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Now is both the best of times in science education (e.g. MIT) and the worst of times (e.g. secondary level). -> Won’t be able to make students who can drive science and technology. -> Therefore, need to redesign!

AP system is a big driver of reforms. 1.3 million AP exams last year. But there are a lot of students who take AP courses, but not AP exams. For example, in calculus, there are two students who take the course but not the exam for every student who takes both the course and the exam.

In the 90s, there was a big international survey-TIM (3rd International). US was ranked 16th (in the middle). Clinton administration asked NAS to look at and make recommendations. Slide 2 is from the NAS report.

One key observation was that AP/IB students didn’t do much better on the TIM survey (~10th). NAS report was critical of AP -> Need to redesign AP. Start with biology (because of support and interest from Bruce Alberts). In 2005, there were about 100,000 AP Bio exams given.

A Central Challenge

A central challenge in the redesign of AP Biology is to revise our thinking about how students learn and to design instruction based on contemporary views of teaching and learning. Contemporary research in the learning sciences suggests that our focus must shift to reflect deeper thinking about:

- the structure of biological content knowledge;
- the integration of problem solving and reasoning into the course;
- how to build on students’ prior knowledge and early conceptions of science, in general, and biology, in particular;
- course and assessment design that reflect learning with understanding and transfer;
- identifying assessment targets along the novice to expert continuum;
- the role of pedagogical content knowledge; and
- the design of knowledge-centered biology classrooms.

NAS report pointed out the need to address the issue of students mostly using short-term memory instead of problem-solving and reasoning, the question of whether the teachers know how to teach, and the need for knowledge-centered rather than teacher-centered classrooms.

AP Bio Redesign panel aimed to address questions of the outline of the course, professional development for the teachers, and assessment that would reflect what is actually being taught.

Background

- The Panel set out to develop a framework for AP Biology which would address the course, the role of laboratories, a new student assessment system, and professional development. The National Research Council’s (NRC) 2002 report, Learning and Understanding, concluded that, “existing programs for advanced study are frequently inconsistent with the results of the research on cognition and learning.” This challenge animated the redesign.

- To meet this challenge, the College Board assembled a panel of distinguished secondary and post-secondary biology educators. Outside advice from the biological sciences community, researchers in the learning sciences, and high school and college science educators also informed the Panel’s recommendations.

Framework document is to be delivered to the College Board by the end of September.
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Jan ’06 set up a new panel for actual redesign.
Redesign Considerations

- Learning with Understanding
- Equity, Access, and Excellence
- Quantity vs Quality
- The Role of Technology

Redesign considerations.

Equity: we don’t want a program for elite only. Quantity vs. quality: Campbell is the official textbook. But it’s huge. Contains too much information. What to do?

The role of technology: Labs. Can good labs be delivered in an average HS with not much access to technology?

For example, College Board recently funded 7 schools in the Bronx for AP labs in chemistry and calculus. The price was $65,000.

Can students read 3 chapters of Campbell every night? No, especially if ESL. -> Textbook is not learner-centered.

For the AP Redesign framework, think about the learner: what do they bring to the classroom.

We need sound assessment-need hypothesis, way to test it, evidence.

Proposed AP Biology Conceptual Framework.

Expect debate about the central role of evolution in the framework. Science as a process theme is important for learning with understanding.

Recommendations.

Prior knowledge: What do you assume when students walk in the door (e.g. reading level? Statistics?)? How do we know that they know it?

Interdisciplinary connections: Teachers need to emphasize these connections because students do not make them themselves. Experts have tacit knowledge & understanding. Need to make this explicit.

Formative assessment: do this regularly, at regular intervals.

Question: how to infer information from formative assessment?

Professional development: Need to invest large sums of money. The goal should be to make all teachers highly proficient, rather than to give AP classes to the most proficient teachers.
9% of students in US are in AP-most selective educational program in the US. Elitism is a folklore notion of intelligence. In the US 11% of students are classified as gifted and talented. In Europe, it’s 27%.

Q: What are the good incentives for teachers/faculty to take advantage of professional development?

HE: Question the College Board is struggling with.

Q: How does instruction in the countries that do well/better than US compare to here? Is student time on task a possible answer?

HE: For calculus, found that a big factor is teacher qualification. Probably because experts can make material accessible.