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- Introduction to Manufacturing
  - Parts Fabrication and Assembly
  - Metrics: Quality, Rate, Cost, Flexibility
  - Water Jet Cutting

- OMax Introduction
  - Computer Aided (Assisted) Manufacturing
  - Converting a drawing to CNC Routing Instructions
Course Concept

Phase 1

Problem statement → Sketch by hand → CAD → CAE → Rapid Prototyping / Validation

Manufacturing / Test

Phase 2

Design Optimization → Optimum solution → Rapid Prototyping / Validation

Manufacturing / Test

today
Course Flow Diagram (2007)

Learning/Review
- Design Intro / Sketch
- CAD Introduction
- FEM/Solid Mechanics
- Avionics Prototyping
- CAM Manufacturing
- Fabrication, Assembly, Testing

Problem statement
- Hand sketching
- Initial CAD design
- FEM analysis
- Optimization
- Revise CAD design
- Parts Fabrication
- Assembly
- Test

Deliverables
- (A) Requirements and Interface Document
- (B) Hand Sketch
- (C) Solidworks CAD Model, Performance Analysis
- (D) Manufacturing and Test Report with Cost Estimate
- (E) CDR Package

+ Guest Lectures
Introduction to Manufacturing

Manufacturing is the *physical realization* of the previously designed parts

Metrics to assess the “performance” of mfg

- Quality
  - does it meet specifications?

- Rate
  - how many units can we produce per unit time?

- Cost
  - What is the cost per unit?
  - What is the investment cost in machinery & tooling?

- Flexibility
  - what else can be make with our equipment?
  - How long does it take to reconfigure the plant?
Life Cycle: Conceive, Design, Implement

The Environment: technological, economic, political, social, nature
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Simple Manufacturing Plant

- Raw Materials
- Energy
- Supplied Parts
- Labor
- Money

Warehouse

PF1 → QA1 → Supplier Buffer

... → ... → ... → QAn → Parts Buffer

Assembly

Final Inspection

PF = Parts Fabrication (focus of this lecture)

QA = Quality Assurance

Scrap

Emissions

Sales

Finished Goods
Raw Materials

- Material Selection
  - Strength
  - Density
  - Cost
  - ...

- Form
  - Sheet
  - Rods, ...

Parts Manufacturing

- example: deck components
  - Ribbed-bulkheads
  - Approximate dimensions
    - 250mm x 350mm x 30mm
    - Wall thickness = 2.54mm

- Fundamental Parts Fabrication Techniques
  - Machining – e.g. milling, laser and waterjet cutting ...
  - Forming – e.g. deep drawing, forging, stamping
  - Casting - fill die with liquid material, let cool
  - Injection Molding - mainly polymers
  - Layup – e.g. Pre-preg composite manufacturing
  - Sintering - form parts starting from metal powder
Tolerance -- The total amount by which a specified dimension is *permitted to vary* (ANSI Y14.5M)

Every component $p(y)$ within spec adds to the yield ($Y$)
### Process Capability Indices

- **Process Capability Index**
  
  $$C_p \equiv \frac{(U - L)}{3\sigma}$$

- **Bias factor**
  
  $$k = \frac{\mu - \frac{U + L}{2}}{\frac{(U - L)}{2}}$$

- **Performance Index**
  
  $$C_{pk} \equiv C_p (1 - k)$$
Rate: Manufacturing

- Typically: # of units/hour
- The more parts we make (of the same kind), the lower the cost/unit
  - Learning Curve effects
    - Higher Speed - Human learning
    - Reduced setup time
    - Fewer Mistakes (= less scrap = higher yield)
- Bulk quantity discounts (= economies of scale)
  - Better negotiating position with suppliers of raw materials and parts
Learning Curve Equation

- Credited to T.P. Wright [1936]
- Model cost reduction between first production unit and subsequent units
  - Model the total production cost of \( N \) units

\[ C_{\text{total}}(N) = TFU \cdot N^B \]

\[ B \equiv 1 - \frac{\ln(100\%/S)}{\ln 2} \]

- \( TFU \) = Theoretical first unit cost
- \( S \) = learning curve slope in %
  --> percentage reduction in cumulative average cost, each time the number of production units is doubled

Recommended:

- \( 2 < N < 10 \) \( S = 95\% \)
- \( 10 < N < 50 \) \( S = 90\% \)
- \( N > 50 \) \( S = 85\% \)
Cost: Driving Factors

Cost/Unit [$]

- Depends on
  - Manufacturing process chosen
  - Number of Parts made
  - Skill and Experience of worker(s), Salary
  - Quality of Raw Materials
  - Reliability of Equipment
  - Energy Costs
  - Land/Facility Cost
  - Tolerance Level (Quality)
Process Selection

\[
C_{\text{tot}}(N) = C_{\text{fixed}} + C_{\text{var}} \cdot N
\]

- Machine
- Tools
- Training
- Time/part
- Material
- Energy

N - number of parts produced
Waterjet - Brief history

- Industrial uses of ultra-high pressure waterjets began in the early 1970s. Pressures: 40,000 ~ 60,000 psi Nozzle diameter: 0.005"

- Special production line machines were developed to solve manufacturing problems related to materials that had been previously been cut with knives or mechanical cutters.

- Examples of early applications
  Cardboard
  Shapes from foam rubber
  Soft gasket material
- In the early 1990s, John Olsen (pioneer of the waterjet cutting industry) explored the concept of abrasive jet cutting.

- The new system equipped with a computerized control system that eliminated the need for operator expertise and trial-and-error programming.

- Olsen teamed up with Alex Slocum (MIT)
  Used cutting test results and a theoretical cutting model by Rhode Island University. Developed a unique abrasive waterjet cutter.
Pumps

Intensifier Pump

- Early ultra-high pressure cutting systems used hydraulic intensifier pumps.
- At that time, the intensifier pump was the only pump for high pressure.
- Engine or electric motor drives the pump.

Pressure: ~ 60,000 psi
Crankshaft pump
- Use mechanical crankshaft to move any number of individual pistons
- Check valves in each cylinder allow water to enter the cylinder as the plunger retracts and then exit the cylinder into the outlet manifold as the plunger advances into the cylinder.

Pressure: $\sim 55,000$ psi

Reliability is higher.

Actual operating range of most systems: $40,000 \sim 50,000$ psi

An increasing number of abrasivejet systems are being sold with the more efficient and easily maintained crankshaft-type pumps.
Two-stage nozzle design

[1] Water passes through a small-diameter jewel orifice to form a narrow jet. Then passes through a small chamber pulling abrasive material.

[2] The abrasive particles and water pass into a long, hollow cylindrical ceramic mixing tube. The resulting mix of abrasive and water exits the mixing tube as a coherent stream and cuts the material.

Alignment of the jewel orifice and the mixing tube is critical

In the past, the operator adjusted the alignment often during operation.
X-Y Tables

Separate

Gantry

Floor-mounted gantry with separate cutting table

Integrated

Cutting table

Integrated table/ gantry system

Cantilever

Floor-mounted cantilever system with separate cutting table

Integrated table/ cantilever system
X-Y Tables: Gantry vs. Cantilever

**Gantry**

- Adv: Well-adapted to the use of multiple nozzles for large production runs
- Dis: Loading material onto the table can be difficult because the gantry beam may interfere, unless the gantry can be moved completely out of the way
  
  Dis: Because the gantry beam is moved at both ends, a very high-quality electronic or mechanical system must be employed to ensure that both ends move precisely in unison

**Cantilever**

- Dis: Y-axis is limited in length to about 5 feet because of structural considerations
X-Y Tables: Separate vs. Integrated

**Separate**

- *Adv:* Less floor space is required for a given table size because the external support frame is eliminated.
- *Adv:* Inherently better dynamic accuracy because relative unwanted motion or vibration between the table and X-Y structure is eliminated.
- *Adv:* System accuracy can be built at the factory and does not require extensive on-site set-up and alignment.
- *Dis:* More expensive to build than the traditional separate frame system.

**Integrated**

Which type is the Waterjet the in Aero/Astro machine shop?
# Waterjet in Aero/Astro machine shop

## OMAX Machining Center 2652

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Work Envelope</strong></th>
<th><strong>X-Y Travel</strong></th>
<th><strong>52” x 26”</strong></th>
<th><strong>(1.3 m x 0.7 m)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Table size</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>69” x 30”</strong></td>
<td><strong>(1.8 m x 0.8 m)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Accuracy of Motion at 70 degrees F</strong></th>
<th>Over entire travel</th>
<th>±0.003”</th>
<th><strong>(0.076 mm)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Over 1 foot travel</td>
<td>±0.002”</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(0.051 mm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeatability</td>
<td>±0.0013”</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(0.033 mm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squareness</td>
<td>0.0013” per ft</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(0.11 mm/m)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straightness</td>
<td>0.0017” per ft</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(0.14 mm/m)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backlash</td>
<td>0.0007” max.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(0.018 mm)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The OMAX control system computes exactly how the feed rate should vary for a given geometry in a given material to make a precise part.

The algorithm actually determines desired variations in the feed rate every 0.0005" (0.012 mm) along the tool path.
How to Estimate Manufacturing Cost?

(1) Run the Omax Software!

Estimated time to make this part: 3.265 min.
Estimated cost to make this part: $4.08

(2) Estimation by hand

\[ \text{Cost}_{\text{manufac}} = C_0 \cdot t_{\text{manufac}} \]

\[ t_{\text{manufac}} = t_{\text{cutting}} + t_{\text{traverse}}, \quad t_{\text{cutting}} \gg t_{\text{traverse}} \]

\[ \approx t_{\text{cutting}} \]

\[ = \sum_{i} \frac{l_i}{u_i} \]

- Break up curves into linear and nonlinear sections
- Measure curve lengths and calculate cutting speeds
- Solve for cutting times for each curve and sum
How to Estimate Manufacturing Cost?

- **Linear cutting speed,** $u_{\text{linear}}$
  - Good approximation for most of the curves in the CAM waterjet cutting route
- **Arc section cutting speed,** $u_{\text{arc}}$
  - Assume if arc radius is less than $R_{\text{min}}$
- **Reduce manufacturing cost**
  - Reduce the total cutting length
  - Increase fillet radii

\[
 u_{\text{linear}} = \left[ \frac{42.471}{q} \right]^{1.15} \text{ [in/min]} \\
 u_{\text{arc}} = \left[ 1.866R + 9.334 \times 10^{-4} \right]^{1.15} \text{ [in/min]} 
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Index, $q$</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{min}}$ (in)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Best applications

Materials and thickness

- Aluminum, tool steel, stainless steel, mild steel and titanium
- Thicknesses up to about 1" (2.5 cm)

Shapes

- An abrasive jet can make almost any two-dimensional shape imaginable—quickly and accurately—in material less than 1" (25 mm) thick.
- The only limitation comes from the fact that the minimum inside radius in a corner is equal to \( \frac{1}{2} \) the diameter of the jet, or about 0.015" (0.4 mm).
Applications that are generally poor

Low-cost applications where accuracy really has no value

Using a precision abrasive jet as a cross-cut saw
- Just buy a saw!

Applications involving wood
- It's hard to beat a simple jigsaw.

Parts that truly require a 5-axis machine
- This is a much more specialized market.
Material

Aluminum

Aluminum is a light weight but strong metal used in a wide variety of applications.

Generally speaking, it machines at about twice the speed as mild steel, making it an especially profitable application for the OMAX.

Many precision abrasivejet machines are being purchased by laser shops specifically for machining aluminum. Aluminum is often called the "bread and butter" of the abrasivejet industry because it cuts so easily.

A part machined from 3" (7.6 cm) aluminum; Intelli-MAX software lets you get sharp corners without wash-out
An example of two aluminum parts done in ½" (1.3 cm) thick aluminum, which took approximately five minutes to machine.

A prototype linkage arm for the Tilt-A-Jet. This part was first "roughed out" on the OMAX. The holes were then reamed out to tolerance, and some additional features (such as pockets) added with other machining processes.

This piece was made from 8" (200mm) thick aluminum as a demonstration of what an abrasivejet can do.
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