## Lecture 12: The Fourier Transform for $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})$

The basic goal here is to extend the Fourier transform on  $L^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$ as a bounded operation on  $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$  into  $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$ . We will then examine some of the fundamental properties of this extension.

**Lemma 12.1.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ , then

(12.1) 
$$\frac{e^{-\frac{\xi^2 \tanh t}{2}}}{(2\pi \cosh t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int e^{\frac{\imath\xi x}{\cosh t}} e^{-\frac{x^2 \tanh t}{2}} f(x) \, dx$$
$$= e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\imath e^{-t})^m (f, \tilde{h}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} \tilde{h}_m(\xi)$$

for  $(t,\xi) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Since both sides of (12.1) are continuous functions of  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ , we may and will assume that  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{C})$ .

Set  $\mathbb{D} = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| < 1 \& \zeta \notin (-1, 0]\}$ , and define  $\alpha_{\pm}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{\zeta} \mp \zeta)$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ . Next, for fixed  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ , define

$$\Phi(\zeta) = \left(2\pi\alpha_{+}(\zeta)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\alpha_{-}(\zeta)}{2\alpha_{+}(\zeta)}\xi^{2}} \int e^{\frac{\xi x}{\alpha_{+}(\zeta)}} e^{-\frac{\alpha_{-}(\zeta)}{2\alpha_{+}(\zeta)}x^{2}} f(x) \, dx$$

and

$$\Psi(\zeta) = \zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \zeta^m(f, \tilde{h}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \tilde{h}_m(\xi),$$

and observe that both  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Furthermore, since  $\alpha_+(e^{-t}) = \sinh t$  and  $\alpha_-(e^{-t}) = \cosh t$ , Lemma 11.3 says that  $\Phi = \Psi$  on (0, 1), and therefore, by analytic continuation,  $\Phi = \Psi$  on  $\mathbb{D}$ . In particular,  $\Phi(ie^{-t}) = \Psi(ie^{-t})$ . Finally, because  $\alpha_+(ie^{-t}) = \frac{\cosh t}{i}$  and  $\alpha_-(ie^{-t}) = \frac{\sinh t}{i}$ , one sees that the left hand and right sides of (12.1) equal, respectively  $i^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi(ie^{-t})$  and  $i^{\frac{1}{2}}\Psi(ie^{-t})$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 12.2.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})$ , then

(12.2) 
$$\hat{f} = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} i^m (f, \tilde{h}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \tilde{h}_m$$

almost everywhere.

*Proof.* Because  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{C})$ , the left hand side of (12.1) tends pointwise to  $(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\hat{f}$  as  $t \searrow 0$ , and because  $f \in L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})$ , the right hand side tends in  $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})$  to the series on the right hand side of (12.2).

As a consequence of Theorem 12.2, we know that  $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})}$ for  $f \in L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})$ . Hence the map  $f \in L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow \hat{f}$  admits a unique continuous extension as a linear map with norm  $(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  from  $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})$  into  $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})$ , and (12.2) continuous to hold for this extension.

Define  $\check{f}(x) = f(-x)$ , and observe that  $\check{h}_m = (-1)^m h_m$ ,  $(\check{f}, g)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = (f, \check{g})_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})}$ , and  $\check{f} = \widetilde{f}$ . In addition, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\left(\hat{\varphi},\tilde{h}_{m}\right)_{L^{2}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = \int \int e^{\imath\xi x}\varphi(x)\tilde{h}_{m}(\xi)\,dxd\xi = \int \varphi(x)\widehat{\tilde{h}}_{m}(x)\,dx = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}\imath^{m}(\varphi,\tilde{h}_{m})_{L^{2}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})},$$

and so, for  $f, g \in L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$(\hat{f}, \hat{g})_{L^{2}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\hat{f}, \tilde{h}_{m})_{L^{2}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} \overline{(\hat{g}, \tilde{h}_{m})}_{L^{2}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})}$$
$$= 2\pi \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (f, \tilde{h}_{m})_{L^{2}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} \overline{(g, \tilde{h}_{m})}_{L^{2}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = 2\pi (f, g)_{L^{2}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})},$$

which means that Parseval's identity

(12.3) 
$$(\hat{f}, \hat{g})_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = 2\pi (f, g)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})}$$

holds. Finally, set  $\check{f} = \check{f}$ , and check that

 $\left(\check{f}, \check{h}_m\right)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = \left(\hat{f}, \check{\tilde{h}}_m\right)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = (-1)^m \left(\hat{f}, \check{h}_m\right)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (-i)^m \left(f, \check{h}_m\right)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})}$  Hence

$$\left((\hat{f})^{\vee},\tilde{h}_m\right)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (-\imath)^m \left(\hat{f},\tilde{h}_m\right)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = 2\pi (f,\tilde{h}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})}.$$

Similarly,  $((\check{f})^{\wedge}, \tilde{h}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})} = 2\pi (f, \tilde{h}_m)_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})}$ , and so we have proved the Fourier inversion formula

(12.4) 
$$(\hat{f})^{\vee} = 2\pi f = (\check{f})^{\wedge}.$$

It is important to keep in mind that  $\hat{f}$  is not given by a Lebesgue integral for  $f \in L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$  unless  $f \in L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$  as well. On the other hand, because  $f_R \equiv \mathbf{1}_{[-R,R]} f \in L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C}) \cap L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$  and  $f_R \longrightarrow f$  in  $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$ ,

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-R}^{R} e^{i\xi x} f(x) \, dx,$$

where the convergence is in  $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$ .

**Exercise 12.3.** Define  $\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \hat{f}(2\pi\xi)$ , and show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an orthogonal operator on  $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}};\mathbb{C})$ . Further, show that if  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is the adjoint of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then equals  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f = \mathcal{F}^*f = \mathcal{F}\check{f} = (\mathcal{F}f)^{\cup}$ .

32

## RES.18-015 Topics in Fourier Analysis Spring 2024

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.