



Multidisciplinary System Design Optimization (MSDO)

Gradient Calculation and Sensitivity Analysis Lecture 9

Olivier de Weck Karen Willcox



Today's Topics

16.888 ESD.77

- Gradient calculation methods
 - Analytic and Symbolic
 - Finite difference
 - Complex step
 - Adjoint method
 - Automatic differentiation
- Post-Processing Sensitivity Analysis
 - effect of changing design variables
 - effect of changing parameters
 - effect of changing constraints



Engineering Systems Division and Dept. of Aeronautics and Astronautics





Gradient vector points to larger values of J

Mest Other Gradient-Related Quantities

 Jacobian: Matrix of derivatives of multiple functions w.r.t. vector of variables



Hessian: Matrix of second-order derivatives

$$\mathbf{H} = \nabla^2 \mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial x_2^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial x_n \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial x_n^2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{n \times n}$$



- Required by gradient-based optimization algorithms
 - Normally need gradient of objective function and each constraint w.r.t. design variables at each iteration
 - Newton methods require Hessians as well
- Isoperformance/goal programming
- Robust design
- Post-processing sensitivity analysis
 - determine if result is optimal
 - sensitivity to parameters, constraint values

Analytical Sensitivities

16 888

If the objective function is known in closed form, we can often compute the gradient vector(s) in closed form (analytically):

Example:
$$J \quad x_1, x_2 = x_1 + x_2 + \frac{1}{x_1 \cdot x_2}$$

Analytical Gradient: $\nabla J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{x_1^2 x_2} \\ 1 - \frac{1}{x_1 x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$
Example
 $x_1 = x_2 = 1$
 $J(1,1) = 3$
 $\nabla J(1,1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
Minimum

For complex systems analytical gradients are rarely available

© Massachusetts Institute of Technology - Prof. de Weck and Prof. Willcox Engineering Systems Division and Dept. of Aeronautics and Astronautics

hza





- Use symbolic mathematics programs
- e.g. MATLAB®, Maple®, Mathematica®





Finite Differences (I)

Function of a single variable f(x)

• First-order finite difference approximation of gradient:









16.888 ESD 77





Approximations are derived from Taylor Series expansion:

$$f x_{o} + \Delta x = f x_{o} + \Delta x f' x_{o} + \frac{\Delta x^{2}}{2} f'' x_{o} + O \Delta x^{3}$$

Neglect second order and higher order terms; solve for gradient vector:





Finite Differences (III)

16 888

Take Taylor expansion backwards at $x_o - \Delta x$ $f x_o + \Delta x = f x_o + \Delta x f' x_o + \frac{\Delta x^2}{2} f'' x_o + O \Delta x^2$ (1) $f x_o - \Delta x = f x_o - \Delta x f' x_o + \frac{\Delta x^2}{2} f'' x_o + O \Delta x^2$ (2)

(1) - (2) and solve again for derivative





Finite Differences (IV)

16,888

FSD 77









• Second-order finite difference approximation of second derivative:





- Error Analysis (Gill et al. 1981)
 - $\Delta x \cong \varepsilon_A / |f|^{1/2}$ Forward difference
 - $\Delta x \cong \varepsilon_A / |f|^{1/3}$ Central difference
- Machine Precision

Step size $\Delta x_k \cong x_k \cdot 10^{-q}$ at k-th iteration

q-# of digits of machine Precision for real numbers

Trial and Error – typical value ~ 0.1-1%

hza

\mathcal{E}_A \dot{X}_{o} $\sim \Lambda x$ computed values



Mese Comput	tational Expense of FD	16.888 ESD.77
$F J_i$	Cost of a single objective function evaluation of J_i	
$n \cdot F J_i$	Cost of gradient vector one-sided finite difference approximation for J for a design vector of length <i>n</i>	I _i
$z \cdot n \cdot F J_i$	Cost of Jacobian finite difference approximation with <i>z</i> objective functions	
Example: 6 objectives	3 min of CPU tim	le

30 design variables 1 sec per function evaluation for a single Jacobian estimate - expensive !



16 888

Similar to finite differences, but uses an imaginary step

$$f'(x_0) \approx \frac{\operatorname{Im}[f(x_0 + i\Delta x)]}{\Delta x}$$

• Second order accurate

e

- Can use very small step sizes e.g. $\Delta x \approx 10^{-20}$
 - Doesn't have rounding error, since it doesn't perform subtraction
- Limited application areas
 - Code must be able to handle complex step values

J.R.R.A. Martins, I.M. Kroo and J.J. Alonso, An automated method for sensitivity analysis using complex variables, AIAA Paper 2000-0689, Jan 2000



- Mathematical formulae are built from a finite set of basic functions, e.g. additions, sin *x*, exp *x*, etc.
- Using chain rule, differentiate analysis code: add statements that generate derivatives of the basic functions
- Tracks numerical values of derivatives, does not track symbolically as discussed before
- Outputs modified program = original + derivative capability
- e.g., ADIFOR (FORTRAN), TAPENADE (C, FORTRAN), TOMLAB (MATLAB), many more...
- Resources at http://www.autodiff.org/





Consider the following problem: Minimize $J(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$ s.t. $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$

where \mathbf{x} are the design variables and \mathbf{u} are the state variables. The constraints represent the state equation.

e.g. wing design: **x** are shape variables, **u** are flow variables, $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u})=0$ represents the Navier Stokes equations.

We need to compute the gradients of *J* wrt **x**:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}J}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}$$

Typically the dimension of **u** is very high (thousands/millions).







 $\frac{\mathrm{d}J}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}}$

To compute du/dx, differentiate the state equation:





22

Adjoint Methods



• We have
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}J}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\right)^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

• Now define $\lambda = \left[\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\right)^{-1}\right]^{T}$

• Then to determine the gradient:

First solve
$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\right)^T \mathbf{\lambda} = \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\right)^T$$
 (adjoint equation)
Then compute $\frac{\mathrm{d}J}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{\lambda}^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$







• Solving adjoint equation $\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\right)^T \lambda = \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\right)^T$

about same cost as solving forward problem (function evaluation)

- Adjoints widely used in aerodynamic shape optimization, optimal flow control, geophysics applications, etc.
- Some automatic differentiation tools have 'reverse mode' for computing adjoints

Mest Post-Processing Sensitivity Analysis

- A sensitivity analysis is an important component of post-processing
- Key to understanding which design variables, constraints, and parameters are important drivers for the optimum solution
- How sensitive is the "optimal" solution J* to changes or perturbations of the design variables x*?
- How sensitive is the "optimal" solution x* to changes in the constraints g(x), h(x) and fixed parameters p?

Sensitivity Analysis: Aircraft

Questions for aircraft design:

How does my solution change if I

- change the cruise altitude?
- change the cruise speed?
- change the range?
- change material properties?
- relax the constraint on payload?

• ...

16 888

Questions for spacecraft design:

How does my solution change if I

- change the orbital altitude?
- change the transmission frequency?
- change the specific impulse of the propellant?
- change launch vehicle?
- Change desired mission lifetime?
- ...

16 RRR



"How does the optimal solution change as we change the problem parameters?"



effect on design variables effect on objective function effect on constraints

Want to answer this question without having to solve the optimization problem again.



In order to compare sensitivities from different design variables in terms of their *relative* sensitivity it may be necessary to normalize:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_i} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{0}}}$$
 "rav derived on the second sec

"raw" - unnormalized sensitivity = partial derivative evaluated at point $x_{i,o}$

$$\frac{\Delta J/J}{\Delta x_i/x_i} = \frac{x_{i,o}}{J(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{o}})} \cdot \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_i}\Big|_{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{o}}}$$

Normalized sensitivity captures relative sensitivity

~ % change in objective per
 % change in design variable

Important for comparing effect between design variables





Nesd Realistic Example: Spacecraft



© Massachusetts Institute of Technology - Prof. de Weck and Prof. Willcox Engineering Systems Division and Dept. of Aeronautics and Astronautics

16,888

ESO 1

Graphical Representation



Graphical Representation of Jacobian evaluated at design x^o, normalized for comparison.

16,888



J1: RMMS WFE most sensitive to:

Ru - upper wheel speed limit [RPM] Sst - star tracker noise 1σ [asec] K_rISO - isolator joint stiffness [Nm/rad] K_zpet - deploy petal stiffness [N/m]

J2: RSS LOS most sensitive to:

Ud - dynamic wheel imbalance [gcm²] K_rISO - isolator joint stiffness [Nm/rad] zeta - proportional damping ratio [-] Mgs - guide star magnitude [mag] Kcf - FSM controller gain [-]



Parameters



Parameters **p** are the fixed assumptions. How sensitive is the optimal solution x* with respect to fixed parameters ?

Example:



Optimal solution:

x* =[R=106.1m, L=0m, N=17 cows][⊤]

Fixed parameters:

<u>Parameters</u>: f=100\$/m - Cost of fence n=2000\$/cow - Cost of a single cow m=2\$/liter - Market price of milk

How does x* change as parameters change?







KKT conditions:
$$\nabla J(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{j \in M} \lambda_j \nabla \hat{g}_j(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$$
Set of
active
constraints $\hat{g}_j(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, \quad j \in M$ $j \in M$ Set of
active
constraints

For a small change in a parameter, *p*, we require that the KKT conditions remain valid: $\frac{d(KKT \text{ conditions})}{dp} = 0$

Rewrite first equation:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}^*) + \sum_{j \in M} \lambda_j \frac{\partial \hat{g}_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$





Recall chain rule. If: $Y = Y(p, \mathbf{x}(p))$ then

$$\frac{dY}{dp} = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial p} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial p}$$

Applying to first equation of KKT conditions:





16 888

FSO '

Perform same procedure on equation: $g_i(x^*, p) = 0$

$$\frac{\partial \hat{g}_j}{\partial p} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \hat{g}_j}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial p} = 0$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{kj} \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial p} + d_j = 0$$



16,888

ESD 77

In matrix form we can write:



Engineering Systems Division and Dept. of Aeronautics and Astronautics





We solve the system to find δx and $\delta \lambda$, then the sensitivity of the objective function with respect to *p* can be found:

$$\frac{dJ}{dp} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial p} + \nabla J^T \delta \mathbf{X}$$

$$\Delta J \approx \frac{dJ}{dp} \Delta p$$

(first-order approximation)

$\Delta \mathbf{x} \approx \delta \mathbf{x} \Delta p$

To assess the effect of changing a different parameter, we only need to calculate a new RHS in the matrix system.

Mesd Sensitivity Analysis - Constraints

- We also need to assess when an active constraint will become inactive and vice versa
- An active constraint will become inactive when its Lagrange multiplier goes to zero:

$$\Delta \lambda_j = \frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial p} \Delta p = \delta \lambda_j \Delta p$$

Find the Δp that makes λ_i zero:

$$\lambda_{j} + \delta \lambda_{j} \Delta p = 0$$
$$\Delta p = \frac{-\lambda_{j}}{\delta \lambda_{j}} \quad j \in M$$

This is the amount by which we can change p before the j^{th} constraint becomes inactive (to a first order approximation)

Nes V Sensitivity Analysis - Constraints

An inactive constraint will become active when $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ goes to zero:

$$\boldsymbol{g}_{j}(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{g}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{*}) + \Delta \boldsymbol{p} \left[\nabla \boldsymbol{g}_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{*})^{T} \delta \mathbf{x} \right] = \boldsymbol{0}$$

Find the Δp that makes g_i zero:

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{p} = \frac{-\boldsymbol{g}_j(\mathbf{x}^*)}{\nabla \boldsymbol{g}_j(\mathbf{x}^*)^T \delta \mathbf{x}}$$

for all *j* not active at x*

16 888

- This is the amount by which we can change p before the jth constraint becomes active (to a first order approximation)
- If we want to change p by a larger amount, then the problem must be solved again including the new constraint
- Only valid close to the optimum © Massachusetts Institute of Technology Prof. de Weck and Prof. Willcox

Engineering Systems Division and Dept. of Aeronautics and Astronautics

Mest Lagrange Multiplier Interpretation

• Consider the problem:

minimize $J(\mathbf{x})$ s.t. $h(\mathbf{x})=0$

with optimal solution \mathbf{x}^*

 What happens if we change constraint k by a small amount?

$$h_k(\mathbf{x}^*) = \varepsilon$$
 $h_j(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0, \quad \forall j \neq k$

• Differentiating w.r.t ε

$$\nabla h_k \frac{d\mathbf{x}^*}{d\varepsilon} = 1 \qquad \nabla h_j \frac{d\mathbf{x}^*}{d\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \forall j \neq k$$

© Massachusetts Institute of Technology - Prof. de Weck and Prof. Willcox Engineering Systems Division and Dept. of Aeronautics and Astronautics

16 888

Mesd Lagrange Multiplier Interpretation

• How does the objective function change?



• Using KKT conditions:

$$\frac{dJ}{d\varepsilon} = \left(-\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \nabla h_{j}\right) \frac{d\mathbf{x}^{*}}{d\varepsilon} = -\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} \nabla h_{j} \frac{d\mathbf{x}^{*}}{d\varepsilon} = -\lambda_{k}$$

• Lagrange multiplier is negative of sensitivity of cost function to constraint value. Also called *shadow price.*

16 888



- Gradient calculation approaches
 - Analytical and Symbolic
 - Finite difference
 - Automatic Differentiation
 - Adjoint methods
- Sensitivity analysis
 - Yields important information about the design space, both as the optimization is proceeding and once the "optimal" solution has been reached.

Reading

Papalambros – Section 8.2 Computing Derivatives

ESD.77 / 16.888 Multidisciplinary System Design Optimization Spring 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.