Probability of a tree

How do we get from what we know (score of data given a tree) to what we want (score of the tree, given the data)?

\[ P(T|D) \rightarrow P(D|T) \]

From last time, one of the assumptions that we made is that without knowing anything about the data, two trees (T1 and T2) are equally likely.

\[
\frac{P(T_1|D)}{P(T_2|D)} = \frac{P(D|T_1)P(T_1)}{P(D|T_2)P(T_2)}
\]

**Note:** when we propose a tree, we'll also find the branch lengths that work best for that tree. We'll treat that as part of the topology.

Expression for the joint probability of all data:

\[ P(D \mid T) = P(A,C,C,C,G,x,y,z,w \mid T) \]

- \( T \) = topology of the tree (includes t1,t2... all the branch lengths)
- We're going to refer to \( x,y,z,w \) as "nuisance parameters"
- We don't care what their values ARE, we're just going to try ALL of them, and just integrate through.
- Join probability of the data can be separated into each of the independent events (mutations), and the probability of each event is exactly what the J-C formula gives us the way to calculate!
Now that we have an expression for the joint probability, we can sum over the nuisance parameters. For each possible set of values for the nuisance parameters, the joint probability distribution will take on a particular value. We then add because we don't care what the nuisance parameters' values are, they can be one set of values, OR another set of values, OR another set of values ... (each sum is over the 4 possibilities ACGT)

\[
\sum \sum \sum \sum P_x \cdot P_y \cdot P_z \cdot P_w
\]

**Marginalizing**

In order to calculate

\[
\sum \sum \sum \sum P_x \cdot P_y \cdot P_z \cdot P_w
\]

- Now consider that:
  1. \(P_x\) doesn't depend on \(y\) or \(z\) or \(w\),
  2. \(P_y\) doesn't depend on \(z\) or \(w\),
  3. \(P_z\) doesn't depend on \(w\),
  4. but \(P_w\) depends on \(x\) and \(z\).

- You can pull terms in front of the sum if they don't depend on the variable you're summing over.
16 possible trees for parent=A

- When you're considering the likelihood at a particular node ($P_x$ for example) you have to look at 64 possibilities (4 at parent, 4 at each child)

\[
\sum_x P_x \left( \sum_y P_y \left( \sum_z P_z \left( \sum_w P_w \right) \right) \right)
\]

- Note:

  $P$ is probability
  $p$ is the state of the parent node (ACGT)

**Designing an Algorithm**

**Remember Fibonacci!**

remember Fib:

1. return kth number
2. return series

the series was the smart way to do it- the other one explodes computationally
Efficient computation

In the Sankoff algorithm presented in class, we did this by simply calling this whole function again (64 times)- this will work, but it could be VERY expensive for anything but the smallest trees. Fortunately, there is a better way...

The problem is that we included the recursion in a loop, so it is called many times for each node:

```python
def sankoff(tree, nodeSeq):  # this function returns the score for a particular state at the root
    min = inf # initialization
    if tree is a leaf: # stop condition
        if tree['data'] == nodeSeq:
            return 0
        return inf
    for leftSeq in [A,C,G,T]: # now we're at a node with two children
        for rightSeq in [A,C,G,T]: # we have to try all 16 possibilities here
            sum = cost(nodeSeq, leftSeq, rightSeq) # cost is some function that looks up the cost in the table
            sum += sankoff(tree['left'], leftSeq) # we have to remember to pass the cost along!
            sum += sankoff(tree['right'], rightSeq)
            if (sum < min):
                min = sum
    return min
```

...but we can move the recursion out of the loop if we return the costs for each possible nucleotide at each node:

```python
def sankoff(tree):  # this function returns a dictionary of scores for all possible states at the root
    for parentSeq in [A,C,G,T]: # initialization
        scores[parentSeq] = inf # infinity
        if tree is a leaf: # stop condition
            if tree['data'] == nodeSeq:
                scores[tree['data']] = 0 # every state except the observed is set to infinity
        leftScores = sankoff(tree['left']) # recursion moved outside of loop
        rightScores = sankoff(tree['right'])
        for parentSeq in [A,C,G,T]: # try all seqs at the current node
            for leftSeq in [A,C,G,T]: # now we're at a node with two children
                for rightSeq in [A,C,G,T]: # we have to try all 16 possibilities here
                    sum = cost(nodeSeq, leftSeq, rightSeq) # cost is some function that looks up the cost in the table
                    sum += leftScores[leftSeq] # we have to remember to pass the cost along!
                    sum += rightScores[rightSeq]
                    if (sum < scores[parentSeq]):
                        scores[parentSeq] = sum
        return scores # return the dictionary
```
Note that this is exactly what we did with the fibonacci function to prevent unnecessary recursion - we returned a list of values instead of a single case. This is the dynamic programming approach: efficiently break a problem up into independent (and non-redundant) subproblems.

OK... now to apply these lessons to a maximum likelihood computation:

We want to define a function outside our main loop, and we want it to grab the probabilities \( P(q) \) for all \( q \) in ACGT \( P(r) \) for all \( r \) in ACGT

where \( q \) is state at the left subtree, and \( r \) is state at the right.

To do this we'll need a function that returns the probabilities for all possible states. For example:

```python
def ml(tree, pos):
    (insert code here)
    return {'A': 0.1, 'C': 0.2, 'G': 0.1, 'T': 0.1} #values are just as an example!
```

- This is the trick we really want to see you implement in HW5; this is where dynamic programming comes in.
- We're **not** going to compute these probabilities 64 times.
- Recall how we pulled terms out of the sum.... we can take advantage of that to break up the problem in an intelligent way:
  1. Separate computation of \( P_w \) which doesn't depend on \( x,y,z \)
  2. Separate computation of \( P_z \) which doesn't depend on \( x,y \)
  3. ...etc...

Some additional hints: in Sankoff, we took the min of the scores, but here we want to ADD all of the possible probabilities for each possible state of the internal nodes. Also, our cost function here is a function of time(distance), so we won't just look it up in a table. We have a separate function to calculate the probability under the Jukes-Cantor model of evolution.

**Greedy Algorithm for trying trees**

- A heuristic (contrast with dynamic programming)

  We give up and say we're not going to find an exact solution, but we're going to find an okay solution
searching for a good tree

Calculate $P(D|T)$
For some trees:
compute $L$
report best $L$

1. build 3-leaf tree
2. add a leaf
3. try all possibilities
   keep the best one
4. repeat until all leaves added
5. change leaf order and repeat

**Branch Lengths**

- What are we going to do about branch lengths?
• There's no proof of this, but what we're going to do is look at one branch length at a time keeping all other branch lengths equal, let's find the length of $t_0$ that maximizes the tree.

• Usually you get something that looks like:

  inverted parabola with big right shoulder ($y$-axis = $L$, $x$-axis = $t_0$)

• Branch lengths not very difficult to optimize.
• So it is a heuristic solution, but it is pretty good.