Day 3
Hashing, Collections, and Comparators

Scott Ostler
Hashing

- Yesterday we overrode `equals()`
- Today we override `hashCode()`
- Goal: understand why we need to, and how to do it
What is a Hash?

- An integer that “stands in” for an object
- Quick way to check for inequality, construct groupings
- Equal things (should) have equal hashes
What is `.hashCode()`

- Well known method name that returns int

- Is defined in `java.lang.Object` to return a value mostly unique to that instance

- All classes either inherit it, or override it
hashCode Object Contract

- An object’s hashcode **cannot** change until it is no longer equal to what it was

- Two equal objects **must** have an equal hashCode

- It is **good** if two unequal objects have distinct hashes
Hashcode Examples

String scott = "Scotty";
String scott2 = "Scotty";
String corey = "Corey";
System.out.println(scott.hashCode());
System.out.println(scott2.hashCode());
System.out.println(corey.hashCode());
=> -1823897190, -1823897190, 65295514

Integer int1 = 123456789;
Integer int2 = 123456789;
System.out.println(int1.hashCode());
System.out.println(int2.hashCode());
=> 123456789, 123456789
A Name Class with equals()

```java
public class Name {
    public String first;
    public String last;

    public Name(String first, String last) {
        this.first = first;
        this.last = last;
    }
    public String toString() {
        return first + " " + last;
    }
    public boolean equals(Object o) {
        return (o instanceof Name &&
                ((Name) o).first.equals(this.first) &&
                ((Name) o).last.equals(this.last));
    }
}
```
Do our Names work?

Name kyle = new Name("Kyle", "MacLaughlin");
Name jack = new Name("Jack", "Nance");
Name jack2 = new Name("Jack", "Nance");

System.out.println(kyle.equals(jack));
System.out.println(jack.equals(jack2));
System.out.println(kyle.hashCode());
System.out.println(jack.hashCode());
System.out.println(jack2.hashCode());
⇒ false, true, 6718604, 7122755, 14718739

- Objects are equal, hashCodes aren’t
Who cares about hashCode?

- Name code seems to work
- Is this really a problem?
- If we don’t use hashCode(), why bother writing it?
ANSWER: JAVA CARES!

- We have violated the Object contract
- We have embarked upon a path filled with Bad, Strange Things
Bad, Strange Thing #1

Set<String> strings = new HashSet<String>();
Set<Name> names = new HashSet<Name>();

strings.add("jack");
names.add(new Name("Jack", "Nance"));

System.out.println(strings.contains("jack"));
System.out.println(names.contains(
    new Name("Jack", "Nance")));

=> true, false
Solution? make .hashCode()

- Remember our requirements:
  - hashCode() must obey equality
  - hashCode() must be consistent
  - hashCode() must generate int
  - hashCode() should recognize inequality
Possible Implementation

public class Name {
    ...
    public int hashCode() {
        return first.hashCode() + last.hashCode();
    }
}

• Does this work?
Good, Normal Thing #1

Set<Name> names = new HashSet<Name>()

names.add(jack);

System.out.println(names.contains(new Name("Jack", "Nance")));
⇒ true

- Could it be better?
public class Name {

  ...

  public int hashCode() {
      return first.hashCode() * 37
          + last.hashCode();
  }

}

Why is it better? (remember contract)
hashCode Object Contract

- An object’s hashcode cannot change until it is no longer equal to what it was

- Two equal objects must have an equal hashCode

- It is good if two unequal objects have distinct hashes
  - Ex: Jack Nance will be different from Nance Jack
Before We Switch Topics

- Any questions about `hashCode`, please ask!
- It will be an important point later today
- It will cause bizarre problems if you don’t understand it
What Collections Do

- “Framework” of Interfaces and Classes to handle:
  - Collecting objects
  - Storing objects
  - Sorting objects
  - Retrieving objects

- Provides common syntax across variety of different Collection implementations
How to use Collections

- add import java.util.*; to the top of every java file

package lab2;
import java.util.*;
public class CollectionUser {
    List<String> list = new ArrayList<String>();
    ... //rest of class
}

Basic Collection&lt;Foo&gt; Syntax

- boolean add(Foo o);
- boolean contains(Object o);
- boolean remove(Foo o);
- int size();
Example Usage

List<Name> iapjava = new ArrayList<Name>();

iapjava.add(new Name("Laura", "Dern");
iapjava.add(new Name("Toby", "Keeler");
System.out.println(iapjava.size()); => 2

iapjava.remove(new Name("Toby", "Keeler");
System.out.println(iapjava.size()); => 1

List<Name> iapruby = new ArrayList<Name>();
iapruby.add(new Name("Scott", "Ostler");
iapruby.addAll(iapruby);

System.out.println(iapjava.size()); => 2
Generic Collections

- We can specify the **type** of object that a collection will hold

- Ex: List<String> *strings*

- We are **reasonably** sure that *strings* contains **only** String objects

- Is optional, but very useful
Why Use Generics?

List untyped = new ArrayList();
List<String> typed = new ArrayList<String>();

Object obj = untyped.get(0);
String sillyString = (String) obj;

String smartString = typed.get(0);
Retrieving objects

- Given Collection<Foo> coll
- Iterator:
  ```java
  Iterator<Foo> it = coll.iterator();
  while (it.hasNext) {
    Foo obj = it.next();
    // do something with obj
  }
  ```
- For each:
  ```java
  for (Foo obj : coll) {
    // do something with obj
  }
  ```
Object Removing Caveat

- Can’t remove objects from a Collection while iterating over it
  ```java
  for (Foo obj : coll)
    coll.remove(obj) // ConcurrentModificationException
  }
  ```
- Only the Iterator can remove an object it’s iterating over
  ```java
  Iterator<Foo> it = coll.iterator();
  while (it.hasNext) {
    Foo obj = it.next();
    it.remove(Obj); // NOT coll.remove(Obj);
  }
  ```
- Note that iter.remove is **optional**, and not all Iterator objects will support it
General Collection Types

- List
  - ArrayList
- Set
  - HashSet
  - TreeSet
- Map
  - HashMap
List Overview

- Ordered list of objects, similar to Array
- Unlike Array, no set size
- List order generally equals insert order

List<String> strings = new ArrayList<String>();
strings.add("one");
strings.add("two");
strings.add("three");
// strings = [ "one", "two", "three"]
Other Ways

- Insert at an index
  ```java
  List<String> strings = new ArrayList<String>();
  strings.add("one");
  strings.add("three");
  strings.add(1, "two");
  // strings = [ "one", "two", "three"]
  ```

- Retrieve objects with an index:
  ```java
  s.o.print(strings.get(0)) // => "one"
  s.o.print(strings.indexOf("one")) // => 0
  ```
Set Overview

- No set size, no set order
- No duplicate objects allowed!

```java
Set<Name> names = new HashSet<Name>();
names.add(new Name("Jack", "Nance"));
names.add(new Name("Jack", "Nance"));
System.out.println(names.size()); => 1
```
Set Contract

- A set element cannot be changed in a way that affects its equality

- This is a danger of object mutability

- If you don’t obey the contract, prepare for Bad, Strange Things
Bad, Strange Thing #2

Set<Name> names = new HashSet<Name>();
Name jack = new Name("Jack", "Nance");
names.add(jack);
System.out.println(names.size());

System.out.println(names.contains(jack)); => true;

jack.last = "Vance";

System.out.println(names.contains(jack)); => false
System.out.println(names.size()); => 1
Solutions to the Problem?

- **None.**
- So *don’t* do it.
- If at all possible, use immutable set elements
- Otherwise, be careful
Map Overview

- Mapping between a set of “Key-Value Pairs”
- That is, for every Key object, there is a Value object
- Essentially a “lookup service”
- Keys must be unique, but values don’t have to be
Note: Map is **not** a Collection

- Map doesn’t support:
  - `boolean add(Foo obj);`
  - `boolean contains(Object obj);`

- Rather, it supports:
  - `boolean put(Foo key, Bar value);`
  - `boolean containsKey(Foo key);`
  - `boolean containsValue(Bar value);`
Sample Map Usage

Map<String, String> dns = new HashMap<String, String>();

dns.put("scotty.mit.edu", "18.227.0.87");

System.out.println(dns.get("scotty.mit.edu"));
System.out.println(dns.containsKey("scotty.mit.edu"));
System.out.println(dns.containsValue("18.227.0.87"));

dns.remove("scotty.mit.edu");

System.out.println(dns.containsValue("18.227.0.87"));

// => "18.227.0.87", true, true, false
Other Useful Methods

- `keySet()` - returns a Set of all the keys
- `values()` - returns a Collection of all the values
- `entrySet()` - returns a Set of Key,Value Pairs
  - Each pair is a `Map.Entry` object
  - `Map.Entry` supports `getKey`, `getValue`, `setValue`
Dangers of Key Mutability

- A key must always be equal to what it was
- This is a restatement of the Set discussion
- If a key changes, it and its value will be “lost”
Bad, Strange Thing #3

Name isabella = new Name("Isabella", "Rosellini")
Map<Name, String> directory = new HashMap<Name, String>();
directory.put(isabella, "123-456-7890");

System.out.println(directory.get(isabella));

isabella.first = "Dennis";

System.out.println(directory.get(isabella));

directory.put(new Name("Isabella", "Rosellini"), "555-555-1234")
isabella.first = "Isabella";

System.out.println(directory.get(isabella));

- What happens?
Two Answers

- Right Answer:
  // => 123-456-7890, null, 555-555-1234

- Righter Answer:
  - Doesn’t matter because we shouldn’t be doing it
  - Unspecified behavior
How to Fix Mutable Keys?

- We want to be able to use any object to stand in for another

- But mutable objects are dangerous
Copy the Key

Name dennis = new Name(“Dennis”, “Hopper”);
Name copy = new Name(dennis.first, dennis.last);
map.put(copy, “555-555-1234”);

- Now changes to dennis don’t mess up map
- But the keys themselves can still be changed
  For (Name name : map.keySet()) {
    name.first = “u r wrecked”; // uh oh
  }
public class Name {
    public final String first;
    public final String last;

    public Name(String first, String last) {
        this.first = first;
        this.last = last;
    }

    public boolean equals(Object o) {
        return (o instanceof Name &&
                ((Name) o).first.equals(this.first) &&
                ((Name) o).last.equals(this.last));
    }
}
Immutable Proxy for Keys

Map<String, String> dir = new HashMap<String, String>();
Name naomi = new Name("Naomi", "Watts");
String key = naomi.first + "," + naomi.last;

dir.put(key, "888-444-1212");

- Strings are immutable, so our Maps will be safe
“Freeze” Keys

public class Name {
    private String first;
    private String last;
    private boolean frozen = false;
    ...

    public void setFirst(String s) {
        if (!frozen) first = s;
    }
    ... // do same with setLast

    public void freeze() {
        frozen = true;
    }
}

Summary: Mutable Keys

- Each approach has tradeoffs
- But where appropriate, choose the simplest, strongest solution
- If a key cannot ever be changed, there will never be problems
- “Put and Pray” only as a lost resort
Collection Wrap-up

- Common problems
  - Sharing objects between Collections
  - Trying to remove an Object during iteration
  - Mutable Keys, Sets

- Any questions?
Comparing and Sorting

- Used to decide, between two objects, if one is bigger or they are equal

- `(a.compareTo(b))` should result in:
  - `< 0` if `a < b`
  - `= 0` if `a = b`
  - `> 0` if `a > b`
Comparison Example

Integer one = 1;
System.out.println(one.compareTo(3));
System.out.println(one.compareTo(-50));

String frank = “Frank”;
System.out.println(frank.compareTo(“Booth”));
System.out.println(frank.compareTo(“Hopper”));

// => -1 , 1, 4, -2
Sorting a List Alphabetically

List<String> names = new ArrayList<String>();
names.add(“Sailor”);
names.add(“Lula”);
names.add(“Bobby”);
names.add(“Santos”);
names.add(“Dell”);
Collections.sort(names);
// names => [ “Bobby”, “Dell”, “Lula”, “Sailor”, “Santos” ]
Comparable Interface

- We can sort Strings because they implement Comparable.
- That is, they have a “Natural Ordering”.
- To make Foo class Comparable, we have to implement:
  - int compareTo(Foo obj);
public class Name implements Comparable<Name> {
    ...
    public int compareTo(Name o) {
        int compare = this.last.compareTo(o.last)
        if (compare != 0)
            return compare;
        else return this.first.compareTo(o.first);
    }
}
Sorting Names in Action

List<Name> names = new ArrayList<Name>();
names.add(new Name("Nicolas", "Cage"));
names.add(new Name("Laura", "Dern"));
names.add(new Name("Harry", "Stanton"));
names.add(new Name("Diane", "Ladd"));
names.add(new Name("William", "Morgan"));
names.add(new Name("Dirty", "Glover"));
names.add(new Name("Johnny", "Cage"));
names.add(new Name("Metal", "Cage"));

System.out.println(names);
Collections.sort(names);
System.out.println(names);

// => [Johnny Cage, Metal Cage, Nicolas Cage, Laura Dern, Crispin Glover, Diane Ladd, William Morgan, Harry Stanton]
Comparator Objects

- To create multiple sortings for a given Type, we can define Comparator classes.
- A Comparator takes in two objects, and determines which is bigger.
- For type `Foo`, a `Comparator<Foo>` has:
  ```java
  int compare(Foo o1, Foo o2);
  ```
A First-Name-First Comparator

```java
public class FirstNameFirst implements Comparator<Name> {
    public int compare(Name n1, Name n2) {
        int ret = n1.first.compareTo(n2.first);
        if (ret != 0)
            return ret;
        else return n1.last.compareTo(n2.last);
    }
}
```

- This goes in a separate file, FirstNameFirst.java
Does it Work?

List<Name> names = new ArrayList<Name>();
...
Comparator<Name> first = new FirstNameFirst();
Collections.sort(names, first);
System.out.println(names);

// => [Crispin Glover, Diane Ladd, Harry Stanton, Johnny Cage, Laura Dern, Metal Cage, Nicolas Cage, William Morgan]

● It works!
Comparison Contract

- Once again, there are rules that we must follow

- Specifically, be careful when
  \[(\text{compare}(e_1, e_2)==0) != e_1.\text{equals}(e_2)\]

- With such a sorting, using SortedSet or SortedMap will cause Bad, Strange Things
Another Way of Sorting

- Use a TreeSet - automatically kept sorted!
  - Either the Objects in TreeSet must implement Comparable
  - Or give a Comparator Object when making the TreeSet

```java
SortedSet<Name> names = new TreeSet<Name>(new FirstNameFirst());
names.add(new Name("Laura", "Dern"));
names.add(new Name("Harry", "Stanton"));
names.add(new Name("Diane", "Ladd"));
System.out.println(names);

// => [Diane Ladd, Harry Stanton, Laura Dern]
```
Day 3 Wrap-Up

- Ask questions!
- There was more here than anyone could get or remember
- Think of what you want your code to do, and the best way to express that
- Read Sun’s Java Documentation:
  - http://java.sun.com/j2se/1.5.0/docs/api
  - No one can keep Java in their head
  - Everytime you code, have this page open