CMS.608 / CMS.864 Game Design Spring 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

CMS.608 – 17 April 2008

Notes by Clara Rhee

Simulation and Abstraction

- what do you want to abstract in a game?
 - complex maneuvers physical stunts
 - different characters
 - health
 - "world" limits and characteristics
- what do you get for free?
 - say you're playing a LARP
 - playspace
 - character movement, traits
 - physics
- complex metaphors can be made with visual representation
 - see the movement of the character in Passage
 - time becomes horizontal movement
- is there a game that abstracts celebration?
 - 52-card pick up!
 - probably a good representation, bad game
- every time you abstract something, you're limiting the player's understanding
- do simulations need to be numerical?
 - without abstraction, you don't know what's allowed in the game
 - abstractions define possibilities
 - allows you to skip over the boring stuff, or make the boring stuff more interesting, or make hard things easy
- abstractions also make certain things morally acceptable destruction of cities, war
- things that <u>should</u> be simulated
 - conflict economical, territorial, knowledge
- puzzle games are hard to fit in the simulation model
 - what is Bejeweled simulating/abstracting???
- what is the collection of mechanics that makes a system behave the way it does?
- some games can try to make you forget it's a game...but that's not really the point not necessarily engaging
- Milgram experiment
 - was more about submitting to authority
 - real as simulated pain and punishment
- feasibility
 - what's practical? What needs to be abstracted?
 - it's just more practical to abstract
- Philip's Theory on Game Design Concerns
 - separation
 - taking out the boring/awkward bits
 - information
 - having/lacking game knowledge
 - competition/conflict

- verisimilitude
- immersion, the appearance of reality
 verisimilitude is <u>completely</u> at odds with separation