# 25 Field Extensions

### 25.1 Primary Fields

We have the following useful fact about fields:

#### Fact 25.1

Every field is a (possibly infinite) extension of either  $\mathbb{Q}$ , or  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for a prime p. These are called the **primary fields**.

*Proof.* In general, for any ring R, there is a unique ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \to R$  — we must have  $1 \mapsto 1_R$ , so then  $n \mapsto \underbrace{1_R + \cdots + 1_R}_{R} = n_R$  for positive integers n, and  $-n \mapsto -n_R$ .

The image of the homomorphism is a quotient of  $\mathbb{Z}$  — it's either  $\mathbb{Z}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Now consider the kernel of this homomorphism. If R is an integral domain (note that all fields are domains), then either the homomorphism is one-to-one, or its kernel is (p) for a prime p — otherwise, the image would be  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  for composite n, which is not a domain (as it has zero divisors).

Now taking R = F to be a field, if the kernel is zero, then  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a subring of F. But then  $\mathbb{Q} = \operatorname{Frac}(\mathbb{Z})$  must be inside F as well (since we can invert elements in a field) — in our original notation, the copy of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in F is the fractions of the form  $n_R/m_R$ .

On the other hand, if the kernel is (p), then we have a copy of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  in F, and we're done.

#### Definition 25.2

The generator of the kernel (as in the above proof) is called the **characteristic** of the field.

So fields of characteristic 0 contain  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and fields of characteristic p contain  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  (and these are the only possible characteristics).

### 25.2 Algebraic Elements

Last time, we defined algebraic elements in a field extension L/K:

```
Definition 25.3
An element \alpha \in L is algebraic over K if P(\alpha) = 0 for some nonzero P \in K[x].
```

As stated last class,  $\alpha$  is algebraic if and only if  $K(\alpha)/K$  is finite (since a polynomial in  $\alpha$  is the same as a linear relation between powers of  $\alpha$ ).

We also looked at towers of extensions E/F/K — here E/K is called the **composite** extension, while E/F and F/K are called **intermediate** extensions. In particular, we saw the following theorem:

Theorem 25.4 We have

 $[E:K] = [E:F] \cdot [F:K].$ 

In particular, E/K is finite if and only if both E/F and F/K are finite.

This has some useful corollaries regarding algebraic elements.

**Corollary 25.5** If  $\alpha, \beta \in L$  are algebraic over K, then  $\alpha + \beta$ ,  $\alpha\beta$ , and  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  are also algebraic. *Proof.* If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are algebraic, then  $K(\alpha)/K$  and  $K(\alpha, \beta)/K(\alpha)$  are both finite — since  $\beta$  satisfies a polynomial relation with coefficients in K, it satisfies the same polynomial relation with coefficients in  $K(\alpha)$ . So we can conclude that  $K(\alpha, \beta)/K$  is finite, and therefore any element in it is algebraic.

#### Corollary 25.6

Given an arbitrary extension, the set of elements in L which are algebraic over K form a subfield of L, called the **algebraic closure** of K in L.

For example, the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  is called the **algebraic numbers**.

This is an abstract argument that doesn't exactly tell us how to construct the polynomial; but it's possible to come up with a procedure to write down an equation as well.

Example 25.7

Let  $\alpha = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\beta = \sqrt{3}$ , and  $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ . How can we write down a polynomial equation for  $\gamma$ ?

One possible method is that by Corollary 25.5, we know that 1,  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma^2$ , ... must be linearly dependent. In this case, they are all linear combinations of 1,  $\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\sqrt{3}$ , and  $\sqrt{6}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$  — so they lie in a vector space of dimension at most 4. Then 1,  $\gamma$ , ...,  $\gamma^4$  are five elements in a four-dimensional vector space, so they must be linearly dependent; and using linear algebra, it's possible to explicitly calculate this linear relation.

There is another way to find the polynomial equation — right now we'll present it as a guess, but later we'll see that it's part of a more general story.

We'd like to find a polynomial P with  $\gamma$  as a root, so we can try to think about what the other roots of P should be. Suppose P factors as  $(x - \gamma_1)(x - \gamma_2) \cdots$ , for  $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{C}$  — it suffices to choose the  $\gamma_i$  such that P has rational coefficients, and  $\gamma_1 = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ .

We can guess that all of  $\pm\sqrt{2} \pm \sqrt{3}$  should be roots — from an algebraic perspective, if  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$  shows up, we "should" be able to switch the sign of the square root (since there isn't a difference between the two signs). So then we can take

$$\gamma_1 = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$$
$$\gamma_2 = \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}$$
$$\gamma_3 = -\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$$
$$\gamma_4 = -\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}.$$

We can expand out the polynomial to see that it does indeed have rational coefficients (essentially, this involves using the equation  $a^2 - b^2 = (a - b)(a + b)$  twice).

The main idea we used here is to exploit the symmetry between the roots (there is a group of symmetries acting on the roots, by replacing one of the square roots with its negative); we'll later discuss ways to find these symmetries, using Galois theory.

### 25.3 Compass and Straightedge Construction

Proposition 25.4 also relates to compass and straightedge constructions. It has the following corollary:

**Corollary 25.8** If E/F/K is a tower of finite extensions, then [F:K] | [E:K].

The problem of which regular *n*-gons can be constructed using a compass and straightedge can be rephrased algebraically in the following way (we won't discuss the details here).

Fact 25.9

A regular *n*-gon is constructible with compass and straightedge if and only if  $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$  lies in an extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_n)$  such that  $\alpha_i^2 \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$  for all *i*.

This means we have a tower of quadratic extensions, where every step in this tower has degree 2 — more explicitly, we can define  $F_i = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i)$ , with  $F_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ . Without loss of generality we can assume  $\alpha_i \notin F_{i-1}$  (or else adding it to the set of generators would be useless). Then we have the tower of extensions  $F_n/F_{n-1}/\cdots/F_1/F_0$  where  $[F_i:F_{i-1}] = 2$  for all *i*.

For convenience, we'll assume n is prime. (The general case involves a few more details, but works very similarly.)

**Theorem 25.10** Let n = p be prime. Then a regular *p*-gon can be constructed if and only if  $p = 2^k + 1$ .

Primes  $p = 2^k + 1$  are called **Fermat primes**. There's only 5 known Fermat primes (3, 17, 257, and 65537); it's conjectured that there are no others, but we don't even know whether there's finitely or infinitely many. (Note that if  $2^k + 1$  is prime, then k must be a power of 2 — otherwise,  $2^k + 1$  can be factored.)

We'll only show one direction: that if  $\zeta_p$  is constructible, then p is a Fermat prime. To prove this, the following proposition will be useful:

**Proposition 25.11** If p is prime, we have  $\deg(\zeta_n) = p - 1$ , or equivalently  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p) : \mathbb{Q}] = p - 1$ .

The extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  is called a **cyclotomic extension**.

*Proof.* We know  $\zeta_p$  is a root of  $x^p - 1$ . We can easily factor

$$x^{p} - 1 = (x - 1)(x^{p-1} + x^{p-2} + \dots + 1),$$

so it suffices to show that the second factor, which we call P(x), is irreducible. Since the polynomial is primitive, it's enough to show that it's irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Now we can perform a trick — substitute t = x - 1. Then if we write P(x) = Q(t), we have

$$tQ(t) = (t+1)^p - 1.$$

But by expanding and using the Binomial Theorem, we then have

$$Q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i+1} t^i.$$

(For example, when p = 3, we have  $Q(t) = t^2 + 3t + 3$ .)

But the leading term is 1, and all other terms are divisible by p; and the free term is not divisible by  $p^2$  (in fact, *none* of the terms are divisible by  $p^2$ , but we only need to use the free term here).

Now assume for contradiction that Q is reducible, so  $Q = Q_1Q_2$  for polynomials  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  of degree at least 1. Now consider the reduction mod p, where

$$\overline{Q} = \overline{Q_1 Q_2}$$

But  $\overline{Q}$  is now  $t^{p-1}$ , and the only way to factor  $t^{p-1}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  is as  $t^i t^{p-1-i}$ . But we have  $\deg(\overline{Q_1}) = \deg(Q_1) > 1$ (and the same is true for  $Q_2$ ), since the leading coefficients of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  cannot be divisible by p (their product is the leading coefficient of Q, which is 1). So then we must have  $i \neq 0, p-1$ .

But then since  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are  $t^i$  and  $t^{p-1-i}$  for 0 < i < p-1, their free terms must both be divisible by p. So the product of their free terms is divisible by  $p^2$ ; but this product is the free term of Q, which is *not* divisible by  $p^2$ . So this is a contradiction, and Q is irreducible.

Proof of Necessity in Theorem 25.10. We've seen that  $\deg(\zeta_p) = p - 1$ . So we have  $\deg(\zeta_p) = p - 1$ . On the other hand, if  $\zeta_p \in F_n$  for a field extension of the form described, then  $\deg(\zeta_p)$  must divide  $[F_n : \mathbb{Q}]$ , which is a power of 2. So p - 1 must be a power of 2 as well.

With our current tools, we can only show one direction — to show the other direction, we need a better extension of which fields can be obtained as the top floor of a tower of quadratic extensions. It's necessary that the degree is a power of 2, but this may not be sufficient. In the case of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ , the condition turns out to be sufficient as well (as we'll see later).

## 25.4 Splitting Fields

We've seen the construction where we start with an irreducible polynomial  $P \in F[x]$ , and construct the field extension E = F[x]/(P). This is an extension of F of degree  $n = \deg(P)$ , and we can think of it as adjoining a root of the polynomial.

But there's another construction which also produces a finite extension from a polynomial, which is in some sense harder to control. Here, we do not require the polynomial to be irreducible.

Definition 25.12

For a polynomial  $P \in F[x]$ , a splitting field of P is an extension E/F such that:

- 1. P splits as a product of linear factors in E[x];
- 2.  $E = F(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ , where the  $\alpha_i$  are the roots of P.

The first condition guarantees that P splits completely (so we can find all its roots) in E; the second prevents E from being too large (it only contains the elements which are necessary for P to split).

Proposition 25.13 Given any polynomial P, its splitting field exists, and any two splitting fields of P are isomorphic.

We'll discuss the proof in more detail next time — the main idea is to add one root of P so that it splits partially, then add another root of any remaining irreducible factor, and so on.

**Example 25.14** The splitting field of  $P(x) = x^3 - 2$  over  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  is  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega\sqrt[3]{2})$ , where  $\omega$  is a primitive 3rd root of unity. We have  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$ .

On the other hand, we could start by adjoining  $\omega$ :

**Example 25.15** The splitting field of  $P(x) = x^3 - 2$  over  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$  is  $E = F(\sqrt[3]{2})$  — the polynomial  $x^3 - 2$  remains irreducible, but after adjoining one root, we already have all the others. Here [E:F] = 3.

Note that E is the same in both examples (even though F is not).

**Example 25.16** The splitting field of  $P(x) = x^{p-1} + \cdots + 1$  over  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  is  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  (since all roots are powers of  $\zeta_p$ ), where [E:F] = p-1. MIT OpenCourseWare <u>https://ocw.mit.edu</u>

Resource: Algebra II Student Notes Spring 2022 Instructor: Roman Bezrukavnikov Notes taken by Sanjana Das and Jakin Ng

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.