Integers and exponents

<u>Definition</u>. A set of real numbers is called an <u>inductive</u> <u>set</u> if

(a) The number 1 is in the set.

(b) For every x in the set, the number x + 1 is in the set also.

The set R^+ of positive real numbers is an example of an inductive set. [The number 1 is in R^+ because 1 > 0. And if x is in R^+ (so that x > 0), then x + 1 is in R^+ (since x + 1 > 1 > 0).]

<u>Definition</u>. A real number that belongs to <u>every</u> inductive set is called a <u>positive integer</u>; such a number is necessarily positive because R^+ is an inductive set.

Let P denote the set of positive integers. We prove some basic properties of this set.

Theorem 1. Every element of P is greater than or equal to 1.

<u>Proof</u>. We shall show that the set A of all real numbers greater than or equal to 1 is inductive. It then follows that every positive integer belongs to this set.

The number 1 belongs to the set A, since $1 \ge 1$. Suppose x belongs to the set A. Then $x \ge 1$; it follows that $x + 1 \ge 1 + 1 > 1$, so that x + 1 belongs to the set A. Thus A is inductive. \Box Theorem 2. 1 is in P.

Proof. 1 belongs to every inductive set (by definition of "inductive.") Hence 1 belongs to P (by definition of P). **D**

<u>Theorem 3.</u> If x is in P, so is x + 1.

<u>Proof</u>. Suppose that x is a given element of P. Let I be an arbitrary inductive set. Then x is in I (by definition of P). Hence x + 1 is in I (by definition of "inductive"). Since I is arbitrary, x + 1 is in I for every inductive set I. We conclude that x + 1 is in P (by definition of P). \Box

Theorem 4 (Principle of induction). Let S be a set of positive integers. If 1 is in S, and if for every x in S, x + 1 is also in S, then necessarily S contains every positive integer.

Proof. S is inductive, by hypothesis. Therefore every positive integer is in S, by definition of P. D

Now we show that P is closed under addition and multiplication.

Theorem 5. If a and b are in P, so is a + b.

<u>Proof.</u> Let a be a fixed positive integer. Then we let S be the set of all positive integers b for which a + b is a positive integer. We shall show that S contains all positive integers; then the theorem is proved. We use the principle of induction.

The number 1 is in S, because a + 1 is a positive integer (by Theorem 3). Given an element b in S, we show that b + 1 is in S. Now a + b is a positive integer by hypothesis; hence (a+b) + 1 is a positive integer by Theorem 3. Thus a + (b+1) is a positive integer, so b + 1 belongs to S, by definition of S. Thus S is inductive. \Box

> <u>Theorem 6</u>. If a and b are in P, so is a \cdot b. The proof is left as an exercise.

<u>Definition</u>. A number x is called an <u>integer</u> if it is 0, or is a positive integer, or is the negative of a positive integer. It is easy to see that the negative of any integer is an integer, since -(-a) = a and -0 = 0.

Let Z denote the set of integers. We now show that Z is closed under addition, multiplication, <u>and</u> subtraction. Closure under multiplication is easy, so we leave the proof as an exercise:

Theorem 7. If a and b are in Z, so is a · b. Closure under addition and subtraction are more difficult:

<u>Theorem 8. If a and b are in Z, so are a + b and</u> a - b.

<u>Proof</u>. We proceed in several steps.

Step 1. We show that the theorem is true in the case where a is a positive integer and b = 1. That is, if a is a positive integer, we show that a + 1 and a - 1 are integers. That a + 1 is an integer (in fact, a positive integer) has already been proved. We prove that a - 1 is an integer, by induction on a. It is true if a = 1, since a - 1 = 0 if a = 1. Supposing it true for a, we prove it true for a + 1. That is, we show (a+1) - 1 is an integer. But that is trivial, since (a+1) - 1 = a, which is an integer by hypothesis (in fact, a positive integer).

<u>Step 2</u>. We show the theorem is true if a is any integer and b = 1.

We consider three cases. If a is a positive integer, this result follows from Step 1. If a = 0, the result is immediate, since

0 + 1 = 1 and 0 - 1 = -1.

Finally, suppose a = -c, where c is a positive integer. Then

$$a + 1 = -c + 1 = -(c-1),$$

a - 1 = -c - 1 = -(c+1).

Both c - 1 and c + 1 are integers, by Step 1; then a + 1 and a - 1 are also integers.

<u>Step 3</u>. We show the theorem is true if a is any integer and b is a positive integer.

We proceed by induction on b, holding a fixed. We know the theorem holds if b = 1, by Step 2. Supposing it holds for b, we show it holds for b + 1. That is, we show that a + (b+1) and a - (b+1) are integers. Now

a + (b+1) = (a+b) + 1,

a - (b+1) = (a-b) - 1.

Both a + b and a - b are integers, by the induction hypothesis; then Step 2 applies to show that (a+b) + 1 and (a-b) - 1 are integers.

Step 4. The theorem is true in general. Let a be any integer. The case where b is a positive integer was treated in Step 3, and the case where b = 0 is trivial. Consider finally the case where b = -d, where d is a positive integer. Then

a + b = a - d and a - b = a + d;

Step 3 applies to show that both a - d and a + d are integers. \Box

Now we prove the "obvious" fact that if n is an integer, then n + 1 is the "next" integer after n:

<u>Theorem 9.</u> If n is in Z and n < a < n+1, then a is not in Z.

<u>Proof</u>. From the hypothesis of the theorem, it follows that

0 < a-n < 1.

If a were in Z, then a - n would be an integer, by the preceding theorem. But 1 is the smallest positive integer, by Theorem 1. Therefore a is not in Z. \Box

Now we define integral exponents.

<u>Definition</u>. Let a be any real number. We define aⁿ, when n is a positive integer, by induction, as follows. We define

$$a^1 = a,$$

and supposing aⁿ is defined, we define

 $a^{n+1} = a^n \cdot a$.

Then aⁿ is defined for every positive integer n. The number n in this expression is called the <u>exponent</u>, and the number a is called the <u>base</u>.

Exponents satisfy three basic laws, which are stated in the following three theorems. They are called the <u>laws of</u> <u>exponents</u>.

<u>Theorem 10</u>. $a^n \cdot a^m = a^{n+m}$.

<u>Proof</u>. Let a and n be fixed. We prove the theorem "by induction on m." The theorem is true for m = 1, since $a^n \cdot a^1 = a^n \cdot a = a^{n+1}$ by definition. Suppose it is true for m; we show it is true for m + 1. It follows that it holds for all m. We have

 $a^n \cdot a^{m+1} = a^n \cdot (a^m \cdot a)$ by definition,

= $(a^n \cdot a^m) \cdot a$ by associativity of multiplication,

= (a^{n+m}) · a by the induction hypothesis,

 $= a^{(n+m)+1}$ by definition,

= $a^{n+(m+1)}$ by associativity of addition.

Thus the theorem is proved for m + 1, as desired. \Box

Similar proofs hold for the following two theorems, whose proofs are left as exercises:

<u>Theorem 11</u>. $(a^n)^m = a^{nm}$. <u>Theorem 12</u>. $a^n \cdot b^n = (a \cdot b)^n$.

Now we define negative exponents.

<u>Definition</u>. Let a be a real number <u>different from</u> <u>zero</u>. We define zero and negative exponents by the rules:

 $a^{0} = 1$,

 $a^{-n} = 1/(a^n)$ if n is a positive integer.

Theorem 13. The "laws of exponents" hold when n and m are arbitrary integers, provided a and b are non-zero.

The proof is left as an exercise.

Later on, (in Section G) we shall extend this definition to define "rational exponents"; that is, we shall define a^r when a is positive and r is rational. Still later (in Section M), we shall extend the definition still further to define a^x when a is positive and x is an arbitrary real number. In each of these cases, the same three laws of exponents will hold.

Exercises

- 1. Prove Theorems 6 and 7.
- 2. Prove Theorems 11 and 12.
- 3. Show that if a set A of integers is bounded above, then A has a largest element. [Hint: Use the least upper bound axiom.]
- 4. Let F be the set of all real numbers of the form $a + b \downarrow Z$, where a and b are rational. Show that F is closed under addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. Conclude that F is an "ordered field", that is, that F satisfies Axioms 1 - 9. Show that F does not contain $\downarrow 3$.
- 5. Let n and m be <u>positive</u> integers; let a and b be non-zero real numbers. Let p be any integer. Given that the laws of exponents hold for positive integral exponents, prove them for arbitrary integral exponents as follows:
 - (a) Show $a^n a^{-m} = a^{n-m}$ in the three cases n - m > 0 and n - m = 0 and n - m < 0.
 - (b) Show $a^{-n}a^{-n} = a^{-n-n}$; and $a^{0}a^{0} = a^{0}$.
 - (c) Show $(a^n)^{-m} = a^{-nm} = (a^{-n})^m$.
 - (d) Show $(a^{-n})^{-m} = a^{nm}$, and $(a^{\circ})^{p} = (a^{p})^{\circ} = a^{\circ}$. (e) Show $a^{-n}b^{-n} = (ab)^{-n}$, and $a^{\circ}b^{\circ} = (ab)^{\circ}$.

6. Let a and h be real numbers; let m be a positive integer. Show by induction that if a and a + h are positive, then

$$(a+h)^{\underline{m}} \geq a^{\underline{m}} + ma^{\underline{m}-1}h.$$

[Note: Be explicit about where you use the fact that a and a + h are positive. Note that h is not assumed to be positive.] We shall use this result later on. MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

18.014 Calculus with Theory Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.