

LECTURE 7: COMPUTATIONS AND APPLICATIONS OF L^1 FOURIER
TRANSFORMS

If $f \in L^1(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})$, then it is clear that \hat{f} is continuous and that

$$(7.1) \quad \|\hat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})}.$$

Lemma 7.1. *If $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^1(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})$ and $f' \in L^1(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})$, then*

$$(7.2) \quad \widehat{f'}(\xi) = -i\xi \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Proof. If f has compact support, then (7.2) is an easy application of integration by parts. To prove it under the given conditions, choose a function $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; [0, 1])$ for which $\eta(y) = 1$ when $y \in [-1, 1]$ and $\eta(y) = 0$ when $y \notin [-2, 2]$, and set $f_n(y) = \eta(\frac{y}{n})f(y)$. Then $f_n \rightarrow f$ and $f'_n \rightarrow f'$ in $L^1(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})$ and so

$$\widehat{f'}(\xi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f'_n}(\xi) = -i\xi \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f_n}(\xi) = -i\xi \hat{f}(\xi).$$

□

As a consequence of Lemma 7.1, it is easy to prove the *Riemann-Lebesgue lemma* in this context. Namely, (7.2) makes it clear for compactly support $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, and (7.1) makes it clear that the set of f 's for which it is holds is closed in $L^1(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})$.

We next turn to the computation of \hat{f} in two important cases.

Set $g_t(x) = (2\pi t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}}$ for $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, and check that $\partial_t g_t(x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 g_t(x)$. Hence, for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, integration by parts leads to

$$\partial_t \int e^{\zeta x} g_t(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int e^{\zeta x} \partial_x^2 g_t(x) dx = \frac{\zeta^2}{2} \int e^{\zeta x} g_t(x) dx.$$

Since

$$\int e^{\zeta x} g_t(x) dx = \int e^{t^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta x} g_1(x) dx \rightarrow 1$$

as $t \searrow 0$,

$$\int e^{\zeta x} g_t(x) dx = e^{\frac{t\zeta^2}{2}}.$$

In particular

$$(7.3) \quad \widehat{g_t}(\xi) = e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2t}}$$

Equivalently, $\widehat{g_t} = (\frac{2\pi}{t})^{\frac{1}{2}} g_{\frac{1}{t}}$ and so

$$(7.4) \quad (\widehat{g_t})^\wedge = 2\pi g_t.$$

Set $p_y(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}$ for $(y, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, and note that

$$\int p_y(x) dx = \int p_1(x) dx = 1 \text{ for all } y > 0.$$

In addition, because $p_y(x)$ is the real part of $\frac{1}{\pi z}$ with $z = x + iy$, $(x, y) \rightsquigarrow p_y(x)$ is harmonic. Thus, $\partial_x^2 p_y = -\partial_y^2 p_y$, and so, by (7.2),

$$\partial_y^2 \widehat{p_y}(\xi) = \xi^2 \widehat{p_y}(\xi).$$

Thus, for each ξ ,

$$\widehat{p_y}(\xi) = a(\xi)e^{y\xi} + b(\xi)e^{-y\xi},$$

where, since $\widehat{p}_y(0) = 1$, $a(\xi) + b(\xi) = 1$. Because $|\widehat{p}_y(\xi)| \leq 1$, $\xi \geq 0 \implies a(\xi) = 0$ & $b(\xi) = 1$ and $\xi < 0 \implies a(\xi) = 1$ & $b(\xi) = 0$. Hence

$$(7.5) \quad \widehat{p}_y(\xi) = e^{-y|\xi|}.$$

Here is an interesting application of equations (7.3) and (7.5). Since

$$\frac{1}{\xi^2 + y^2} = \int_0^\infty e^{-t(\xi^2 + y^2)} dx = \int_0^\infty e^{-ty^2} \widehat{g}_{2t}(\xi) dt$$

and $(\widehat{g}_{2t})^\wedge = 2\pi g_{2t}$,

$$\frac{\pi}{y} e^{-y|x|} = 2\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-ty^2} g_{2t}(x) dt = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-ty^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}} dt.$$

Thus, for $x, y \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(7.6) \quad \int_0^\infty t^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-ty^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}} dt = \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2yx}}{y},$$

a computation which can also be done using a somewhat tricky change of variables.

Theorem 7.2. (Poisson Sum) *Let $f \in L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, and assume that*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x+n)| + |\hat{f}(2\pi n)| \right) < \infty.$$

Then

$$(7.7) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(2\pi n).$$

Proof. Define $\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x+n)$. Then \tilde{f} is a continuous periodic function with period 1, and

$$(\tilde{f}, \mathbf{e}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^1 e^{-i2\pi m x} f(x+n) dx = \int e^{-i2\pi m x} f(x) dx = \hat{f}(-2\pi m).$$

Thus, since $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\tilde{f}, \mathbf{e}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})}| < \infty$,

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(-2\pi m) \mathbf{e}_m(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(2\pi m) \mathbf{e}_{-m}(x),$$

where the convergence of the series is absolute and uniform. By taking $x = 0$, (7.7) follows. \square

Equation (7.7) is known as the *Poisson summation formula*. Among its many applications is the following.

When $f = p_y$, (7.7) says that

$$\frac{y}{\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{y^2 + n^2} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2\pi y|n|} = \frac{1 + e^{-2\pi y}}{1 - e^{-2\pi y}} = \coth \pi y,$$

and so

$$(7.8) \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{y^2 + n^2} = \frac{\pi \coth \pi y}{y}$$

for $y > 0$.

A famous application of (7.8) is *Euler's product formula*:

$$(7.9) \quad \sin \pi x = \pi x \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{m^2}\right).$$

To prove it, first observe that

$$\frac{1}{x^2 + m^2} = \frac{1}{2x} \partial_x \log(x^2 + m^2) = \frac{1}{2x} \partial_x \log\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{m^2}\right) \text{ for } m \neq 0$$

and that $\pi \coth \pi y = \partial_y \log(\sinh \pi y)$. Hence, by (7.8)

$$\frac{1}{x} \partial_x \log \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right) + \frac{1}{x^2} = \frac{1}{x} \partial_x \log(\sinh \pi x),$$

which means that

$$\partial_x \log \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right) = \partial_x \log(x^{-1} \sinh \pi x).$$

Integrating both sides from 0 to x , one gets

$$\log x \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right) = \log(\sinh \pi x) - \log \pi = \log \frac{\sinh \pi x}{\pi x},$$

which means that

$$(7.10) \quad \sinh \pi x = \pi x \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{n^2}\right)$$

from which (7.9) follows by analytic continuation.

Another application of (7.5) is a proof⁵ that

$$(7.11) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{\sin \xi x}{x} dx = \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \pi \quad \text{for } \xi \neq 0.$$

We begin with the more or less trivial observation that

$$\int_{-R}^R \frac{\sin \xi x}{x} dx = \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \int_{-R}^R \frac{\sin |\xi| x}{x} dx = \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \int_{-|\xi|R}^{|\xi|R} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx.$$

Thus, what we have to show is that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \pi. \quad (*)$$

The first step in the proof (*), is to show that if

$$g_R(\xi, y) \equiv \int_{-R}^R \frac{x \sin \xi x}{x^2 + y^2} dx \longrightarrow \pi e^{-y\xi} \quad \text{for } \xi > 0, \quad (**)$$

then (*) holds. Indeed,

$$\left| \int_{-R}^R \frac{\sin \xi x}{x} dx - g_R(\xi, y) \right| \leq 2y^2 \left| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|\sin \xi x|}{x(x^2 + y^2)} dx \right| \leq \xi \pi y,$$

and so (**) implies (*).

⁵The most commonly given proof is based on contour integration and Cauchy's theorem.

The next step is to show that for each $y > 0$ there exists a continuous $\xi \in (0, \infty) \mapsto g(\xi, y) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $g_R(\xi, y) \rightarrow g(\xi, y)$ uniformly for ξ compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$. To this end, note that

$$\begin{aligned} g_R(\xi, y) &= 2 \int_0^R \frac{x \sin \xi x}{x^2 + y^2} dx = \frac{2}{\xi} \left(-\frac{R \cos \xi R}{R^2 + y^2} + 2 \int_0^R \frac{(y^2 - x^2) \cos \xi x}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx \right) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{2}{\xi} \left(\frac{1}{y^2} + 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{(y^2 - x^2) \cos \xi x}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for ξ in compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$.

The final step is to identify $g(\xi, y)$ as $\pi e^{-y\xi}$. For this purpose, observe that

$$g_R(\xi, y) = -i \int_{-R}^R \frac{x e^{i\xi x}}{x^2 + y^2} dx = \partial_\xi f_R(\xi, y)$$

where

$$f_R(\xi, y) = -\frac{\pi}{y} \int_{-R}^R p_y(x) e^{i\xi x} dx \rightarrow -\frac{\pi}{y} e^{-y\xi}.$$

Hence

$$f_R(\eta) - f_R(\xi) = \int_\xi^\eta g_R(t, y) dt,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\pi}{y} (e^{-y\xi} - e^{-y\eta}) = \int_\xi^\eta g(t, y) dt,$$

from which $g(\xi, y) = \pi e^{-y\xi}$ follows easily.

Exercise 7.3. Show that if $f \in L^1(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})$ and $f_t(x) = t^{-1} f(t^{-1}x)$, then $\hat{f}_t(\xi) = \hat{f}(t\xi)$.

Exercise 7.4. Show that if $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}) \cap L^1(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})$ and both f' and f'' are in $L^1(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})$, then $\hat{f} \in L^1(\lambda_{[0,1]}; \mathbb{C})$.

Exercise 7.5. Using $\cosh t = 1 + \frac{t^2}{2} + O(t^4)$ and $\sinh t = t - \frac{t^3}{6} + O(t^5)$, prove from (7.8) that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$.

Exercise 7.6. Show that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{\pi n^2}{t}} = t^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi t n^2},$$

a formula that plays an important role in the theory of Theta functions.

MIT OpenCourseWare
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

RES.18-015 Topics in Fourier Analysis
Spring 2024

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.