

## 31 Applications of the Galois Correspondence

### 31.1 Review

Last class, we saw that if  $E/F$  is a Galois extension and  $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$ , then there is a correspondence between subgroups  $H \subset G$  and their fixed fields  $E^H \subset E$ . We saw that in the tower of extensions  $E/E^H/F$ , the top extension  $E/E^H$  is always Galois, with Galois group  $H$ . Meanwhile,  $E^H/F$  is not always Galois; but it's Galois if and only if  $H$  is normal, and in that case  $G/H = \text{Gal}(E^H/F)$  (so in some sense, the left-hand side makes sense if and only if the right-hand side does):

#### Proposition 31.1

If  $K = E^H$ , then  $K/F$  is Galois if and only if  $K$  is invariant under all  $g \in G$ , which occurs if and only if  $H$  is normal.

**Student Question.** What does it mean that  $K$  is invariant under all  $g \in G$ ?

**Answer.** This means that for any  $g \in G$ , we have  $x \in K$  if and only if  $g(x) \in K$ . In other words,  $g(K) = K$ . (So each  $g$  permutes the elements of  $K$ ; this doesn't mean that  $g$  fixes each element of  $K$ .)

**Student Question.** Did we prove the second equivalence (that  $K$  is invariant if and only if  $H$  is normal)?

**Answer.** At the end of last class — it follows from the correspondence being natural, and therefore compatible with the action of  $G$ . More precisely, if  $H$  corresponds to  $K$ , then  $gHg^{-1}$  corresponds to  $g(K)$  (the action by  $g$  on subfields corresponds to the action by  $g$  on subgroups via conjugation — this is unsurprising, since conjugation is the natural action by group elements on subgroups). From this, we see that  $g(K) = K$  if and only if  $gHg^{-1} = H$ .

Then  $ghg^{-1}$  fixes  $g(x)$  if and only if  $h$  fixes  $x$  — checking this is easy, as  $ghg^{-1}(g(x)) = gh(x)$ .

### 31.2 Cyclotomic Extensions

The main theorem can be used to answer our question about ruler and compass constructions:

#### Proposition 31.2

If  $p = 2^k + 1$  is a Fermat prime, then a regular  $p$ -gon can be constructed by a compass and straightedge.

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta$  be a  $p$ th root of unity. Then it suffices to show that  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  can be obtained by iterating quadratic extensions — if we let  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ , then it suffices to show there exists a tower of subfields

$$\mathbb{Q} = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_n = E,$$

such that  $[F_i : F_{i-1}] = 2$  for all  $i$ . Quadratic extensions can always be obtained by extracting the square root of some element; so this would mean we can obtain  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  by starting with  $\mathbb{Q}$  and successively applying arithmetic operations and square roots.

This is fairly clear from the Galois correspondence. We saw earlier that

$$\text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z}.$$

We can now write

$$G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \cdots \supset \{0\},$$

where  $G_1 = 2\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $G_2 = 4\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z}$ , and so on. Then  $G_i/G_{i+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $i$ .

We can then take  $F_i$  to be the fixed field of  $G_i$ . We saw that the correspondence reverses inclusion, and we know how degrees correspond — we have  $[E : F_i] = 2^i$  for each  $i$ , which implies that  $[F_i : F_{i-1}] = 2$ , as desired.  $\square$

#### Example 31.3

Describe the first step in this construction (to find  $F_1$ ).

*Solution.* We want to write down a quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We know  $F_1$  is the fixed field of  $G_1$ , and  $G_1$  consists of the even residues in the language of  $\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z}$ ; converting back to the language of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , then  $G_1$  consists of the squares (or *quadratic residues*) in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  — elements of the form  $a = b^2$  for some  $b \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/p)$ , and let

$$\alpha = \sum_{a \in \text{QR}} \zeta^a$$

(summing over all  $(p-1)/2$  quadratic residues mod  $p$  — for example, if  $p = 5$ , then  $\alpha = \zeta + \zeta^4$ ). It's clear that  $\alpha$  is fixed by  $G_1$ , since multiplying all  $a$  by a quadratic residue only permutes them.

We also want to find its Galois conjugate  $\beta$ . To do that, we apply an element of the Galois group *not* in  $G_1$ , which gives

$$\beta = \sum_{b \in \text{NQR}} \zeta^b$$

(summing over all quadratic nonresidues mod  $p$  — for example, if  $p = 5$ , then  $\alpha = \zeta^2 + \zeta^3$ .) We now want to compute the quadratic equation that  $\alpha$  satisfies. We know

$$\alpha + \beta = \zeta^1 + \zeta^2 + \dots + \zeta^{p-1} = -1.$$

On the other hand, we can compute

$$\alpha\beta = \sum n_c \zeta^c,$$

where  $n_c$  is the number of ways to write  $a + b = c$  where  $a$  is a quadratic residue, and  $b$  is a quadratic nonresidue.

This is a combinatorial problem, which we can solve — first,  $n_0 = 0$ , since  $-1$  is a square (this means if  $a$  is a square, so is  $-a$ , so we can't have  $a + b = 0$  where  $a$  is square and  $b$  isn't). On the other hand, we claim that  $n_1, \dots, n_{p-1}$  are all equal — for any  $c$  and  $c'$ , we can write  $c' = tc$  for some  $t$  (since  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a field). If  $t$  is a square, then we can get a bijection between  $(a, b)$  with sum  $c$  and sum  $c'$ , by multiplying by  $t$ . Meanwhile, if  $t$  is not a square, then we can get a bijection by multiplying and swapping — given  $(a, b)$  with sum  $c$ , we can take  $(tb, ta)$  with sum  $c'$ . This means  $n_c = n_{c'}$ . Finally, we have  $n_0 + \dots + n_{p-1} = ((p-1)/2)^2$ , since this is the number of ways to choose a summand from each of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . This means

$$n_c = \begin{cases} \frac{p-1}{4} & \text{if } c \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } c = 0, \end{cases}$$

so then our sum is

$$\alpha\beta = \sum_{c=1}^{p-1} \frac{p-1}{4} \zeta^c = -\frac{p-1}{4}.$$

This means our quadratic equation is

$$\alpha^2 + \alpha - \frac{p-1}{4} = 0 \implies \alpha = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{p}}{2}.$$

So we have  $F_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ . □

**Note 31.4**

This argument works for any prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , meaning that the quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  contained in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  is still  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ . Meanwhile, when  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , we instead get  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ .

The description of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})$  can be generalized to apply to all  $n$  (meaning  $\zeta$  is an  $n$ th root of unity), not just primes.

**Definition 31.5**

The  $n$ th **cyclotomic polynomial**  $\Phi_n$  is the monic polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  whose roots are exactly the primitive  $n$ th roots of unity.

We then have

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x).$$

This is because the roots of  $x^n - 1$  are all elements whose order in  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  divides  $n$ , and the right-hand side groups such terms by their order  $d$ .

This formula lets us compute  $\Phi_n$ .

**Example 31.6**

We have  $\Phi_1(x) = x - 1$ , and

$$\Phi_p(x) = x^{p-1} + \dots + 1.$$

We can also compute other polynomials  $\Phi_n(x)$ , such as

$$\Phi_{12}(x) = x^4 - x^2 + 1.$$

The cyclotomic polynomials don't always have all coefficients 0 or  $\pm 1$ , but the smallest counterexample is 105 (the smallest product of three distinct odd primes). But from this formula, it's easy to show by induction that all  $\Phi_n$  have integer coefficients.

**Fact 31.7**

$\Phi_n$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

We proved this fact for primes; we won't prove it for general  $n$ , since the proof is longer.

Also note that  $\deg(\Phi_n)$  is the number of elements of order  $n$  in the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , which is  $\varphi(n) = |(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times|$ . If  $n = p_1^{d_1} \dots p_k^{d_k}$ , we have the explicit formula

$$\varphi(n) = \prod_i (p_i^{d_i} - p_i^{d_i-1}).$$

Now we have

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = \varphi(n),$$

and  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  is a splitting field (for the same reason as in the prime case — all roots of  $\Phi_n$  are powers of  $\zeta$ ). By the same reasoning as the prime case, we then have

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}) = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*.$$

Note that this is not necessarily cyclic — in fact, it's not cyclic unless  $n$  is a prime power or twice a prime power (and it's also not cyclic if  $n \geq 8$  is a power of 2). It'll be the *product* of cyclic groups (since it's still abelian), but there will usually be multiple factors of even order in this product.

### 31.3 Kummer Extensions

We'll now consider extensions  $E/F$  where  $E = F(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha^n \in F$  for a positive integer  $n$  (and  $\alpha \neq 0$ ). Assume that  $F$  contains all  $n$ th roots of unity, meaning that

$$\mu_n(F) = \{x \in F \mid x^n = 1\}$$

has exactly  $n$  elements (and therefore  $\mu_n(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ); this is equivalent to requiring that  $F$  contains a primitive  $n$ th root of 1.

Our main example is over characteristic 0, but this can be done over characteristic  $p$  as well, with the additional requirement that  $p \nmid n$ .

**Proposition 31.8**

In this case  $E/F$  is Galois, and

$$\text{Gal}(E/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

for some  $m \mid n$ . In fact, if  $x^n - a$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$ , then  $m = n$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$x^n - a = \prod (x - \zeta^i \alpha),$$

where  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of 1 (since all  $\zeta^i \alpha$  are roots of  $x^n - a$ , and they are all distinct). So if we're given one root of  $x^n - a$ , then all possible roots are obtained by multiplication by roots of unity (which are in  $F$ ). So  $E$  is the splitting field of  $x^n - a$ .

Now an element  $\sigma \in G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$  is uniquely determined by  $\sigma(\alpha)$ , which must be  $\zeta^i \alpha$  for some  $i$ . For each  $i$ , let  $\sigma_i$  be the element in  $G$  such that  $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \zeta^i \alpha$ , if it exists (the element  $\sigma_i$  doesn't necessarily exist for all  $i$ ).

It's clear that

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j(\alpha) = \sigma_i(\zeta^j \alpha) = \zeta^{i+j} \alpha = \sigma_{i+j}(\alpha)$$

(because  $\zeta \in F$ , so  $\sigma$  must fix it). So then  $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_{i+j}$ . This means  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , and every such subgroup must be of the form  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  where  $m \mid n$ .

In fact  $m = \text{deg}(E/F)$ , so  $m = n$  if and only if  $x^n - a$  is irreducible. (When  $x^n - a$  to be reducible, this fails in a trivial way — then a *smaller* power of  $\alpha$  is in  $F$ .)  $\square$

### 31.4 Quintic Equations

Using these ideas, we can obtain the famous application of Galois theory to the impossibility of solving a general polynomial equation of degree at least 5.

#### Definition 31.9

A finite group  $G$  is **solvable** if there exists a sequence of subgroups

$$G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \cdots \supset G_n = \{1\}$$

such that for all  $i$ ,  $G_i$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_{i-1}$  and  $G_{i-1}/G_i$  is abelian.

The main idea of the proof is the following two propositions:

#### Proposition 31.10

Given an extension  $E/F$  and some  $\alpha \in E$  such that  $\alpha$  can be obtained from elements of  $F$  by arithmetic operations (addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division) and extracting arbitrary  $n$ th roots (where we're allowed to choose any of the possible  $n$ th roots), then  $\alpha$  lies in a Galois extension of  $F$  with a solvable Galois group.

#### Proposition 31.11

$S_n$  is not solvable for  $n \geq 5$ .

The first proposition essentially follows from what we've already discussed — we'll discuss it in more detail next class, but the idea is to first add the roots of unity; then when we extract a  $n$ th root, we get an extension with cyclic Galois group. Then when we extract  $n$ th roots repeatedly, we get a sequence of subgroups with abelian quotients. Meanwhile, the second is an elementary finite group argument.

#### Corollary 31.12

A root of a polynomial  $P$  of degree 5 with Galois group  $S_5$  cannot be expressed through the rational numbers in radicals.

Saying the root can't be expressed in radicals is shorthand for the longer sentence from earlier — it simply means that it can't be obtained by arithmetic operations and extracting  $n$ th roots.

So this means not only is there no universal formula for the roots using radicals (as there is in lower degrees), there isn't even a way to write down the roots of a *specific* polynomial.

*Proof of corollary.* If it were possible to express all roots of  $P$  in radicals, then the splitting field  $K$  of  $P$  would be contained in a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with solvable Galois group  $G$ . But then we have an onto homomorphism

$G \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) = S_5$ . But the quotient of a solvable group is again solvable; so this would imply  $S_5$  is solvable, contradiction.  $\square$

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

Resource: Algebra II Student Notes  
Spring 2022  
Instructor: Roman Bezrukavnikov  
Notes taken by Sanjana Das and Jakin Ng

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.