22 Isogeny volcanoes

We now want to shift our focus from elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$ to elliptic curves over finite fields in particular. As noted in Lecture 20, the moduli interpretation of the modular polynomial $X_0(N)$ parameterizing cyclic isogenies of degree $N$ is valid over any field whose characteristic does not divide $N$; see Theorem 20.4. We can thus use the modular equation $\Phi_N \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ to identify pairs of $N$-isogenous elliptic curves using $j$-invariants in any field $k$. When $k$ is not algebraically closed this determines the elliptic curves only up to a twist, but for finite fields there are generally only two twists to consider (assuming $j \neq 0, 1728$), and in many applications it suffices to work with $\bar{k}$ isomorphism classes of elliptic curves defined over $k$, equivalently the set of $j$-invariants of elliptic curves $E/k$, which by Theorem 13.12, is just the set $k$ itself.

We are particularly interested in the case where $N$ is a prime $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$. Every isogeny of degree $\ell$ is necessarily cyclic (since $\ell$ is prime), and for any fixed $j$-invariant $j_1 := j(E_1)$, the $k$-rational roots of the polynomial

$$\phi_\ell(Y) = \Phi_\ell(j_1, Y)$$

are the $j$-invariants of the elliptic curves $E_2/k$ that are $\ell$-isogenous to $E$. More precisely, there is a bijection between the $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$-invariant roots of $\phi_\ell(Y)$ in $k$ and the $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$-invariant cyclic subgroups of $E[\ell]$, provided we count roots of $\phi_\ell(Y)$ with multiplicity. Over $\bar{k}$ there are $\deg \phi_\ell = \ell + 1$ (not necessarily distinct) roots of $\phi_\ell$ corresponding to $\ell + 1$ (necessarily distinct) cyclic subgroups of $E[\ell] \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\ell$ of order $\ell$. Recall from Theorem 5.11 that every finite subgroup of $E(\bar{k})$ is the kernel of a separable isogeny that is uniquely determined up to composition with isomorphisms. As we are only interested in isogenies up to isomorphism, we consider separable isogenies to be distinct only when their kernels differ.

Throughout this lecture we assume $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$, so all the isogenies we shall consider are separable.

**Definition 22.1.** The $\ell$-isogeny graph $G_\ell(k)$ is the directed graph with vertex set $k$ and edges $(j_1, j_2)$ present with multiplicity equal to the multiplicity of $j_2$ as a root of $\Phi_\ell(j_1, Y)$.

As noted in Remark 20.6, if $j_1 = j(E_1)$ and $j_2 = j(E_2)$ are the $j$-invariants of a pair of $\ell$-isogenous elliptic curves, the ordered pair $(j_1, j_2)$ does not uniquely determine an $\ell$-isogeny $\varphi : E_1 \to E_2$; their may be multiple $\ell$-isogenies from $E_1$ to $E_2$ with distinct kernels. The existence of the dual isogeny guarantees that $(j_1, j_2)$ is an edge in $G_\ell(k)$ if and only if $(j_2, j_1)$ is also an edge; provided that $j_1, j_2 \neq 0, 1728$ these edges have the same multiplicity, but in the exceptional case where one of $j_1$ and $j_2$ is 0 or 1728, this need not hold.

**Remark 22.2.** The exceptions for $j$-invariants $0 = j(\rho)$ and $1728 = j(i)$ arise from the fact that the corresponding elliptic curves $y^2 = x^3 + B$ and $y^2 = x^3 + Ax$ have automorphisms $\rho : (x, y) \mapsto (\rho x, y)$ and $i : (x, y) \mapsto (-x, iy)$, respectively, where $\rho$ and $i$ denote third and fourth roots of unity, respectively, in both $\text{End}(E)$ and $\bar{k}$. The automorphism $-1$ does not pose a problem because it fixes every cyclic subgroup of $E[\ell]$, so for any $\ell$-isogeny $\varphi : E_1 \to E_2$ the isogeny $\varphi \circ [-1] = [-1] \circ \varphi$ has the same kernel as $\varphi$; this does not apply to $\rho$ and $i$, which fix only two cyclic subgroups of $E[\ell]$. If $j(E_1) = 0$ and $j(E_2) \neq 0$ then we cannot write “$\varphi \circ \rho = \rho \circ \varphi$” (the RHS does not even make sense, $\rho \notin \text{End}(E_2)$) and the isogenies $\varphi, \varphi \circ \rho, \varphi \circ \rho^2$ all have different kernels, but the corresponding dual-isogenies all have the same kernel. In this situation the edge $(j(E_1), j(E_2))$ has multiplicity 3 in $G_\ell(k)$ but the edge $(j(E_2), j(E_1))$ has multiplicity 1. The case where $j(E_1) = 1728$ and $j(E_2) \neq 1728$ is similar, except now $(j(E_1), j(E_2))$ has multiplicity 2.
Our objective in this lecture is to elucidate the structure of the graph $G_\ell(k)$ in the case that $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field. Recall from Lecture 14 that elliptic curves over finite fields may be classified according to their endomorphism algebras and are either ordinary (meaning $\text{End}^0(E)$ is an imaginary quadratic field) or supersingular (meaning $\text{End}^0(E)$ is a quaternion algebra). Whether $E$ is ordinary or supersingular is an isogeny invariant (by Theorem 13.2), so the graph $G_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q)$ can always be partitioned into ordinary and supersingular components. Since most elliptic curves are ordinary, we will focus on the ordinary components; you will have an opportunity to investigate the supersingular components on Problem Set 12.

22.1 Isogenies between elliptic curves with complex multiplication

**Theorem 22.3.** Let $\varphi : E \to E'$ be an $\ell$-isogeny of elliptic curves defined over a field $k$. Then $\text{End}^0(E') \simeq \text{End}^0(E)$, and if $\text{End}^0(E) = K$ is an imaginary quadratic field then $\text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O}$ and $\text{End}(E') = \mathcal{O}'$ are orders in $K$ such that one of the following holds:

(i) $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}'$,
(ii) $[\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O}'] = \ell$,
(iii) $[\mathcal{O}' : \mathcal{O}] = \ell$.

**Proof.** Let $\hat{\varphi} : E' \to E$ be the dual isogeny. If $\phi \in \text{End}(E)$, the isogeny $\varphi \circ \phi \circ \hat{\varphi} : E' \to E'$ is an endomorphism $\phi' \in \text{End}(E')$ with

$$T\phi' = \phi' + \varphi \circ \phi \circ \hat{\varphi} + \varphi \circ \hat{\varphi} \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ [T\phi] \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \phi \circ [T\phi] = \ell T\phi,$$

$$N\phi' = \phi' \circ \varphi \circ \phi \circ \hat{\varphi} \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \phi \circ [\ell] \circ \hat{\varphi} \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ [\ell N\phi] \circ \varphi = \ell^2 N\phi,$$

and $\phi'$ is a root of $x^2 - (T\phi')x + N\phi' = x^2 - (T\phi)(\ell x) + \ell^2 N\phi = 0$. Thus $\phi'/\ell \in \text{End}^0(E')$ is a root of $x^2 - (T\phi)x + N\phi$, and it follows that the characteristic polynomial of every $\phi \in \text{End}(E)$ has a root in $\text{End}^0(E')$ and therefore $\text{End}(E) \subseteq \text{End}^0(E')$. Applying the same argument in the reverse direction shows that $\text{End}(E') \subseteq \text{End}^0(E)$, so we must have $\text{End}^0(E') = \text{End}^0(E)$.

Assume $\text{End}^0(E') \simeq \text{End}^0(E)$ is an imaginary quadratic field, with $\text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O} = [1, \tau]$ and $\text{End}(E') = \mathcal{O}' = [1, \tau']$. Then $\varphi \circ \tau \circ \hat{\varphi} \in \text{End}(E') = \mathcal{O}'$ has the same characteristic polynomial as $\ell \tau \in \mathcal{O}$, which implies $\ell \tau \in \mathcal{O}'$ (since $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$ lie in the same field $K$). We similarly find that $\ell \tau' \in \mathcal{O}$. Thus $[1, \ell \tau] \subseteq [1, \tau]$, and $[1, \ell \tau'] \subseteq [1, \tau]$, and therefore

$$[1, \ell^2 \tau] \subseteq [1, \ell \tau'] \subseteq [1, \tau].$$

The index of $[1, \ell^2 \tau]$ in $[1, \tau]$ is $\ell^2$, so the index of $[1, \ell \tau']$ in $[1, \tau]$ must be $1$, $\ell$, or $\ell^2$. These correspond to cases (iii), (i), and (ii) of the theorem, respectively. \hfill $\Box$

**Definition 22.4.** Theorem 22.3 allows us to distinguish $\ell$-isogenies $\varphi : E \to E'$ of elliptic curves with CM by an imaginary quadratic field as follows:

(i) when $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}'$ we say that $\varphi$ is horizontal;
(ii) when $[\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O}'] = \ell$ we say that $\varphi$ is descending;
(iii) when $[\mathcal{O}' : \mathcal{O}] = \ell$ we say that $\varphi$ is ascending.

We collectively refer to ascending and descending isogenies as vertical isogenies.

**Theorem 22.5.** Let $E/\mathbb{C}$ be an elliptic curve with CM by an order $\mathcal{O}$ of discriminant $D$ in an imaginary quadratic field $K$, and let $\ell$ be prime. If $\ell \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ then $E$ admits $1 + (\frac{D}{\ell})$ horizontal, $\ell - (\frac{D}{\ell})$ descending, and no ascending $\ell$-isogenies. Otherwise $E$ admits no horizontal, $\ell$ descending, and one ascending $\ell$-isogenies.
Proof. We know that there are always $\ell + 1$ $\ell$-isogenies in total, so it suffices to prove that the counts of horizontal and ascending $\ell$-isogenies given in the theorem are correct.

Let us first consider the special case in which $E$ corresponds to a torus $\mathbb{C}/L$ with $L := \ell \mathcal{O}$ homothetic to $\mathcal{O}$. As explained in Lecture 17 (see §17.5), every $\ell$-isogeny $\varphi: E \to E'$ arises from a lattice inclusion $L \subseteq L'$ of index $\ell$. The lattices $L'$ containing $L = \ell \mathcal{O}$ with index $\ell$ are precisely the index-$\ell$ sublattices of $\mathcal{O}$. We then have

$$\text{End}(E') \simeq \text{End}(\mathbb{C}/L') = \mathcal{O}(L') := \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha L' \subseteq L' \},$$

and the inclusion $L \subseteq L'$ gives rise to a horizontal $\ell$-isogeny if and only if $\mathcal{O}(L') = \mathcal{O}$, in other words, precisely when $L'$ is a proper $\mathcal{O}$-ideal. By Corollary 21.7, if $\ell \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ there are $1 + \left( \frac{D}{\ell} \right)$ proper $\mathcal{O}$-ideals of norm $\ell$, and otherwise there are none, which matches the claimed count of horizontal $\ell$-isogenies.

When $\ell \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ there can be no ascending $\ell$-isogenies, so it remains only to show that when $\ell$ divides $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ there is exactly one ascending $\ell$-isogeny. In this case $\mathcal{O}$ is an index-$\ell$ suborder of some order $\mathcal{O}'$ in $\mathcal{O}_K$, and we want to show that exactly one of the index $\ell$ sublattices $L'$ of $\mathcal{O}$ (each of which contain $L = \ell \mathcal{O}$ with index $\ell$) satisfies $\mathcal{O}(L') = \mathcal{O}'$. Let $\mathcal{O}' = [1, \omega]$. We can assume $\mathcal{O} = [1, \ell \omega]$, since this is clearly an index-$\ell$ suborder of $\mathcal{O}'$ and there is exactly one such suborder (by Theorem 17.18). The index-$\ell$ sublattices of $\mathcal{O}$ are $L_i := [\ell, \ell \omega + i]$ for $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $L_{\ell} := [1, \ell^2 \omega]$, by Lemma 20.2. Note that $L_0$ is homothetic to $\mathcal{O}'$, and we claim it is the unique index-$\ell$ sublattice $L'$ for which $\mathcal{O}(L') = \mathcal{O}'$, equivalently, for which the inclusion $L \subseteq L'$ induces an ascending $\ell$-isogeny $\varphi: E \to E'$.

We have $\mathcal{O}' L_0 = \mathcal{O}' L_0' = \mathcal{O}' = L_0$, so $\mathcal{O}' \subseteq \mathcal{O}(L_0)$, and $\mathcal{O}(L_0)$ cannot be larger than $\mathcal{O}'$, since $\mathcal{O}'$ is the largest order possible for $\text{End}(E')$, by Theorem 22.3, thus $\mathcal{O}(L_0) = \mathcal{O}'$ and the inclusion $L \subseteq L_0$ induces an ascending $\ell$-isogeny. For $0 < i < \ell$ the element $\omega(\ell \omega + i) = \ell \omega^2 + i \omega \equiv i \omega \mod \ell \not\in [1, \ell \omega]$ is not an element of $L_i$, so $\mathcal{O}' \not\subseteq L_i$, and for $i = \ell$ the element $\omega \cdot 1 = \omega \not\in [1, \ell \omega]$ is not an element of $L_\ell$ and again $\mathcal{O}' \not\subseteq L_i$. Thus $\varphi$ is an ascending $\ell$-isogeny if and only if $L' = L_0$ and there is exactly one such $\varphi$.

We now consider the general case, in which $L$ is homothetic to a proper $\mathcal{O}$-ideal $a$, which we can assume has prime norm $p \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ (by Theorem 20.11, every ideal class in $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ contains infinitely ideals of prime norm). The CM action of $a$ is a horizontal $p$-isogeny $\varphi_a: E \to E_0$, with $E_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}$. Let $\varphi: E \to E'$ be an $\ell$-isogeny, let $\mathcal{O}' = \text{End}(E')$, and define

$$a' := \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is horizontal,} \\ a \mathcal{O}' & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is descending,} \\ a \cap \mathcal{O}' & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is ascending.} \end{cases}$$

We must have $[\mathcal{O}' : a'] = [\mathcal{O}_K : a' \mathcal{O}_K] = [\mathcal{O}_K : a \mathcal{O}_K] = [\mathcal{O} : a] = p$, since $p$ does divide $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$ or $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}']$; it follows that $a'$ is a proper $\mathcal{O}'$-ideal of norm $p$, and we have a horizontal $p$-isogeny $\varphi_{a'}: E' \to E'_0$ with $E'_0 \simeq \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}'$. Up to isomorphism, there is a unique $\ell$-isogeny $\varphi_0: E_0 \to E'_0$ for which the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & E_0 \\ \downarrow{\varphi} & & \downarrow{\varphi_{a'} \circ \varphi_0} \\ E' & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{a'}} & E'_0 \end{array}$$

commutes, namely the isogeny with kernel $\varphi_a(\ker(\varphi_{a'} \circ \varphi))$ given by Theorem 5.11. Since $\varphi_a$ and $\varphi_{a'}$ are both horizontal, the $\ell$-isogeny $\varphi_0$ must be of the same type (horizontal, descending, or ascending) as $\varphi$. This reduces the general case to the special case above. ∎
Theorem 22.5 extends to any field whose characteristic is not \( \ell \) (provided that one takes into rationality into account: \( \ell \)-isogenies admitted by \( E \) over \( k \) need not be defined over \( k \)). We won’t prove this in full generality, but we can use Deuring’s lifting theorem to address the case where \( k \) is a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

For an imaginary quadratic order \( \mathcal{O} \) with discriminant \( D \) and any field \( k \) we define

\[ \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(k) := \{ j(E) \in k : \text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O} \}, \]

the set of \( j \)-invariants of elliptic curves over \( k \) with CM by \( \mathcal{O} \); for \( k = \mathbb{C} \) this is the same as the set of roots of the Hilbert class polynomial \( H_D(X) \), whose cardinality is the class number \( h(D) := \#\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}) \), and a result of Deuring noted in the previous lecture (see Theorem 22.12) yields a similar statement for finite fields.

**Lemma 22.6.** Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be an imaginary quadratic order of discriminant \( D \) and let \( \mathbb{F}_q \) be a finite field with \( q \perp D \). The set \( \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) is either empty or has cardinality \( h(D) \). If \( \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) is nonempty, so is \( \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}'(\mathbb{F}_q) \) for every imaginary quadratic order \( \mathcal{O}' \) containing \( \mathcal{O} \).

**Proof.** If \( \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) is nonempty then there is an elliptic curve \( E/\mathbb{F}_q \) with CM by \( \mathcal{O} \). Its Frobenius endomorphism \( \pi_E \) is an element of \( \text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O} \) with trace \( t = \text{tr} \pi_E \) and norm \( q \), and we must have \( t \perp q \), since \( E \) is ordinary, by Corollary 13.20. The discriminant of the characteristic polynomial \( x^2 - tx + q \) has a root \( \pi_E \in \mathcal{O} \) that is not in \( \mathbb{Z} \) (because \( t \neq \pm 2\sqrt{q} \)), so its discriminant \( t^2 - 4q \) is a square in \( \mathcal{O} - \mathbb{Z} \), hence of the form \( v^2D \) for some \( v \in \mathbb{Z} \). We then have \( 4q = t^2 - v^2D \) with \( t \neq 0 \mod p = \text{char} \ \mathbb{F}_q \), and it follows from Theorem 21.5 and Remark 21.11 that \( q \) is the norm of a prime ideal in \( \mathcal{O}_L \), where \( L \) is the ring class field of \( \mathcal{O} \). By Theorem 21.12, the Hilbert class polynomial \( H_D(X) \) of degree \( h(D) \) splits into distinct linear factors in \( \mathbb{F}_q[X] \) and its roots form the set \( \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) of cardinality \( h(D) \).

If \( \mathcal{O}' \) is an order of discriminant \( D' \) that contains \( \mathcal{O} \) with index \( u \), then \( D = u^2D' \) and \( 4q = t^2 - u^2v^2D' \), so \( q \) is also the norm of a prime ideal in \( \mathcal{O}_{L'} \), where \( L' \) is the ring class field of \( \mathcal{O}' \), and we have \( q \perp \mathcal{O}' \), since \( D'/D \). This implies that \( \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}'}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) is nonempty and has cardinality \( h(D') \), by the same argument used above for \( \mathcal{O} \).

**Corollary 22.7.** Let \( E/\mathbb{F}_q \) be an elliptic curve with CM by an order \( \mathcal{O} \) of discriminant \( D \perp q \) in an imaginary quadratic field \( K \), and let \( \ell \mid q \) be prime. Then \( E \) admits \( 1 + (\mathbb{F}_q^\ast) \) horizontal \( \ell \)-isogenies and one or zero ascending \( \ell \)-isogenies, depending on whether \( \ell \nmid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}] \) or not. The number of descending \( \ell \)-isogenies admitted by \( E \) over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is either zero or \( \ell - (\mathbb{F}_q^\ast) \), depending on whether \( \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) is empty or not, where \( \mathcal{O}' \) is the order of index \( \ell \) in \( \mathcal{O} \).

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 22.5, Lemma 22.6, and the Deuring lifting theorem (see Theorem 21.13). If \( \varphi : E \to E' \) is an \( \ell \)-isogeny of CM elliptic curves over \( \mathbb{C} \) with \( \text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O} \) and \( \text{End}(E') = \mathcal{O}' \) and \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is a finite field for which the sets \( \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) and \( \text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}'}(\mathbb{F}_q) \) are both nonempty, then we can view \( \varphi : E \to E' \) as an isogeny of elliptic curves \( L \), where \( L \) the larger of the two ring class fields for \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( \mathcal{O}' \) (one must contain the other since either \( \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}' \) or \( \mathcal{O}' \subseteq \mathcal{O} \)), and \( q \) the norm of a prime ideal \( q \) in \( \mathcal{O}_L \). We can use the reduction map \( \mathcal{O}_L \to \mathcal{O}_L/q = \mathbb{F}_q \) to reduce integral equations for \( E, E' \), and \( \varphi \) modulo \( q \) to obtain a corresponding \( \ell \)-isogeny \( \overline{\varphi} : \overline{E} \to \overline{E}' \) of elliptic curves over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) with \( \text{End}(\overline{E}) = \text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O} \), \( \text{End}(\overline{E}') = \text{End}(E') = \mathcal{O}' \), and \( \deg \overline{\varphi} = \deg \varphi = \ell \) (the degree of \( \varphi \) cannot change because \( \ell \mid q \), so \( E[\ell] \simeq E'[\ell] \), which implies \( \text{ker} \varphi \simeq \text{ker} \overline{\varphi} \), and \( \overline{\varphi} \) must be separable).

Conversely, if \( \overline{\varphi} : \overline{E} \to \overline{E}' \) is an \( \ell \)-isogeny of elliptic curves over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), we can lift \( \overline{E} \) and \( \overline{E}' \) to elliptic curves over \( L \) with \( \text{End}(E) = \text{End}(\overline{E}) = \mathcal{O} \) and \( \text{End}(E') = \text{End}(\overline{E}') = \mathcal{O}' \).
is then a corresponding \(\ell\)-isogeny \(\varphi: E \to E'\) whose kernel reduces to the kernel of \(\overline{\varphi}\) (as above, the reduction map gives a bijection \(E[\ell] \simeq \overline{E}[\ell]\) for \(\ell \nmid q\)). \qed

If \(E/\mathbb{F}_q\) is an elliptic curve with CM by an imaginary quadratic order \(\mathcal{O}\) and \(a\) is a proper \(\mathcal{O}\)-ideal, then as in Definition 17.13 we have an \(a\)-torsion subgroup

\[
E[a] := \{P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q) : \alpha(P) = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in a\}.
\]

Provided the norm of \(a\) is prime to \(q\), there is a corresponding separable isogeny \(\varphi_a: E \to E'\) with \(\ker \varphi_a = E[a]\) and \(\deg \varphi_a = N_a\) uniquely determined up to isomorphism, by Theorem 5.11. As in the proof above we can lift the isogeny \(\varphi_a: E \to E'\) to a number field \(L \subseteq \mathbb{C}\) where it corresponds to the CM action of \(\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})\), which implies that we must have \(\text{End}(E') = \text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O}\); if \(Na\) is a prime \(\ell\) this means that \(\varphi_a\) is a horizontal \(\ell\)-isogeny. By Theorem 20.11, every ideal class in \(\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})\) contains infinitely many ideals of prime norm, and in particular, an ideal whose norm is prime to \(q\). This allows us to define the CM action of \(\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})\) on the set \(\text{Ell}_\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}_q)\) in terms of horizontal \(\ell\)-isogenies for various primes \(\ell \nmid q\). As with the CM action on \(\text{Ell}_\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C})\), the action of the inverse of an ideal \(a\) is given by the dual isogeny \(\hat{\varphi}_a\). We thus have the following corollary.

**Corollary 22.8.** Let \(\mathcal{O}\) be an imaginary quadratic order of discriminant \(D\) and let \(\mathbb{F}_q\) be a finite field with \(q \perp D\). If the set \(\text{Ell}_\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}_q)\) is nonempty then it is a \(\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})\)-torsor in which the action of the ideal class of any proper \(\mathcal{O}\)-ideal of prime norm \(\ell \nmid q\) is given by a horizontal \(\ell\)-isogeny, and the inverse of this action is given by the dual isogeny.

**Remark 22.9.** As noted above, every ideal class in \(\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})\) contains infinitely many proper \(\mathcal{O}\)-ideals of prime norm \(\ell\). This means that if we want to compute the action of a given proper \(\mathcal{O}\)-ideal \(l_1\) of prime norm \(\ell_1\), we can compute this action using any other proper \(\mathcal{O}\)-ideal \(l_2\) of prime norm \(\ell_2\) that lies in the same ideal class. This has many practical applications: when \(\ell_1\) is large it allows us to use a much smaller \(\ell_2\). Indeed, under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we can always find a prime \(\ell_2\) bounded by \(O(\log^2 |D|)\).

### 22.2 Isogeny volcanoes

Having determined the exact number of horizontal, ascending, and descending \(\ell\)-isogenies that arise for an ordinary elliptic curve over a finite field, we can now completely determine the structure of the ordinary components of \(\text{G}_\ell(\mathbb{F}_p)\). Figure 1 depicts a typical example.

Figure 2 shows the same graph from a different perspective. With a bit of imagination, one can see the profile of a volcano: there is a crater formed by the cycle at the top, and the trees handing down from each edge form the sides of the volcano.

**Definition 22.10.** An \(\ell\)-volcano \(V\) is a connected undirected graph whose vertices are partitioned into one or more levels \(V_0, \ldots, V_d\) such that the following hold:

1. The subgraph on \(V_0\) (the *surface*) is a regular graph of degree at most 2.
2. For \(i > 0\), each vertex in \(V_i\) has exactly one neighbor in level \(V_{i-1}\), and this accounts for every edge not on the surface.
3. For \(i < d\), each vertex in \(V_i\) has degree \(\ell + 1\).

Level \(V_d\) is called the *floor* of the volcano; the floor and surface coincide when \(d = 0\).
Figure 1: An ordinary component of $G_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Figure 2: A 3-volcano of depth 2.
As with $G_\ell(k)$, an $\ell$-volcano may have multiple edges and self-loops, but it is an undirected graph. If the surface of an $\ell$-volcano has more than two vertices, it must be a simple cycle. Two vertices may be connected by 1 or 2 edges, and a single vertex may have 0, 1, or 2 self-loops. As an abstract graph, an $\ell$-volcano is determined by the integers $\ell, d, |V_0|$.

If we ignore components that contain the two exceptional $j$-invariants 0 and 1728, the ordinary components of $G_\ell(\mathbb{F}_p)$ are all $\ell$-volcanoes. This was proved by David Kohel in his Ph.D. thesis [6], although the term “volcano” was coined later by Fouquet and Morain in [3].

**Theorem 22.11** (Kohel). Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field, let $\ell \nmid q$ be a prime, and let $V$ be an ordinary component of $G_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q)$ that does not contain the $j$-invariants 0 or 1728. Then $V$ is an $\ell$-volcano for which the following hold:

(i) The vertices in level $V_i$ all have the same endomorphism ring $\mathcal{O}_i$.
(ii) The subgraph on $V_0$ has degree $1 + (\frac{D_0}{\ell})$, where $D_0 = \text{disc}(\mathcal{O}_0)$.
(iii) If $(\frac{D_0}{\ell}) \geq 0$, then $|V_0|$ is the order of $[l]$ in $\text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$; otherwise $|V_0| = 1$.
(iv) The depth of $V$ is $d$, where $4q = \ell^2 - \ell^{2d}D_0$ with $\ell \mid v$, $t^2 = (\text{tr} \pi_E)^2$, for $j(E) \in V$.
(v) $\ell \mid [\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}_0]$ and $[\mathcal{O}_1 : \mathcal{O}_{i+1}] = \ell$ for $0 \leq i < d$.

Proof. Let $V$ be an ordinary component of $G_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q)$ that does not contain 0 or 1728. The only automorphisms admitted by elliptic curves $E$ with $j(E) \neq 0, 1728$ are $\pm 1 \in \text{End}(E)$, thus as explained in Remark 22.2, every edge $(j_1, j_2)$ in $V$ occurs with the same multiplicity as the edge $(j_2, j_1)$, allowing us to view $V$ as an undirected graph.

Since $V$ is an ordinary component, every vertex is the $j$-invariant of an ordinary elliptic curve $E$ whose endomorphism ring is an order $\mathcal{O}$ in an imaginary quadratic field, by Corollary 13.20. It follows from Theorem 22.3 that the order $\mathcal{O}$ arising for elliptic curves with $j(E) \in V$ all lie in the same quadratic field $K$ and differ only in the $\ell$-adic valuation $\nu_\ell$ of the conductor of $[\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]$. By Corollary 22.7, every $j(E) \in V$ for which $\text{End}(E) = \mathcal{O}$ has conductor divisible by $\ell$ admits an ascending $\ell$-isogeny, and it follows that we can partition $V$ into levels $V_0, \ldots, V_d$ with $j(E) \in V_i$ if and only if $\nu_\ell([\mathcal{O}_K : \mathcal{O}]) = i$; the set $V$ is finite so $d$ is bounded; this proves (i) and (v), and Corollary 22.7 also implies (ii) and that $V$ is an $\ell$-volcano as claimed.

If $(\frac{D_0}{\ell}) = -1$ then $V_0$ has degree 0 and we must have $|V_0| = 1$. Otherwise there exists a proper $\mathcal{O}_0$-ideal $l$ of norm $\ell$, and its ideal class $[l] \in \text{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ acts on $V_0$ via horizontal $\ell$-isogenies, by Corollary 22.8. This proves (iii).

Part (iv) follows from Theorem 22.5 and Remark 21.11. If $4q = \ell^2 - 2\ell^2dD_0$ with $\ell \mid v$, then the set $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}_i}(k)$ must be non-empty for $0 \leq i \leq d$, but the set $\text{Ell}_{\mathcal{O}_{d+1}}(k)$ must be empty since $\ell^{d+1}$ does not divide $v$.

**Remark 22.12.** Theorem 22.11 can be extended to the case where $V$ contains 0 or 1728 following Remark 22.2. Parts (i)-(v) still hold, the only necessary modification is the claim that $V$ is an $\ell$-volcano. When $V$ contains 0, if $V_1$ is non-empty then it contains $\frac{1}{3}(\ell - (\frac{n}{\ell}))$ vertices, and each vertex in $V_1$ has three incoming edges from 0 but only one outgoing edge to 0. When $V$ contains 1728, if $V_1$ is non-empty then it contains $\frac{1}{2}(\ell - (\frac{n}{\ell}))$ vertices, and each vertex in $V_1$ has two incoming edges from 1728 but only one outgoing edge to 1728. This 3-to-1 (resp. 2-to-1) discrepancy arises from the action of $\text{Aut}(E)$ on the cyclic subgroups of $E[\ell]$ when $j(E) = 0$ (resp. 1728). Otherwise, $V$ satisfies all the requirements of an $\ell$-volcano, and most of the algorithms designed for $\ell$-volcanoes work just as well on ordinary components of $G_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q)$ that contain 0 or 1728.
22.3 Finding the floor

The vertices that lie on the floor of an \( \ell \)-volcano \( V \) are distinguished by their degree.

**Lemma 22.13.** Let \( v \) be a vertex in an ordinary component \( V \) of depth \( d \) in \( G_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q) \). Either \( \deg v \leq 2 \) and \( v \in V_d \), or \( \deg v = \ell + 1 \) and \( v \not\in V_d \).

**Proof.** If \( d = 0 \) then \( V = V_0 = V_d \) is a regular graph of degree at most 2 and \( v \in V_d \). Otherwise, either \( v \in V_d \) and \( v \) has degree 1, or \( v \not\in V_d \) and \( v \) has degree \( \ell + 1 \).

Given an arbitrary vertex \( v \in V \), we would like to find a vertex on the floor of \( V \). Our strategy is very simple: if \( v_0 = j(E) \) is not already on the floor then we will construct a random path from \( v_0 \) to a vertex \( v_s \) on the floor. By a path, we mean a sequence of vertices \( v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_s \) such that each pair \( (v_i, v_{i+1}) \) is an edge and \( v_{i} \neq v_{i+2} \) (no backtracking).

**Algorithm** *FindFloor*

Given an ordinary vertex \( v_0 \in G_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q) \), find a vertex on the floor of its component.

1. If \( \deg v_0 \leq 2 \) then output \( v_0 \) and terminate.
2. Pick a random neighbor \( v_1 \) of \( v_0 \) and set \( s \leftarrow 1 \).
3. While \( \deg v_s > 1 \): pick a random neighbor \( v_{s+1} \neq v_{s-1} \) of \( v_s \) and increment \( s \).
4. Output \( v_s \).

**Remark 22.14 (Removing known roots).** As a minor optimization, rather than picking \( v_{s+1} \) as a root of \( \phi(Y) = \Phi_\ell(v_s, Y) \) in step 3 of the *FindFloor* algorithm, we may use \( \phi(Y)/(Y - v_{s-1})^e \), where \( e \) is the multiplicity of \( v_{s-1} \) as a root of \( \phi(Y) \). This is slightly faster and eliminates the need to check that \( v_{s+1} \neq v_{s-1} \).

Notice that once *FindFloor* picks a descending edge (one leading closer to the floor), every subsequent edge must also be descending, because it is not allowed to backtrack along the single ascending edge and there are no horizontal edges below the surface. It follows that the expected length of the path chosen by *FindFloor* is \( \delta + O(1) \), where \( \delta \) is the distance from \( v_0 \) to the floor along a shortest path. With a bit more effort we can find a path of exactly length \( \delta \), a shortest path to the floor. The key to doing so is observe that all but at most two of the \( \ell + 1 \) edges incident to any vertex above the floor must be descending edges. Thus if we construct three random paths from \( v_0 \) that all start with a different initial edge, then one of the initial edges must be a descending edge, which necessarily leads to a shortest path to the floor.

**Algorithm** *FindShortestPathToFloor*

Given an ordinary \( v_0 \in G_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q) \), find a shortest path to the floor of its component.

1. Let \( v_0 = j(E) \). If \( \deg v_0 \leq 2 \) then output \( v_0 \) and terminate.
2. Pick three neighbors of \( v_0 \) and extend paths from each of these neighbors in parallel, stopping as soon as any of them reaches the floor.
3. Output a path that reached the floor.

---

1If \( v_0 \) does not have three distinct neighbors then just pick all of them.
The main virtue of \texttt{FindShortestPathToFloor} is that it allows us to compute $\delta$, which tells us the level $V_{d-\delta}$ of $j(E)$ relative to the floor $V_d$. It effectively gives us an “altimeter” $\delta(v)$ that we may be used to navigate $V$. We can determine whether a given edge $(v_1, v_2)$ is horizontal, ascending, or descending, by comparing $\delta(v_1)$ to $\delta(v_2)$, and we can determine the exact level of any vertex.\footnote{A more sophisticated approach that uses the Weil pairing (to be discussed in Lecture 23) can be found in [4]; the pairing based approach is more efficient when $d$ is large, but in practice $d$ is usually small.}

There are many practical applications of isogeny volcanoes, some of which you will explore on Problem Set 12. See the survey paper [8] for further details and references.
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