Lecture #4: The Classical Wave Equation and Separation of Variables

Last time:

Two-slit experiment
  2 paths to same point on screen
  2 paths differ by \( n\lambda \)-constructive interference
  1 photon interferes with itself
  get 1 dot on screen-collapse of “state of system” to a single dot
to determine the state of the system, need many experiments, many dots.

Probability amplitude distribution (encodes 10, 01, or 11 where 1 = open, 0 = closed)
collapses to single dot due to the act of detection of a photon.

Quantum Mechanics: information about the experimental setup (i.e. “the system”) is
“encoded” in results of a sequence of independent experiments.

Musicians know that the sound produced by an instrument reveals

* detailed physical structure of the instrument
  e.g. drum head shaped as

\[ \bigcirc \quad \text{OR} \quad \square \quad \text{OR} \quad \square \]

* technique of musician

Same as for Quantum Mechanics.

Today: philosophy
  wave equation
  separation of variables
  boundary conditions — normal modes
  superposition of normal modes: “the pluck”
cartoons of motion

What do we know so far?

weirdness
wave-particle duality
interference
experiment samples probability amplitude (i.e. + or –) distribution
we can’t see inside microscopic systems
we do experiments that indirectly reveal structure and mechanism
patterns-like interference structure – reveal structure and mechanism
spectrum contains patterns

1st 1/2 of 5.61 deals with exactly solved problems
Particle in a Box
Harmonic Oscillator
Rigid Rotor
Hydrogen Atom

These are templates for our understanding of reality
Perturbation Theory will show us how to use the patterns associated with these simple
problems to represent and decode reality.

I have been told many times that 5.61 is very difficult because it is very mathematical.

This lecture might be the most mathematical of the entire 5.61 course.

The goal is insight. For chemists, this is usually pictorial and qualitative.

I intend to show the pictures and insights behind the equations.

What are you expected to do when faced with one of the many differential equations in
Quantum Mechanics?

1. Know where the differential equation comes from (not derive it)

2. Know standard methods used (by others) to solve it.

   * a most common Ordinary Differential Equation
     \[ \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} = kf \]
     always 2 linearly independent general solutions for a 2^{nd} order equation.
   * find a way to rewrite your equation as one of the well-known solved equations
   * separation of variables

What are we looking for?

   * general solutions
     - nodes (adjacent node spacing is λ/2)
     - envelope (related to probability)
     - phase velocity
   * specific physical system, specific solution
     - Boundary conditions
     - usually get some sort of quantization from 2nd boundary condition
     - “normal modes”
     - qualitative sketch: nodes, envelope, frequency for each normal mode
* initial condition: the pluck
  - superposition of normal modes
  - localization, motion, dephasing, rephrasing

Invert all of this into a description of the physical system.

Wave Equation: where does it come from?

Hooke’s Law (for a spring)

\[ F = -kx \]

chop string into small segments

segment \(-1\) pulls segment \(0\) down by force

\[ -k \left[ u(x_0) - u(x_{-1}) \right] \]

segment \(+1\) pulls segment \(0\) up by force

\[ -k \left[ u(x_0) - u(x_{-1}) \right] \]

The net force is

\[ -k \left[ \Delta u_{10} - \Delta u_{0-1} \right] \]

This is \( \frac{d^2u}{dx^2} \)

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ F = ma \text{ (units conversion: contains tension and mass of string)} \]

wave equation is

\[ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \]

\( u \) is displacement

\( v \) is velocity (as you would discover later)
How do we solve this second-order, linear, partial differential equation?

* look for a similar, exactly slowed problem
* employ bag of tricks

most important trick is separation of variables

try \( u(x,t) = X(x) T(t) \)

does it work? If it does not, get \( u(x,t) = 0 \)

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[ X(x)T(t) \right] = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left[ X(x)T(t) \right]
\]

Multiply on left by \( \frac{1}{X(x)T(t)} \)

get

\[
\frac{1}{X(x)} \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{v^2 T(t)} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial t^2}
\]

\( x \) and \( t \) are independent variables. This equation can only be valid if both sides are equal to a constant. Called the \textit{separation constant}.

\[
\frac{1}{X} \frac{d^2 X}{dx^2} = K \quad \frac{1}{v^2 T} \frac{d^2 T}{dt^2} = K
\]

Note we have \textit{total} not \textit{partial} derivatives: linear, 2nd-order, and ordinary differential equation.
general solutions have the form

\[ K > 0 \quad e^{kx}, e^{-kx} \quad \text{let } K = k^2 \]

OR

\[ K < 0 \quad \sin kx, \cos kx \quad \text{let } K = -k^2 \]

(always have 2 linearly independent solutions for 2nd-order equation)

K > 0  general solution  \[ X(x) = Ae^{kx} + Be^{-kx} \]
K < 0  \[ X(x) = C \sin kx + D \cos kx \]

also for \( T(t) \) equation

\[ \frac{d^2T}{dt^2} = v^2KT \]

K > 0  \( T(t) = Ee^{vtk} + Fe^{-vtk} \)
K < 0  \( T(t) = G \sin vkt + H \cos vkt \)

Now look at Boundary Conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \quad x & \quad L \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[ u(0,t) = 0 \]
\[ u(L,t) = 0 \]

For \( K > 0 \), try to satisfy boundary conditions

\[ X(0) = Ae^0 + Be^{-0} = 0 \]
\[ A + B = 0 \quad A = -B \]
\[ X(L) = 0 = Ae^{kL} + Be^{-kL} = A(e^{kL} - e^{-kL}) \]
\[ e^{kL} - e^{-kL} \text{ can never be } 0 \]
\[ A = 0 \quad u(x,t) = 0 \]

looks bad. What about \( K < 0 \) solutions?
\[ X(0) = C \sin 0 + D \cos 0 = 0 \]
\[ D = 0 \]
\[ X(L) = C \sin kL + 0 = 0 \]
\[ kL = n\pi \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

“quantization” \[ k_n = \frac{n\pi}{L} \]

pictures are drawn without looking at equation or using a computer to plot them.

- # nodes is \( n - 1 \)
- nodes are equally spaced at \( x = L/n, \lambda_n = 2(L/n) \).
- all lobes are the same, except for alternating sign

Wonderful qualitative picture: cartoon

Now look at \( T(t) \) equation for \( K < 0 \).

\[ T(t) = E \sin \omega_n t + F \cos \omega_n t \]

\[ \omega_n \equiv vkn \]

\[ T(t) = E_n \sin \omega_n t + F_n \cos \omega_n t \]
Normal modes

\[ u_n(x,t) = \left( A_n \sin \frac{n\pi}{L} x \right) \left( E_n \sin n\omega t + F_n \cos n\omega t \right) \]

The time dependent factor of the \( n^{th} \) normal mode can be rewritten in “frequency, phase” form as

\[ E'_n \cos \left( n\omega t + \phi_n \right) \]

The next step is to consider the \( t = 0 \) pluck of the system. This pluck is expressed as a linear combination of the normal modes.

\[ u_{\text{pluck}}(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left( A_n E'_n \right) \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{L} x \right) \cos \left( n\omega t + \phi_n \right) \]

There is a further simplification based on the trigonometric formula

\[ \sin a \cos b = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sin(a+b) + \sin(a-b) \right] \]

which enables us to write \( u_{\text{pluck}} \) as

\[ u_{\text{pluck}}(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left[ A_n E'_n \right] \left\{ \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{L} x + n\omega t + \phi_n \right) + \sin \left( \frac{n\pi}{L} x - n\omega t - \phi_n \right) \right\} \]

Something wonderful happens now.

* A single normal mode is a standing wave. No left-right motion, no “breathing”

* A superposition of 2 or more normal modes with different values of \( n \) gives more complicated motion. For two normal modes, where one is even-\( n \) and the other is odd-\( n \), the time-evolving wavepacket will exhibit left-right motion. For two normal modes where both are odd or both even, the wavepacket motion will be “breathing” rather than left-right motion.

Here is a crude time lapse movie of a superposition of the \( n = 1 \) and \( n = 2 \) (fundamental and first overtone) modes.
The period of the fundamental is \( T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \). We are going to consider time-steps of \( T/8 \).

The time-lapse movie of the sum of two normal modes can be viewed as moving to left at \( t = -T/4 \), close to the left turning point at \( t = -T/8 \), at the left turning point but dephased at \( t = 0 \), moving to the right at \( t = +T/8 \). It will reach the right turning point but dephased at \( t = T/2 \).

In Quantum Mechanics you will see wavepackets that exhibit motion, breathing, dephasing, and rephrasing. The “center of the wavepacket” will follow a trajectory that obeys Newton’s laws of motion.

If we generalize from waves on a string to waves on a rectangular drum head,

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \quad a \\
\end{align*}
\]

the separable solution to the wave equation will have the form

\[
u(x, y, t) = X(x)Y(y)T(t).
\]

There will be two separation constants, and we will find that the normal mode frequencies are

\[
\Omega_{nm} = \nu \pi \left[ \frac{n^2}{a^2} + \frac{m^2}{b^2} \right]^{1/2}
\]
This is a more complicated quantization rule than for waves on a string, and it should be evident to an informed listener that these waves are on a rectangular drum head with edge lengths $a$ and $b$.

**NON-Lecture**

The underlying unity of the $e^{kx}$, $e^{-kx}$ and $\sin kx$, $\cos kx$ solutions to

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = k^2 y$$

Let’s take a step back and look at the two simplest 2nd-order ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = +k^2 y \rightarrow y(x) = Ae^{kx} + Be^{-kx}$$

and

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = -k^2 y \rightarrow y(x) = C \sin kx + D \cos kx$$

The solutions to these two equations are more similar than they look at first glance.

**Euler’s formula**

$$e^{\pm i\theta} = \cos \theta \pm i \sin \theta \quad \text{OR} \quad \frac{1}{2} (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) = \cos \theta$$

$$\frac{i}{2} (e^{-i\theta} - e^{i\theta}) = \sin \theta.$$  

So we can express the solution of the second differential equation in (complex) exponential form to bring out its similarity to the solution of the first differential equation:

$$y(x) = C \sin kx + D \cos kx$$

$$= \frac{i}{2} C (e^{-ikx} - e^{ikx}) + \frac{1}{2} D (e^{ikx} + e^{-ikx})$$

rearrange

$$= \frac{1}{2} (D-iC) e^{ikx} + \frac{1}{2} (D+iC) e^{-ikx}.$$
The sin $\theta$, cos $\theta$ and $e^{i\theta}$, $e^{-i\theta}$ forms are two sides of the same coin. Insight. Convenience. What do we notice? *The general solutions to a 2nd-order differential equation consist of the sum of two linearly independent functions, each multiplied by an unknown constant.*