qualified” through test and demonstration. | Technology has been proven to work in its final form and under expected conditions. In almost all cases, this level is the end of true system development for most technology elements. This might include integration of new technology into an existing system. | The final product in its final configuration is successfully demonstrated through test and analysis for its intended operational environment and platform (ground, airborne, or space). | All software has been thoroughly debugged and fully integrated with all operational hardware and software systems. All user documentation, training documentation, and maintenance documentation completed. All functionality successfully demonstrated in simulated operational scenarios. Verification and Validation (V&V) completed. | Documented test performance verifying analytical predictions. |
| 9. Actual system flight proven through successful mission operations | Actual application of the technology in its final form and under mission conditions, such as those encountered in operational test and evaluation. In almost all cases, this is the end of the last “bug fixing” aspects of true system development. This TRL does not include planned product improvement of ongoing or reusable systems. | The final product is successfully operated in an actual mission. | All software has been thoroughly debugged and fully integrated with all operational hardware/ software systems. All documentation has been completed. Sustaining software engineering support is in place. System has been successfully operated in the operational environment. | Documented mission operational results. |

MIT OpenCourseWare
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

16.522 Space Propulsion
Spring 2015

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.