

## LECTURE 21: THE HILBERT TRANSFORM

A key fact about  $G_{i,j}^{(N)}$  is that it is a homogeneous function of order  $N$  whose integral over  $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  is 0. That is, it is a function of the form

$$k(x) = \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^N}$$

where  $\Omega(rx) = \Omega(x)$  for all  $r > 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \Omega(\omega) \lambda_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}}(d\omega) = 0$ . Such functions are called *Calderón–Zygmund kernels* because Calderón and Zygmund were able to prove a large number of deep results about convolution with respect to them. In particular (cf. (23.2) below), they showed that, in great generality, for each  $p \in (1, \infty)$  there is a constant  $C_p$ , depending on  $N$  and  $\Omega$ , such that  $\|\varphi * k\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}^N}; \mathbb{C})} \leq C_p \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}^N}; \mathbb{C})}$ .

When  $N = 1$  there is, up to a multiple constant, only one C-K kernel, namely, the function  $h(x) = \frac{1}{\pi x}$ . Convolution with respect to  $h$  was studied originally by Hilbert and has been known as the *Hilbert transform* ever since. A seminal observation of Hilbert is that, even though  $h \notin L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$ , this transform is a bounded mapping of  $L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})$  into itself. Indeed, thinking of  $h$  as a tempered distribution, we showed in (6.2) that  $\hat{h}(\xi) = i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)$ . Thus, we know that  $\|\varphi * h\|_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})}$ .

In order to prove the estimate for  $p \neq 2$ , I will use an beautiful approach that I think was introduced by M. Riesz and is closely related to the ideas we used to compute  $\hat{h}$ . Recall the functions  $p_y(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}$  and  $q_y = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}$  which are, respectively, the real and imaginary parts of  $\frac{i}{z}$  when  $z = x + iy$ . Next, set  $h_y(x) = \mathbf{1}_{[y, \infty)}(x) h(x)$ , and observe that  $\|h_y - q_y\|_{L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} = \|h_1 - q_1\|_{L^1(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \leq \frac{2}{\pi}$ , and therefore  $\|\varphi * h_y - \varphi * q_y\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})}$ . Thus, showing that  $\sup_{y>0} \|\varphi * q_y\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \leq C_p \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})}$  for some  $C_p < \infty$  will show that

$$\sup_{y>0} \|\varphi * h_y\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \leq C_p \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \text{ for some other } C_p < \infty.$$

The advantage that  $q_y$  has over  $h_y$  is its connection to analytic functions. Namely, since  $\frac{i}{z} = p_y(x) + iq_y(x)$

$$f(z) = \varphi * p_y(x) + i\varphi * q_y(x) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int \frac{\varphi(\xi)}{x + iy - \xi} d\xi.$$

Further, because  $\|p_y\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} = 1$ ,  $\|\varphi * p_y\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})}$ , and Riesz's idea is to use these observations to control  $\|\varphi * q_y\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})}$  in terms of  $\|\varphi * p_y\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})}$ . To do so he needed the fact that, for each  $n \geq 1$  there exist finite constants  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  such that

$$(\Im \zeta)^{2n} \leq A_n \Re \zeta^n + B_n (\Re \zeta)^{2n} \text{ for } \zeta \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (*)$$

Proving (\*) comes down to showing that  $\cos^{2n} \theta \leq A_n \cos 2n\theta + B_n \sin^{2n} \theta$  for  $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . Clearly, if  $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{8n}, \frac{\pi}{8n}] \cup [\frac{7\pi}{8}, \frac{9\pi}{8}]$ ,  $A_n$  can be chosen so the  $A_n \cos 2n\theta$  dominates  $\cos^{2n} \theta$ ; and for  $\theta$  not in those intervals,  $B_n$  can be chosen so that  $B_n \sin^{2n} \theta$  dominates  $\cos^{2n} \theta - A_n \cos 2n\theta$ .

With the preceding at hand, we know that

$$\int (\varphi * q_y(x))^{2n} dx \leq A_n \Re \left( \int f(x + iy)^{2n} dx \right) + B_n \int (\varphi * p_y(x))^{2n} dx.$$

What Riesz saw is that he could use Cauchy's theorem to prove that the integral of  $x \rightsquigarrow f(x + iy)^{2n}$  is independent of  $y > 0$ . Indeed, consider the rectangle  $\{z = x + iy : |x| \leq R \text{ \& } y_1 \leq y \leq y_2\}$ . Cauchy's theorem says that the contour integral

of  $f^{2n}$  around the boundary is 0. In addition, as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C})$ , the contribution to the integral from the vertical parts of the boundary tends to 0, and so the integrals over the horizontal parts are equal. Finally, as  $y \nearrow \infty$ ,  $\int f(x + iy)^{2n} dx \rightarrow 0$ , and so we now know that

$$\|\varphi * q_y\|_{L^{2n}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \leq B_n^{\frac{1}{2n}} \|\varphi\|_{L^{2n}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})}.$$

Hence, we have proved that, for each  $n \geq 1$  there is a  $C_{2n} < \infty$  such that

$$(21.1) \quad \sup_{y>0} \|\varphi * h_y\|_{L^{2n}(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})} \leq C_{2n} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\lambda_{\mathbb{R}}; \mathbb{C})}.$$

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<https://ocw.mit.edu>

RES.18-015 Topics in Fourier Analysis  
Spring 2024

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.