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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Grader</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (a)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>5 /5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (b)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>5 /5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (a)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>6 /6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (b)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>6 /6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (a)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>4 /4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (b)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>4 /4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (c)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>4 /4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (a)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>3 /3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>E</td>
<td>3 /3</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>E</td>
<td>4 /4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>E</td>
<td>5 /5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (a)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>6 /6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (b)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>6 /6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>10 /10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (a)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>6 /6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (b)</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>6 /6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>8 /8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>9 /9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>100 /100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Problem 1  (10%)  

[5%] (a) \( x[n] \) is a real-valued, causal sequence with discrete-time Fourier transform \( X(e^{j\omega}) \). Determine a choice for \( x[n] \) if the imaginary part of \( X(e^{j\omega}) \) is given by:

\[
\text{Im}\{X(e^{j\omega})\} = 3\sin(2\omega) - 2\sin(3\omega)
\]

Work to be looked at and answer:

Since \( x[n] \) is real, \( \text{Im}\{X(e^{j\omega})\} \) is the Fourier transform of \( x_0[n] \), the odd part of \( x[n] \).

\[
\text{Im}\{X(e^{j\omega})\} = \mathcal{F}\left[ \frac{3}{2} (e^{j2\omega} - e^{-j2\omega}) - \frac{2}{j\omega} (e^{j3\omega} - e^{-j3\omega}) \right] 
\]

\[
= \frac{3}{2} e^{j2\omega} - \frac{3}{2} e^{-j2\omega} - e^{j3\omega} + e^{-j3\omega}
\]

\( \leftrightarrow x_0[n] = \frac{3}{2} \delta[n+2] - \frac{3}{2} \delta[n-2] - \delta[n+3] + \delta[n-3] \)

\( x[n] \) is also causal, so it can be recovered by doubling \( x_0[n] \) for \( n > 0 \) and setting it to zero for \( n < 0 \). The only value that cannot be determined is \( x[0] \) so we leave it as arbitrary.

\[
x[n] = 2 \delta[n-3] - 3 \delta[n-2] + x[0] \delta[n]
\]
(b) \( y_r[n] \) is a real-valued sequence with discrete-time Fourier transform \( Y_r(e^{j\omega}) \). The sequences \( y_r[n] \) and \( y_i[n] \) in Figure 1-1 are interpreted as the real and imaginary parts of a complex sequence \( y[n] \), i.e. \( y[n] = y_r[n] + jy_i[n] \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Figure 1-1: System for obtaining } y[n] \text{ from } y_r[n].
\end{align*}
\]

Determine a choice for \( H(e^{j\omega}) \) in Figure 1-1 so that \( Y(e^{j\omega}) \) is \( Y_r(e^{j\omega}) \) for negative frequencies and zero for positive frequencies between \(-\pi\) and \(\pi\), i.e.

\[
Y(e^{j\omega}) = \begin{cases} Y_r(e^{j\omega}), & -\pi < \omega < 0 \\ 0, & 0 < \omega < \pi \end{cases}
\]

Work to be looked at and answer:

\[
Y(e^{j\omega}) = Y_r(e^{j\omega}) + jY_i(e^{j\omega})
\]

\[
= Y_r(e^{j\omega}) (1 + jH(e^{j\omega}))
\]

To satisfy the constraint,

\[
1 + jH(e^{j\omega}) = \begin{cases} 1, & -\pi < \omega < 0 \\ 0, & 0 < \omega < \pi \end{cases}
\]

\[
H(e^{j\omega}) = \begin{cases} 0, & -\pi < \omega < 0 \\ j, & 0 < \omega < \pi \end{cases}
\]
Problem 2  (12%)

Consider the system shown in Figure 2-1, with $H_1(e^{j\omega})$ and $H_2(j\Omega)$ as depicted in Figure 2-2.

Figure 2-1: System for calculating $y[n]$ from $x[n]$.

Figure 2-2: Frequency responses of discrete-time LTI filter $H_1$ and continuous-time LTI filter $H_2$. 
[6%] (a) If \( T_1 = T_2 = 10^{-4} \) s and \( \Omega_0 = \frac{\pi}{4T_1} \), is there a choice of \( \omega_0 > 0 \) for which the overall system from \( x[n] \) to \( y[n] \) in Figure 2-1 is a discrete-time LTI system? If so, specify at least one non-zero value of \( \omega_0 \) for which the system is LTI. Otherwise explain why the system cannot be LTI.

Work to be looked at and answer:

When \( T_1 = T_2 \) the D/C converter, CT LTI filter, and C/D can be replaced with a DT LTI filter with frequency response

\[
H_2(e^{j\omega}) = H_2 \left( j \frac{\omega}{T_1} \right), \quad \text{for} \quad |\omega| < \pi
\]

An overall effect of the expander and compressor is to stretch the frequency axis of the input DTFT by a factor of \( \frac{3}{2} \), so the system cannot be LTI in this case because the stretching is not undone. It can be verified that the output when \( x[n] = g[n - 1] \) is not a delay of the output when \( x[n] = g[n] \) for all \( g[n] \).

[6%] (b) For this part, assume that \( T_1 = 10^{-4} \) s and \( \omega_0 = \pi \). Determine the most general conditions on \( \Omega_0 > 0 \) and \( T_2 \), if any, so that the overall system from \( x[n] \) to \( y[n] \) in Figure 2-1 is an LTI system.

Work to be looked at and answer:

To undo the scaling effect on the frequency axis, we need to set \( T_2 = \frac{2}{3}T_1 \). With this choice of \( T_2 \) the C/D and D/C will implement a sample rate increase by a noninteger factor of \( \frac{3}{2} \). The overall system then simplifies to a DT LTI system as shown on the next page, without any additional conditions on \( \Omega_0 \).
\[ H_2(e^{j\omega}) = H_2(j \omega / T_1) \quad \text{for} \quad |\omega| < \pi \]

\[ T_2 = \frac{2}{3} T_1 \]

Sampling rate converter

Switch order

Identity system

DT LTI system

Frequency response:
\[
\frac{1}{2} H_1(e^{j\omega/2}) H'_2(e^{j\omega/2}) + \frac{1}{2} H_1(e^{j(\omega/2 - \pi)}) H'_2(e^{j(\omega/2 - \pi)})
\]
This page has intentionally been left blank
Problem 3 (12%) 

The following are three proposed identities involving compressors and expanders. For each, state whether or not the proposed identity is valid. If your answer is that it is valid, explicitly show why. (In doing this you may make use of the known identities on page 11.) If your answer is no, explicitly give a simple counterexample.

[4%] (a) Proposed identity (a):

\[ \downarrow 2 \rightarrow \text{Half-sample delay} \rightarrow \dot{\downarrow} \]

\[ z^{-1} \rightarrow \downarrow 2 \rightarrow \]

Work to be looked at and answer:

\[ \rightarrow \text{This proposed identity is not valid} \]

Consider as an input \( s[n] \).

\[ s[n] \rightarrow \downarrow 2 \rightarrow \text{Half-sample delay} \rightarrow \dot{\downarrow} \]

\[ s[n] \rightarrow \text{Half-sample delay} \rightarrow \neq 0 \]

\[ \text{for some } n \]

But

\[ s[n] \rightarrow z^{-1} \rightarrow \downarrow 2 \rightarrow = 0 \text{ for all } n \]

\( 0 \)

\( (a_1) \neq (a_2) \)
(b) Proposed identity (b):

\[ z^{-1} \downarrow 2 \rightarrow h[n] \rightarrow \uparrow 2 \rightarrow z \]
\[ z \downarrow 2 \rightarrow h[n+1] \rightarrow \uparrow 2 \rightarrow z^{-2} \]

Work to be looked at and answer:

\[ \text{This proposed identity is not valid.} \]

Consider an input \( s[n-1] \), and consider \( h[n] = \delta[n-1] \).

\[ s[n-1] \rightarrow z^{-1} \rightarrow s[n-2] \rightarrow \delta[n-3] \rightarrow z^{-5} \]
\[ \downarrow \]
\[ s[n-1] \rightarrow s[n-2] \rightarrow \uparrow 2 \rightarrow z^{-5} \]
\[ \downarrow \]
\[ s[n-1] \rightarrow s[n-2] \rightarrow \delta[n-3] \rightarrow z^{-5} \]
\[ \Rightarrow s[n-1] \rightarrow s[n-2] \]

But

\[ s[n-1] \rightarrow z^{-1} \rightarrow s[n] \rightarrow \uparrow 2 \rightarrow z^{-2} \]
\[ \downarrow \]
\[ s[n] \rightarrow s[n] \rightarrow \delta[n] \rightarrow z^{-2} \]
\[ \downarrow \]
\[ s[n] \rightarrow \delta[n] \rightarrow z^{-2} \]

\[ \Rightarrow s[n-1] \rightarrow s[n-2] \]

\[ b_1 \neq b_2 \]
[4%] (c) Proposed identity (c):

\[ Y(e^{j\omega}) = (X(e^{j\omega}))^L \]

where \( L \) is a positive integer, and \( A \) is defined in terms of \( X(e^{j\omega}) \) and \( Y(e^{j\omega}) \) (the respective DTFTs of \( A \)'s input and output) as:

\[ x[n] \rightarrow A \rightarrow y[n] \]

Work to be looked at and answer:

\( \rightarrow \) This proposed identity is valid. This is demonstrated by looking in the frequency domain.

* Considering first system, and input \( v_0 \) (DTFT \( V(e^{j\omega}) \)):

\[ V(e^{j\omega}) \rightarrow V(e^{j\omega}) \rightarrow (V(e^{j\omega}))^L \quad (c_1) \]

* Now considering second system, and same input \( v_0 \):

\[ V(e^{j\omega}) \rightarrow V(e^{j\omega}) \rightarrow (V(e^{j\omega}))^L \quad (c_2) \]

\( c_1 = c_2 \)
Correct identities you may refer to without proof

Noble identities:

Half-sample delay:
Problem 4  (15%)

We find in a treasure chest a zero-phase FIR filter $h[n]$ with associated DTFT $H(e^{j\omega})$, shown in Figure 4-1.

![Filter diagram](image)

Figure 4-1: Plot of $H(e^{j\omega})$ from $-\pi \leq \omega \leq \pi$.

The filter is known to have been designed using the Parks-McClellan (PM) algorithm, as summarized on page 15 of this exam. The input parameters to the PM algorithm are known to have been:

- Passband edge $\omega_p$: $0.4\pi$
- Stopband edge $\omega_s$: $0.6\pi$
- Ideal passband gain $G_p$: 1
- Ideal stopband gain $G_s$: 0
- Error weighting function $W(\omega) = 1$

The value of the input parameter $N$ to the algorithm is not known.
Also along with the plot is a fortune in gold, to be claimed by whoever can reproduce the filter with the Parks-McClellan algorithm for these specifications and with an appropriate value of \( N \). Multiple winners share the gold. You are the sole referee and judge.

Two entries have been submitted, each with a different associated value for the input parameter \( N \) to the algorithm.

- **Entry 1:** \( N = N_1 \)
- **Entry 2:** \( N = N_2 > N_1 \)

Both entrants claim to have obtained the required filter using exactly the same Parks-McClellan algorithm and input parameters, except for the value of \( N \).

After inspecting both entries, you determine that they both have DTFTs identical to Figure 4-1, so you deem both of them winners.

**[3%] (a) What are possible values for \( N_1 \)?**

**Work to be looked at and answer:**

From Fig. 4.1, \( H(e^{j\omega}) \) exhibits 8 alternations of the error (as we saw) since it is an approximation to an ideal LPF with parameters given on p. 12. Because a comparable filter designed with P-M has either L+2 or L+3 alternations and because we’re told that there is another filter out there which meets the specs for \( N_2 > N_1 \), we should consider the L+3 case to find the smaller \( N \).

\[
8 = \text{L+3 alternations} \Rightarrow \text{L} = 5 \Rightarrow \frac{N+1}{2} = 5 \Rightarrow N = 11 \text{ only}
\]

**[3%] (b) What are possible values for \( N_2 > N_1 \)?**

**Work to be looked at and answer:**

Since there are 8 alternations, \( k_3 \) can be no greater than 6.

Therefore \( \frac{N_2 - 1}{2} \leq 6 \Rightarrow N_2 \leq 13 \). The only other possible value of \( N \) for a LPF was found in (a), so \( N_2 = 13 \) only.
[4%] (c) Are the impulse responses $h_1[n]$ and $h_2[n]$ of the two filters submitted by entrants 1 and 2 identical?

Work to be looked at and answer:

Yes. Since both have DTFTs identical to Fig. 4-1,

$$h_1[n] = h_2[n].$$

[5%] (d) Both entrants claim that there can only be one winner, since the alternation theorem requires "uniqueness of the $r$th-order polynomial." If your answer to (c) is yes, explain why the alternation theorem is not violated. If your answer is no, show how the two filters, $h_1[n]$ and $h_2[n]$ respectively, relate.

Work to be looked at and answer:

While the alternation theorem states that for a given $r$, there is a unique $r$th-order polynomial which satisfies it, the theorem makes no claim about how this polynomial may or may not relate to a poly.

It turns out that in this case, the single 5th-order polynomial satisfying the alt. thm. for $r=5$ is identical to the single 6th-order polynomial satisfying the alt. thm. for $r_2=6$. 
The Parks-McClellan algorithm for zero-phase lowpass filter design:

The algorithm for approximating a lowpass design with a zero-phase PM filter takes as input parameters the following:

- Passband edge frequency $\omega_p$
- Stopband edge frequency $\omega_s$
- Ideal passband gain $G_p$
- Ideal stopband gain $G_s$
- Error weighting function $W(\omega)$
- Length $N$ of the filter response $h[n]$, where

$$h[n] = 0 \text{ for } |n| > \frac{N - 1}{2}$$

and $N$ must be odd.

The algorithm returns a filter impulse response which satisfies the alternation theorem, stated below.

**Alternation theorem:** Let $F_P$ denote the closed subset of the disjoint union of closed subsets of the real axis $x$. Then

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_k x^k$$

is an $r$th-order polynomial. Also, $D_P(x)$ denotes a given desired function of $x$ that is continuous on $F_P$; $W_P(x)$ is a positive function, continuous on $F_P$, and

$$E_P(x) = W_P(x) [D_P(x) - P(x)]$$

is the weighted error. The maximum error is defined as

$$\|E\| = \max_{x \in F_P} |E_P(x)|.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition that $P(x)$ be the unique $r$th-order polynomial that minimizes $\|E\|$ is that $E_P(x)$ exhibit at least $(r + 2)$ alternations; i.e., there must exist at least $(r + 2)$ values $x_i$ in $F_P$ such that $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{r+2}$ and such that $E_P(x_i) = -E_P(x_{i+1}) = \pm \|E\|$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, (r + 1)$. 
Problem 5  (12%)  

[6%] (a) $X(e^{j\omega})$ is the DTFT of the discrete-time signal 

$$x[n] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n].$$ 

Find a length-5 sequence $g[n]$ whose 5-point DFT $G[k]$ represents samples of the DTFT of $x[n]$, i.e. 

$$g[n] = 0 \text{ for } n < 0, \ n > 4$$ 

and  

$$G[k] = X(e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{5}}) \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \ldots, 4.$$ 

Work to be looked at and answer:

To obtain 5 samples of $X(e^{j\omega})$, we need to time-alias $x[n]$ to $0 \leq n < 5$ and take a DFT. Sampling at 5 points in frequency corresponds to periodically replicating $x[n]$ with a period of 5, summing the replicas, and extracting the first 5 points by multiplying with a window.

$$g[n] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x[n + 5m]$$ 

for $0 \leq n < 5$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x[n + 5m]$$ 

for $0 \leq n < 5$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+5m}$$ 

for $0 \leq n < 5$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{5m}$$ 

for $0 \leq n < 5$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{1 - (1/2)^5}\right)$$ 

for $0 \leq n < 5$
Let $w[n]$ be a sequence that is strictly non-zero for $0 \leq n \leq 9$ and zero elsewhere, i.e.

$$w[n] \neq 0, \quad 0 \leq n \leq 9$$

$$w[n] = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

Determine a choice for $w[n]$ such that its DTFT $W(e^{j\omega})$ is equal to $X(e^{j\omega})$ at the frequencies $\omega = \frac{2\pi k}{5}$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, 4$, i.e.

$$W(e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{5}}) = X(e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{5}}) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, 4.$$

**Work to be looked at and answer:**

To get the samples of $W(e^{j\omega})$ at 5 frequency points, we need to alias $w[n]$ to 5 points and take its DFT. If

$$w_a[n] = \begin{cases} w[n] + w[n + 5] & 0 \leq n < 5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then $w_a[n]$ must be equal to $g[n]$ to have the same DFT. So $w[n]$ must satisfy $w[n] + w[n + 5] = g[n]$ for $0 \leq n < 5$.

We can find one answer that works by constraining the DTFT of $w[n]$ to be equal to the DTFT of $X(e^{j\omega})$ at 10 points in frequency, which would include the 5 required by the problem. Then we time-alias $z[n]$ to the $0 \leq n < 10$ range to obtain

$$w[n] = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \left( \frac{1}{1 - (1/2)^{10}} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq n < 10$$

as a possible answer, which satisfies the constraint above.
Problem 6 (10%)

A system for the discrete-time spectral analysis of continuous-time signals is shown in Figure 6-1.

![Diagram of spectral analysis system]

Figure 6-1: Spectral analysis system.

\[ w[n] \text{ is a rectangular window of length 32:} \]

\[
w[n] = \begin{cases} 
(1/32), & 0 \leq n \leq 31 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

![Graph of \(|V[k]|\) in dB]

Figure 6-2: Output \(|V[k]|\) in dB
Listed below are ten signals, at least one of which was the input $x_c(t)$. Indicate which signal(s) could have been the input $x_c(t)$ which produced the plot of $|V[k]|$ shown in dB units in Figure 6-2. As always, provide reasoning for your choice(s).

$$
\begin{align*}
    x_1(t) &= 1000 \cos(230\pi t) \\
    x_2(t) &= 1000 \cos(115\pi t) \\
    x_3(t) &= 10e^{j(460)\pi t} \\
    x_4(t) &= 1000e^{j(230)\pi t} \\
    x_5(t) &= 10e^{j(230)\pi t} \\
    x_6(t) &= 1000e^{j(250)\pi t} \\
    x_7(t) &= 10 \cos(250\pi t) \\
    x_8(t) &= 1000 \cos(218.75\pi t) \\
    x_9(t) &= 10e^{j(200)\pi t} \\
    x_{10}(t) &= 1000e^{j(187.5)\pi t}
\end{align*}
$$

Work to be looked at and answer:

- $x_c(t)$ cannot be a cosine, because a cosine would have a second peak in the negative frequencies, i.e. in the upper half of the DFT.
  
  $x_1(t)$, $x_2(t)$, $x_7(t)$, $x_8(t)$ are eliminated.

- $|V[4]| \approx 60 \text{ dB} \approx 1000$

  $x_3(t)$, $x_5(t)$, $x_9(t)$ are eliminated because their amplitudes are too low to have produced a peak magnitude of 1000 in the DFT.

- The CT frequency of $x_c(t)$ cannot correspond exactly to a frequency $\omega_k = \frac{2\pi k}{32}$ sampled by the DFT. Otherwise, the DFT would be non-zero at exactly one value of $k$.

  $x_6(t)$ ($250\pi \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{4} \rightarrow k=4$) and $x_{10}(t)$ ($187.5\pi \rightarrow \frac{3\pi}{16} \rightarrow k=3$) are eliminated.

- $x_4(t)$ is the only signal that could have been the input $x_c(t)$.
Problem 7  (12\%)

\(x[n]\) is a finite-length sequence of length 1024, i.e.

\[ x[n] = 0 \quad \text{for } n < 0, \quad n > 1023. \]

The autocorrelation of \(x[n]\) is defined as

\[ R_{xx}[m] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n]x[n + m], \]

and \(X_N[k]\) is defined as the \(N\)-point DFT of \(x[n]\), with \(N \geq 1024\).

We are interested in computing \(R_{xx}[m]\). A proposed procedure begins by first generating the \(N\)-point inverse DFT of \(|X_N[k]|^2\) to obtain an \(N\)-point sequence \(g_N[n]\), i.e.

\[ g_N[n] = \text{N-point IDFT} \left\{ |X_N[k]|^2 \right\}. \]

[6\%] (a) Determine the minimum value of \(N\) so that \(R_{xx}[m]\) can be obtained from \(g_N[n]\). Also specify how you would obtain \(R_{xx}[m]\) from \(g_N[n]\).

\[ R_{xx}[m] = X[n] \times [-n] \]

\[ = 0 \quad \text{for } m \leq 1023, \quad m > 1023 \]

\[ \left| X_N[k] \right|^2 = X_N^*[k]X_N[k] = \text{N-point DFT} \left\{ X[(-n)] \otimes x[n] \right\} \]

\[ g_N[n] = \text{N-point IDFT} \left\{ \text{N-point DFT} \left\{ X[(-n)] \otimes x[n] \right\} \right\} \]

\[ g_N[n] = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
X[(-n)] \otimes x[n] \quad & \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq N-1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{array} \right. \]

For \(N = 2048\) and \(0 \leq m \leq N-1\):

\[ g_N[n] = x[((-n))_{N}] \times x[n] \]

To obtain \(R_{xx}[m]\), use:

\[ R_{xx}[m] = \begin{cases} 
32047[m] & \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq 1024 \\
32047[2048 - m] & \text{for } -1024 \leq m \leq -1
\end{cases} \]
[6%] (b) Determine the minimum value of $N$ so that $R_{xx}[m]$ for $|m| \leq 10$ can be obtained from $g_N[n]$. Also specify how you would obtain these values from $g_N[n]$.

**Work to be looked at and answer:**

For $0 \leq m \leq N-1$,

$$g_N[n] = x^n(N^{-1}) \otimes x^n \bigg|_{n=m}$$

We'd now like to use a variant of the earlier technique but for smaller $N$. For general even $N$, our "post-processing" step is:

$$R_{xx}[m] = \begin{cases} 
 g_N[n] & \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq \frac{N+1}{2} \\
 g_N[N+m] & \text{for } -\frac{N+1}{2} \leq m \leq -1 
\end{cases}$$

If we want $R_{xx}[m] = R_{xx}[m]$ for $|m| \leq 10$, we need to ensure that the time-aliasing from circular convolution does not affect $g_N[m]$ for $0 \leq m \leq 10$ and for $N-11 \leq m \leq N-1$.

![Diagram](image)

For the lowest possible $N=1034$, we have only $g_N[0]$ affected by aliasing. For $N=1034$, $g[0]$, $g[1]$, and $g[1024]$ are unaffected, etc. Keeping this trend in mind and to satisfy (1), we pick $N = 1034$.

Our post-processing step becomes:

$$R_{xx}[m] = \begin{cases} 
 g_{1034}[m] & \text{for } 0 \leq m \leq 10 \\
 g_{1034}[1034+m] & \text{for } -10 \leq m \leq -1 
\end{cases}$$
Problem 8  (8%)

A system for examining the spectral content of a signal \( x[n] \) is shown in Figure 8-1. The filters \( h[n] \) in each channel are identical three-point non-causal FIR filters and have impulse response

\[ h[n] = h_0 \delta[n] + h_1 \delta[n + 1] + h_2 \delta[n + 2]. \]

The filter outputs are sampled at \( n = 0 \) to obtain the sequence \( y_k[0] \), \( k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \).

![Figure 8-1: Filter bank network.](image)

An alternative to the system in Figure 8-1 has been proposed using a 4-point DFT as shown in Figure 8-2.

![Figure 8-2: Alternative system.](image)
Determine $g[n]$ and $r[n]$ so that $P[k] = y_k[0]$.

**Work to be looked at and answer:**

For Figure 8-1,

$$
\gamma_k[0] = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h[0-n] x[n] e^{-j2\pi nk/4}
$$

Since $h[n]$ is non-zero only for $n=0, -1, -2$, the summation limits become $n=0$ and $n=2$:

$$
\gamma_k[0] = \sum_{n=0}^{2} h[-n] x[n] e^{-j2\pi nk/4}
$$

For Figure 8-2, let $g[n] = S[n]$ be an identity system for now.

$$
P[k] = \sum_{n=0}^{3} p[n] e^{-j2\pi kn/4}
$$

$$
= \sum_{n=0}^{3} r[n] x[n] e^{-j2\pi kn/4}
$$

We can make $P[k] = \gamma_k[0]$ by letting $r[n] = h[-n]$.

$$
r[n] = h_0 S[n] + h_1 S[n-1] + h_2 S[n-2]
$$

So that $r[3] = 0$, and keep

$$
g[n] = S[n]
$$
Problem 9  (9%) 

Consider the system shown in Figure 9-1. The subsystem from $x[n]$ to $y[n]$ is a causal, LTI system implementing the difference equation

$$y[n] = x[n] + ay[n - 1].$$

$x[n]$ is a finite length sequence of length 90, i.e.

$$x[n] = 0 \quad \text{for } n < 0 \text{ and } n > 89.$$

![Figure 9-1: System for calculating $y[M]$ from $x[n]$.](image)

Determine a choice for the complex constant $a$ and a choice for the sampling instant $M$ so that

$$y[M] = X(e^{j\omega})|_{\omega = 2\pi/60}$$

**Work to be looked at and answer:**

To compute the DTFT of $x[n]$ at a particular frequency point we need the impulse response of the LTI filter to be a complex exponential. If $a = W_N^{-k} = e^{j\frac{2\pi k}{N}}$, we can write

$$y[M] = \sum_{n=0}^{M} x[n]W_N^{-k(M-n)}$$

We need the output sample to be equal to the DTFT of $x[n]$ evaluated at $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{60}$, i.e.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{M} x[n]W_N^{-k}W_N^{-Mk} = \sum_{n=0}^{89} x[n]W_6^{n}$$

We can see that we need $M \geq 89$, otherwise samples of $x[n]$ will be disregarded in the computation. If $M$ is chosen to be an integer multiple of $N$, then $W_N^{-Mk} = 1$ and we eliminate that term. All that remains is to choose $k$ and $N$ such that $\frac{k}{N} = \frac{1}{60}$.

If $k = 1$, $N = 60$ and $M = 120$ we have

$$y[M] = \sum_{n=0}^{120} x[n]W_{60}^{n}W_{60}^{-120} = \sum_{n=0}^{89} x[n]W_{60}^{n}$$

So we can use $a = W_{60}^{-1} = e^{j\frac{\pi}{3}}$ and $M = 120$

In fact, any $M$ that is a multiple of 60 and is greater than 89 will work with this choice of $a$, due to the periodicity of $W_{60}^{n}$. 