Define $T:L^2(\gamma;\mathbb{C})\longrightarrow L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})$ so that $T\varphi(x)=\pi^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}\varphi(2^{\frac{1}{2}}x)$, and check that

$$\|T\varphi\|_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\gamma;\mathbb{C})} \text{ and } T^{-1}f(x) = \pi^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{\frac{x^2}{4}}f(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}x).$$

Thus T is an isometric isomorphism from $L^2(\gamma; \mathbb{C})$ onto $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$.

Set $h_m = TH_m$ and $\tilde{h}_m = h_m = T\tilde{H}_m$. Then, because $\{\tilde{H}_m : m \geq 0\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\gamma; \mathbb{C}), \{\tilde{h}_m : m \geq 0\}$ is an orthonormal bases in $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$.

Assuming that $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, it easy to show that

$$TA_{\pm}\varphi = a_{\pm}T\varphi$$
 where $a_{\pm} = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x\mathbf{1} \mp \partial_x)$

and therefore that

(11.1)
$$a_+h_m = h_{m+1} \text{ and } a_-h_m = mh_{m-1}.$$

Theorem 11.1. For all $m \ge 0$, $\widehat{h_m} = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} i^m h_m$.

Proof. Certainly $\widehat{h_0} = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}h_0$. Assuming that $\widehat{h_m} = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}i^mh_m$, use integration by parts to see that

$$\widehat{h_{m+1}}(\xi) = \int e^{\imath \xi x} a_+ h_m(x) \, dx = \int x e^{\imath \xi x} h_m(x) \, dx + \imath \xi \widehat{h}_m(\xi)$$
$$= -\imath (\widehat{h_m})' + \imath \xi \widehat{h}_m(\xi) = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \imath^{m+1} a_+ h_m(\xi) = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \imath^{m+1} h_{m+1}(\xi).$$

Corollary 11.2. For all $m \ge 0$,

(11.2)
$$\|\tilde{h}_m\|_{L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} \leq (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (m+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \|\tilde{h}_m\|_{\mathbf{u}} \leq (m+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and }$$

$$\|x\tilde{h}_m\|_{\mathbf{u}} \vee \|\partial \tilde{h}_m\|_{\mathbf{u}} \leq 2m + \frac{3}{2}.$$

Proof. Since $\|\tilde{h}_0\|_{L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $\|\tilde{h}_0\|_{\mathbf{u}} \leq \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}}$, and

$$\pi^{\frac{1}{4}} \| (\tilde{h}_0)' \|_{\mathbf{u}} = \sup_{x > 0} x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

there is nothing to do when m = 0.

Now assume that $m \ge 1$. Using the facts that $xh_m(x) - h'_m = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}h_{m+1}$ and $xh_m + h'_m = 2^{\frac{1}{2}}mh_{m-1}$, one sees that

(11.3)
$$x\tilde{h}_{m}(x) = \frac{m^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{h}_{m-1}(x) + (m+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{h}_{m+1}(x)}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ (\tilde{h}_{m})'(x) = \frac{m^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{h}_{m-1}(x) - (m+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{h}_{m+1}(x)}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Hence,

$$\int x^2 \tilde{h}_m(x)^2 dx = m + \frac{1}{2},$$

and so

$$\int (1+x^2)\tilde{h}_m(x)^2 \, dx = m + \frac{3}{2},$$

which, by Schwarz's inequality, means that

$$\|\tilde{h}_m\|_{L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = \int (1+x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{h}_m(x)^2 dx \le \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(m+\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (m+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Because $(\tilde{h}_m)^{\wedge} = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} i^m \tilde{h}_m$,

$$\|\tilde{h}_m\|_{\mathbf{u}} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(\tilde{h}_m)^{\wedge}\|_{\mathbf{u}} \le (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{h}_m\|_{L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} \le (m+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

To complete the proof, use the second part of (11.3) plus the preceding to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial \tilde{h}_m \right\|_{\mathbf{u}} &\leq \left(m^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \tilde{h}_{m-1} \|_{\mathbf{u}} + (m+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \| \tilde{h}_{m+1} \|_{\mathbf{u}} \right) \\ &\leq \left(m + (m+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} (m+2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \leq 2m + \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The same argument, only this time using the first part of (11.3), proves the same estimate for $\|x\tilde{h}_m\|_{\mathbf{u}}$.

The kernel which plays the role for the Hermite functions that the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck kernel (cf. (9.2)) p(t, x, y) plays for the Hermite polynomial is

(11.4)
$$q(t,x,y) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} p(2t, 2^{\frac{1}{2}}x, 2^{\frac{1}{2}}y) e^{\frac{y^2}{2}}$$
$$= (2\pi \sinh t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\tanh t} + \frac{xy}{\sinh t} - \frac{y^2}{2\tanh t}\right).$$

Observe that $q(t, x, \cdot) \in L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$ for all $p \in [1, \infty]$ and that

$$e^{\frac{t}{2}} \int q(t,x,y) f(y) \, dy = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \int p(2t,2^{\frac{1}{2}}x,y) e^{\frac{y^2}{4}} f\left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}y\right) dy = \left(TP_{2t}T^{-1}f\right)(x).$$

Hence, the operator Q_t given by

$$Q_t f(x) = \int q(t, x, y) f(y) \, dy$$

is well defined on $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})$ and is equal to $e^{-\frac{t}{2}}TP_{2t}T^{-1}$. In particular, by (9.10),

$$e^{\frac{t}{2}} \|Q_t f\|_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = \|P_{2t} T^{-1} f\|_{L^2(\gamma;\mathbb{C})} \le \|T^{-1} f\|_{L^2(\gamma;\mathbb{C})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})},$$

and, by (9.11)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| e^{\frac{t}{2}} Q_t f - f \right\|_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} &= \left\| T (P_{2t} T^{-1} f - T^{-1} f) \right\|_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \\ &= \left\| P_{2t} T^{-1} f - T^{-1} f \right\|_{L^2(\gamma; \mathbb{C})} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \searrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$||Q_t f||_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} \le e^{-\frac{t}{2}} ||f||_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} \text{ and } \lim_{t \searrow 0} ||Q_t f - f||_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = 0.$$

In addition, by (10.3), $Q_t h_m = e^{-\frac{t}{2}} T P_{2t} H_m = e^{-(m+\frac{1}{2})t} h_m$.

Theorem 11.3. If $f \in L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C}) \cup L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$, then

$$\int q(t, x, y) f(y) \, dy = e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-mt} (f, \tilde{h}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \tilde{h}_m \text{ for } t > 0,$$

where the convergence of the series is absolute uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. First observe that, by the estimates in Corollary 11.2, the series is absolutely convergent uniformly in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and that both sides are continuous as functions of $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ or of $f \in L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$. In particular, it suffices to prove the equality when $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$.

Given $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$, set $f_n = \sum_{m=0}^n (f, \tilde{h}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \tilde{h}_m$. Then

$$\int q(t, x, y) f_n(y) \, dy = e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^n e^{-mt} (f, \tilde{h}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \tilde{h}_m(x).$$

Because $q(t, x, \cdot) \in L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$ and $f_n \longrightarrow f$ in $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$, the left hand side converges to $\int q(t, x, y) f(y) dy$.

Exercise 3.3: Define the Mehler kernel $M(\theta, x, y)$ for $(\theta, x, y) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ by

$$M(\theta,x,y) = \left(2\pi(1-\theta^2)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\theta^2 x^2 - 2\theta xy + \theta^2 y^2}{1-\theta^2}\right),$$

and show that

$$M(\theta, x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \theta^m \frac{H_m(x)H_m(y)}{m!},$$

where the series converges uniformly for (x, y) in compact subsets. This famous equation is known as *Mehler's formula*.



RES.18-015 Topics in Fourier Analysis Spring 2024

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: https://ocw.mit.edu/terms.